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ABSTRACT
Over the last three decades, research in the area of context-aware computing has involved many different prototypes, systems, and solutions. Context-aware computing is concerned with the acquisition of context, the abstraction and understanding of context, and application behavior based on recognized context. One of the challenges of context-aware computing is difficulty in rethinking of domain models. The problem discussed in this paper is how to model a “context” and how this model influences the design of information system software. In the software development life cycle, this problem falls in the phase of requirements analysis and design. This paper proposes a new approach to modeling “context” in the design of information systems, using flow-based diagrams that could play a role in the design of context-aware systems.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In the design of software systems, the ability to react to context disturbances and perturbations is essential [1]. The notion of flexibility reflects adaptability to changes in a system’s environment such as variations in time, location, weather, or performance requirements. According to Regev et al. [2], flexibility refers to the capability, in response to contextual factors, to produce only those aspects that need to be changed without completely replacing the system. “Thus, process flexibility consists of an extrinsic trigger for change and intrinsic change mechanisms toward self-organization” [3].

1.1 Context and context awareness
The notion of context usually refers to ambience, association, background, circumstance, condition, connection, frame of reference, framework, relation, and situation [4]. “The term ‘context’ is used to characterize, abstract and classify constraints, situation-related requirements, a resultant profile of information need and necessary information flow” [5]. An often-cited definition is “Context is any information that can be used to characterize the situation of an entity. An entity is a person, place or object that is considered relevant to the interaction between a user and an application, including the user and applications themselves” [6]. An understanding of context would help designers and system architects use this important concept more effectively in context-aware computing [7].

Context can be categorized as external and internal [8]-[9], as physical and logical [10], as computing, user, and physical [11], and as time [12]. Dadu [13] classifies Context as (i) Computing Context: Network connectivity, Communication cost, Comm. Bandwidth, and Nearby resources; (ii) User Context: User profile/preference, User mood/behavior, and Other’s presence; (iii) Environmental Context: Lighting, Noise level, Traffic conditions, and Weather; and (iv) Physical Context: Time, Date, and Location.

Context awareness is a central concept for improving process flexibility. The term context-aware is first used to describe the surrounding environment such as location, objects, and people [14]. “A system is context-aware if it uses context to provide relevant information and/or services to the user, where relevancy depends on the user’s task” [15]. Context awareness is now a core feature of ubiquitous and pervasive computing systems. Over the last twenty years, several surveys have been conducted in relation to this field (e.g., [12], [16]-[18]). Research in the field of context-aware computing is now well established, and many different aspects have been proposed using a
significant number of prototypes, systems, and solutions [18].

1.2 Context awareness in computing

In computing, context-awareness refers to the ability of the system to detect, interpret and respond to aspects of the local environment. It enhances these systems with a sense of reality and make them know about the aspects of the environment relevant to the involved application [19].

Context aware systems are concerned with the acquisition of context (e.g., using sensors to perceive a situation), the abstraction and understanding of context (e.g., matching a perceived sensory stimulus to a context), and application behaviour based on the recognized context (e.g., triggering actions based on context) [20] (Italics added).

Sensing mechanisms can be based on events (monitoring the environment for occurrence of events) and continuous sensing (e.g., mobile phones).

Currently, context awareness is considered an important feature in most computing applications, especially in interactive and smart environments. Advances in telecommunications and information technologies have enabled distributed sensing and tracking to solve a wide range of context-aware environment problems [21]. Market research has shown a significant growth of sensor deployments that continuously generate enormous amounts of data. Collection, modeling, reasoning, and distribution of context in relation to sensor data play critical roles in context-aware computing [18].

1.3 Research problem: Context modeling

One of the challenges of context-aware computing is the difficulty of “rethinking of feasible ontologies, domain models” [7]. In research, “most of the existing studies have concentrated on technical implementations” [22]. The objective of most current research in context-aware systems is to develop uniform context models [16]. A model is necessary to promote understanding of context-aware issues, unveil the capabilities and potential of existing solutions, and offer a platform where development efforts can be standardized [19].

A context model identifies a concrete subset of the context that is realistically attainable from sensors, applications and users and able to be exploited in the execution of the task. The context model that is employed by a given context-aware application is usually explicitly specified by the application developer, but may evolve over time. [23]

A general approach to context involves sensor data sent to the information system (e.g., [24] as described in [5]). In this case, the application designer develops an application that can use the context as additional input [5]. The information system senses the current working context that gives dynamic environmental information. The system modeled with this kind of context comprises context-sensing and context-aware subsystems. “While the context-sensing part is recognizing the context, the context-aware part interprets the context and starts context dependent information delivery” [5]. The context may also be used to change infrastructure configuration of the system. The designer must determine which environmental data are necessary in this process. “The context is activated and improved by ‘surround’ information, which could be sensor data, and interacts with databases, to fetch needed information for the user. [Accordingly], the context is any information that can be used to characterize the situation of an entity and its domain-specific interpretation” [5].

