AN OPTIMIZATION OF PERTURB AND OBSERVE MPPT ALGORITHM BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC FOR PV SYSTEM
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ABSTRACT

Several approaches of the MPPT techniques have been used in distinct ways. In this work, a new adaptive P&O algorithm with variable step size has been studied and implemented using fuzzy logic controller. The proposed method is evaluated to optimize maximum power point tracking (MPPT) performance of photovoltaic (PV) systems and it has been simulated using MATLAB/Simulink environment and compared to the conventional P&O algorithm under different insolation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the present century, the world needs more energy resources to become independent of conventional sources, that can be achieved using photovoltaic energy (PV) to respond to this demand [1].

Photovoltaic generation systems are used to transform one of the most natural energy sources due to the continuous cost reduction, stable system, rapid technological progress, being maintenance and pollution free. They have shown a large potential unfulfilling the growing world's energy demand and have attracted much of interest from many scientists and engineers nowadays [2-3-4].

Many solar energy researches are focused to increase cell efficiency through manufacturing technologies, to improve energy quality and to optimize the production of photovoltaic energy injected into the electricity grid and also for extracting a maximum power called MPPT (Maximum Power Point Track) [5].

The main advantages of MPPT controllers used in PV systems are [6]:

➢ They supply more power, depending on temperature and weather conditions.
➢ They increase the voltage of the system by connecting PV modules in series, which adds flexibility and reduces the wiring gauge.
➢ They offer a cost savings in the transmission wire required for the establishment of the photovoltaic system.

Many MPP tracking (MPPT) methods have been developed and executed. They differ in popularity, hardware implementation, complexity, convergence speed, sensors required, cost and in different aspects. In fact, that it has become very hard to adequately determine which method, recently proposed or existing, is most suitable for a given PV system [7], [8].

Various types of MPPT algorithm such as hill climbing, voltage feedback, current feedback, perturb and observation, incremental conductance, fuzzy logic, and neural network have been discussed [9].

In this paper, a modification variable step size P&O algorithm is implemented using fuzzy logic control for further amelioration in the tracking speed and steady state accuracy. The proposed work is performed under MATLAB/Simulink environment for different atmospheric conditions.
2. SOLAR ARRAY MODEL

A solar cell is the fundamental element of a solar panel which converts sunlight into electricity. [5].

A photovoltaic module is composed of multiple solar cells wired together in series to boost the voltage or in parallel to increase the current, each solar cell can be modeled by using a current source, a diode and two resistors named as Series Resistance (Rs) and Shunt Resistance (Rsh) [10].

This model is called a single diode model of a solar cell. Two diode models can also be used, but only single diode modeling approach is considered here in Figure 1 [9].

![Figure 1: Equivalent circuit model of PV cell](image)

The characteristic equation of the equivalent solar cell circuit can be expressed by as following:

\[
I_{pv} = I_{ph} - I_s \left( e^{ \frac{q(V_{pv} + I_{pv} \times R_s)}{m \times k \times T} } - 1 \right) - \frac{V_{pv} + I_{pv} \times R_s}{R_{sh}}
\]  \(1\)

Where \(I_{ph}\) is the current generated by the incident light, \(k\) is Boltzmann constant, \(m\) is the diode emission factor, \(q\) is the electron charge and \(I_s\) is the saturation current.

The output current and voltage of the solar cell is represented by \(I_{pv}\) and \(V_{pv}\) respectively [11].

The PV module applied in this study uses 330 SunPower modules (SPR-305E-WHT-D) consists of five series strings of 66 cells each. This system is simulated for several values of irradiance (kW/m²).

Its most important electrical specifications are represented in table 1[12].

![Figure 2: I-V and P-V characteristics of the Solar PV Array at 25°C](image)

Table 1: SunPower SPR-305-WHT PV array electrical characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power (Pmax)</td>
<td>305 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage at Pmax (Vmp)</td>
<td>54.7 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current at Pmax (Imp)</td>
<td>5.38 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-circuit current (Isc)</td>
<td>5.96 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-circuit voltage (Voc)</td>
<td>64.2 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a Maximum Power Point Tracker (MPPT) a DC-DC converter is integrated between the PV arrays and load to reach maximum output power of PV system. The operating point of PV module is always at peak power by implementing different MPPT algorithms.

Different algorithms and a number of DC-DC converter topologies are used for maximize the output of the PV system [14].

3. THE PERTURB & OBSERVE (P&O) METHOD WITH THE FIXED STEP-SIZE

Because of its simple structure, the Perturb and Observe (P&O) algorithm is widely used in MPPT methods. [15].

It makes a decision that can increase or decrease of the solar array voltage by changing the duty cycle.
If the operating point is on the left side of the MPP, by incrementing (decrementing) the voltage, the PV array’s output power increases (decreases). Whereas, incrementing (decrementing) the voltage decreases (increases) the PV power when the operating point is located on the right side of the MPP [16].

The step size ΔS is a very important parameter, it is the basis of the increase and decrease of the duty cycle.