The research problems considered in this paper are how to model “context” and how this model influences the design of information system software. In the software development life cycle, these problems are relevant to the phases of requirements analysis and design. Furthermore, the focus of this paper is on use of a diagrammatic modeling language utilized in software engineering [25]-[30] called the Flowthing Model (FM), as a proposed new approach to modeling context in the design of information systems.

Section 2 reviews context modeling, with emphasis on diagrammatic representations. Section 3 reviews the diagrammatic methodology to be used in modeling context awareness. Here the example given to illustrate the method is a new contribution. Section 4 demonstrates that FM provides a new conceptualization of the context of processes that can be used as a frame of reference in the development of modeling techniques. Its utility is demonstrated through re-modeling of two examples from the literature with the aim of conceptual integration of context into process models.
2. REVIEW: CONTEXT MODELS

Over the years, several context-modeling techniques have been proposed for context-aware computing [31]. Context modeling can be referred to as context representation [18], and it can be presented in natural language, in diagrams (e.g., annotated UML diagrams), or through model composition [5]. According to Bauer [5], “the more the context is introduced in the diagrams, the less textual descriptions are necessary and useful.” Context diagrams are a type of context-level data flow diagram [32].

A context diagram can be a useful starting point for diagramming and documenting a software system, allowing you to step back and look at the big picture... Detail isn't important here as this is your zoomed out view showing a big picture of the system landscape. The focus should be on people (actors, roles, personas, etc.) and software systems rather than technologies, protocols and other low-level details. [33].

Graphical models such as UML and object-role modeling (ORM) are said to be “appropriate” for modeling context [34]. “System context diagrams” (similar to UML block diagrams) are also suggested for showing the system as a whole, including inputs and outputs from and to external factors. In SysML, a block definition diagram is depicted as a system context diagram [3].

UML with annotations has also been utilized for this purpose [5], [16], with annotations used to group model elements of different diagrams and to express which information is related to a specific context. Use-case diagrams and others are often used to demarcate a system and its environment. Acretoaie [36] utilizes UML use-case diagrams to represent system context, with actors and components directly connected by associations. Actors are represented by rectangles instead of stick figures.

Petri nets and colored Petri nets have been used to model context, since they have “the ability of modeling dynamic systems, discrete-event systems, and concurrent systems” [37]. Petri net places represent context situations, and transitions represent relationships between context situations. Events are associated with transitions and represent state changes. In colored Petri nets, the features of entities are represented by colored tokens, states are represented by places, and a token represents an entity [38]-[39].

Another approach to modeling context is based on ontologies (e.g., CoBrA system [40]) to characterize persons, objects, and places within a context. “Most of the existing work [in this area] focuses on describing people and their locations; it also considers time. However, it usually does not consider the activity” [41].

Context modeling may be viewed as state-based or event-based construction (see [19]). In the state-based approach, events are considered snapshots of the state of a physical entity at a given point in time, e.g., location events are produced even when the user remains idle. In case of failure, the state is stored and can be reinstated. An event-based approach is implemented with finite state machines (FSM) and the state of an entity is represented by means of an event history entity. The structure of the FSM depends on the domain of implementation.

Another approach to context is an aspect-oriented modeling technique in which the aspect of context involves the behavior of components not covered by the domain model (e.g., embedded systems) [42]. Yet another methodology builds logic-based models in which “the context is defined with facts, predictions or roles; a goal is to form new expressions or facts from previous ones. A logic defines the conditions under which a concluding expression or fact may be derived [34].

Other methods in context-aware systems use neural networks, support vector machines, fuzzy logic, and Bayesian networks [43]-[44].

3. FLOWTHING MACHINE MODEL

The Flowthing Machine (FM) model is a diagrammatic language for representing “things that flow,” called flowthings. Flow in FM refers to exclusive (i.e., being in one and only one) transformation among five states (also called stages): creation, release, transfer, receive, and process, as shown in Figure 1. A flowthing can be called simply a thing.

The fundamental elements of FM are described as follows:

![Flow system (machine)](image)

Figure 1. Flow system (machine)
Flowthing: A thing that has the capability of being created, released, transferred, received and processed while flowing within and between flow systems. The conception of a thing in FM is very general. For example, according to Katsiri [19], “Events form an apparently distinct kind, different from things like people, planets and books.” Nevertheless, from the FM point of view, an event is a flowthing; e.g., it can be created and processed.

Flow system: A flow system is a context of flow that comprises the characteristic five stages and the connections among them. It provides a building block for the things and activities performed on them.

Spheres and subspheres are the environments (contexts) of the flowthing and reflect the structure of its flow. A sphere is a context of flow, not necessarily a flow system, but its context, a container or bounded space of flow. It provides a framework supporting the flow at different levels, and also a background picture, situating things and flows [these terms are inspired by FaberLeón-Araúz [54]). Flow of a thing does not exist outside the basic sphere (context) of its flow system (Figure 1) that embodies the flow that carries things. Flow is a product of conceptual movement among stages of creation, release, transfer, receive, and process in contextual spheres, analogous to the flow of the Mississippi River through the contexts of specific cities within specific counties in specific states within the United States. Spheres restrict the “space” within which a flow of things can be specified.

Triggering: Triggering in FM is the activation of a flow, denoted by a dashed arrow. It is a dependency among flows and parts of flows.

Example: According to Iqbal [42], real-time embedded systems work within environments comprising components interacting with each other and often bound by time constraints. “There are a few approaches reported in the literature for the environment modeling of embedded systems.”

Context means, that an entity is … embedded in an environment… the context is a description of the environment and a dynamic grouping mechanism that encloses all entities, which are concerned by the context… The context changes or influences the relation between entities. … [5].

Choi et al. [46] use annotated UML diagrams as the method for modeling embedded systems based on requirements specification. They utilize a use case diagram to elicit requirements, a class diagram to specify the structure of the system, and a sequence diagram with timing constraints to specify the behavior of the system. They synthesize state machines from sequence diagrams for the purpose of simulation.

As an example, Choi et al. [46] provide a sequence diagram that specifies the behavior of a coffee machine, shown partially in Figure 2. The diagram maps five states of the machine: Initial availability signal, after coins are inserted coins, after coffee button is pressed, Cancel, and Coffee.

Figure 3 shows the same problem specified in FM as follows: at circle 1, the machine creates availability signals that flow to the customer. Receiving the signal triggers the customer to insert coins (2; here “create” indicates the introduction of coins) that flow to the machine (3). The machine receives the coins (hence, shuts off the availability signal), then processes them in some way (4) before storing (5). If the customer presses (6) the Coffee button, the action flows to the machine and, along with the previously inserted coins, triggers (8) a flow of coffee (9) to the customer (10). The thick horizontal line (11) indicates satisfying both conditions: receiving coins and coffee button is pressed. This line is used for simplicity; however, it can be replaced with FM notation.

Alternatively, if the customer presses the Cancel button (12), the action is received by the machine and triggers (13) a flow of coins back to the customer from storage (14, 15).

Choi et al. [46] synthesize state machines from sequence diagrams, but the FM representation reveals many additional states, e.g., coins in state of being transferred (helpful for determining the cause of malfunction), or machine in a state of receiving or processing coins or releasing (extracting the coffee from storage) or transferring coffee to a customer. However, if our interest is in only the five states mentioned by Choi et al. [46], Figure 4 shows the boundaries of these states. Note the way contextual inputs/outputs are represented in the diagram.
The state diagram used by Choi et al. [46] in their simulation can be easily abstracted from the FM representation (see Figure 5). Further study could clarify the possibility of using FM methodology in such a field.

Here it is sufficient to claim that the FM diagram is a richer and more complete representation than the UML sequence and state diagrams for mapping this example of the coffee machine.

4. CONTEXT-AWARE PROCESSES: CHANGES

This section demonstrates that FM provides a new conceptualization of the context of processes that can be used as a frame of reference in the development of modeling techniques. This utility can be demonstrated by using FM to re-model two examples from the literature that aim at “a conceptual integration of context with process models … so as to be able to derive a procedure for identifying context relevant to a given process” [3].
4.1 Changes In Weather Conditions

According to Rosemann et al. [3], published work in software systems deals with the notion of change only after requirements have already been identified and evaluated. Therefore, modeling techniques capture only the reactive part of a process and lack contextualization, i.e., the stimuli for change. Traditionally, one way to handle changes is to include contextual variables in the control flow, such as production rules, e.g., “if, then.” This leads to “unnecessary model extensions, mixes individual run-time with build-time decisions” [3]. Another method is to design multiple process models for different scenarios and then highlight deviations within these models. “The shortcoming of this approach is the high degree of redundancy between the models” [3].