If ΔS chosen is small, the system converges slowly towards the MPP and hence the desired duty cycle level is not reached. If ΔS is high, the system oscillates around the MPP [17].

Therefore, with fixed step-size, tracking the MPP does not present a satisfactory compromise between steady-state oscillation and dynamic-condition.

The choice of a step-size for the P&O algorithm has always been since it can significantly affect the global performance of the algorithm. Therefore, the proposed modified P&O MPPT algorithm with variable step-size improves the dynamics speed and eliminates the steady-state oscillations [16].

4. MODIFIED PERTURB & OBSERVE (P&O) METHOD

The use of traditional P&O algorithm can’t satisfy simultaneously during the steady state both performance requirements in terms of fast dynamic response and high precision [18].

In case of rapid changes in irradiance, the P&O method may become unstable and the step-size affects strongly the tracking performance [19].

Indeed, if the step size is large, the oscillation around the peak point will increase during the steady state which will induce a considerable loss of power output.

The new method is applied to find a useful way that will improve the characteristics of both the dynamics and the performance of a stable state [18].

The proposed MPPT algorithm is based on the conventional P&O algorithm using a fuzzy logic controller to provide a variable step size to eliminate the limitations that are present in the implementation of the conventional P&O algorithm [20].

The flowchart and synoptic diagram of the modified P&O algorithm with variable step-size are shown respectively in Figure 3 and 4.
5. SIMULATION RESULTS

A model of the PV system has been developed in MATLAB / Simulink to evaluate the performance of the proposed technique. The PV system employed in this simulation consists of a PV generator, a boost converter with MPPT controller and an electrical grid.

5.1 Conventional Perturb & Observe algorithm (P&O)

5.1.1 P&O MPPT with fixed step size of 0.0003:

Table 2: Rules base of fuzzy logic controller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta I_{pv}$</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZZ</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Fuzzy logic controller block diagram

Figure 6: Solar Irradiation

Figure 7: (a) PV array output (b) PV array output with a zoom shown for $t=1,8 - 2$ (seconds) (c) PV voltage using P&O algorithm with fixed step size of 0.0003

Figure 8: Solar Duty cycle waveform of P&O algorithm with fixed step size of 0.0003
5.1.2 P&O MPPT with fixed step size of 0.06:

Figure 9: Power output at the utility grid using P&O algorithm with fixed step size of 0.0003

Figure 10: (a) PV array output (b) PV array output with a zoom shown for t=1,8 – 2 (seconds) (c) PV voltage using P&O algorithm with fixed step size of 0.06

Figure 11: Duty cycle waveform of P&O algorithm with fixed step size of 0.06

Figure 12: Power output at the utility grid using P&O algorithm with fixed step size of 0.06
5.1.3 Comparative Study of Results

Table 3 summarizes the results of the comparison between P & O MPPT technique for two distinct values of step size. We notice that the algorithm with a fixed step size of 0.06 shows good dynamic performance but large value of oscillations in steady state and for step size of 0.0003, it takes a long time to reach the desired operating point and therefore the system reacts slowly to the change of solar irradiance.

By comparing both figures 7 (a) and 10 (a), it is easy to see that the oscillation of the power output of the PV array is greater around MPP when the perturbation step size increases, and smaller when the step-size decreases.

A large step size causes fast dynamic responses. However, the oscillations are excessive in the steady state which results a low efficiency.

This situation is reversed when the MPPT is executed with a very small step size. So we could say that the P & O method depends on its step size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (seconds)</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.25</th>
<th>1.75</th>
<th>2.25</th>
<th>2.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>P&amp;O with step-size = 0.0003</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P (KW)</strong></td>
<td>56.41</td>
<td>87.49</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>82.46</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>78.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PV power (KW)</strong></td>
<td>57.13</td>
<td>89.63</td>
<td>27.58</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PV voltage (V)</strong></td>
<td>251.1</td>
<td>294.7</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>297.2</td>
<td>249.9</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duty cycle</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P&amp;O with step-size = 0.06</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P (KW)</strong></td>
<td>56.41</td>
<td>94.51</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>94.93</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>78.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PV power (KW)</strong></td>
<td>57.13</td>
<td>97.07</td>
<td>27.57</td>
<td>98.33</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PV voltage (V)</strong></td>
<td>251.1</td>
<td>285.1</td>
<td>257.9</td>
<td>273.8</td>
<td>250.4</td>
<td>270.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duty cycle</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Modified Perturb & Observe Algorithm (MP&O)

![Figure 13: Simulink model of a grid-connected PV system based on the proposed method](image_url)
6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a Modified Perturb and Observe algorithm with a variable step-size based on fuzzy logic is implemented for a grid-connected PV system to ameliorate the efficiency of photovoltaic systems. This technique has been applied and compared with the traditional P & O algorithm using MATLAB / Simulink software.

It has been reported that the modified method can reach the maximum power point quickly and without oscillations compared to the traditional P & O method.
The simulation results of these studies validate the effectiveness of the proposed MPPT algorithm, which improves both stability and the dynamic performances of the photovoltaic power system in all tested cases.
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