Consider the scenario provided by Rosemann et al. [3] of context-aware processes that must change depending on weather conditions during storm season (October-March). The process is designed to handle inbound phone calls from customers with insurance claims and is supported by operation of a call center. While this process runs smoothly most of the year, the organization faces a dramatic increase in incoming calls during storm season. In order to cope with this increase in call traffic, the insurance company operates an event-based response system that differentiates calls into categories. Response strategies are defined for each category, utilizing additional external resources together with changes in the procedure by which claims are lodged. Additional resources are utilized by hiring of casual staff whose performance in call handling time is lower than the performance of the professional call center agents. Second, a streamlined way of lodging claims is applied in order to reduce average call handling time as well as waiting time in the queue in a “rapid lodgment of claims” process.

Figure 6 shows a partial view of the contextual variable of weather that impacts control flow and the involved organizational resources. In FM, the context (sphere) is integrated into the representation of the system. As a context diagram, it can be a useful starting point for designing a system and allows stepping back to examine the big picture of the system landscape. The focus is on things rather than on technologies, protocols, and other low-level details [33].

Figure 7 shows the FM representation of Rosemann et al.’s [3] context-aware situation. The event (circle 1) of April-September (see Figure 8a) starts (2; is naturally created) and takes its course (processes), hence triggering (3) activation of the April-September system (4, enclosed in green in online version). The event (clock) in the time context that “awakens” the April-September system can be implemented in different ways that would also deactivate the October-March system. Accordingly, the created claims (5) flow (6) to the agent to be processed (7) and trigger registration (8). Similarly, the October-March event (9) activates its system (10; enclosed in blue in the online version). See Figure 8b.

Accordingly, claims (5) flow to the agent (6) or to the novice agent (11). The distribution mechanism is an internal detail of the transfer stage of claims. The agent’s claims as well as those of the novice agent flow (12-14) to the “Rapid lodgment” sphere (15), where the claims are processed (16) to trigger creation of a registration (17).

The contrast between Figure 6 and 7 needs little elaboration. Weather as context has been integrated into the FM representation as a sphere of time with a flow system of events. There is no doubt that FM provides a new way to model context-aware systems.

Figure 6. Weather as a contextual variable that affects control flow (partial, redrawn from [3])
4.2 Changes In Business Environments

Rosemann et al. [3] describe a major airline’s ticket reservation and check-in process, where user completes an online form to draft an itinerary. After confirmation and electronic payment, an eTicket is issued, allowing travelers to check-in at the airport using photo identification and to check-in and select available seats over the Internet. Normally, traditional ticket counters are also available for check-in. Independent of the check-in option selected, at some stage a traveler is required to undergo safety checks before boarding the aircraft. Figure 9 shows Rosemann et al.’s [3] process model.
Figure 10 shows its corresponding FM representation.

Ticket reservation and check-in processes run smoothly in regular business environments; however, system failures and other circumstances can lead to a greater number of traditional check-ins than expected. Contextual variables include the availability of an Internet connection. An internal server crash would affect the availability of an Internet connection and occur within the internal context, since it is related to the application system and networking infrastructure of the airline company. “By examining the chain of events that necessitates changes in the process, reactions can be anticipated based on observations in the early stages of the chain, i.e., at best in the environmental context” [3].

In Figure 10, the FM representation of the ticket reservation and check-in process, two types of traveling persons are differentiated (1):

(i) **Person with eTicket (2):** Logs into the system (3) through the Internet to be processed (4) and receive an eTicket (5-6). The person then physically (7) goes to the terminal (8) to be quickly checked in (9). By assumption, the traveler has his or her own eTicket and may go through additional safety checks (10) or straight to boarding (11).

(ii) **Person without eTicket (2):** Receives a paper ticket (12) and goes through the check (13) then the traditional boarding process (14).

In Figure 9, the partial process model (Partial, Redrawn From [3]) with context consideration shows the flow of events in the airline process.

**Figure 9. Airline Process Model With Context Consideration (Partial, Redrawn From [3])**

**Figure 10. FM Representation Of The Airline Process Model With Context Consideration**
(ii) **Person without eTicket (12)** goes physically (13) to an office where paper tickets are issued (14), where the traveler is processed (15) to be issued a ticket (16). The traveler then returns from the ticket office (18) and enters the terminal (19) to go through the traditional check-in process (20). He or she may go through additional safety checks (21) or straight to boarding (22).

The FM description is a map of all possible processes that could be affected by context events such as an internal server crash that would affect the availability of an Internet connection. Figure 11 shows four possible changes in the system of the airline:

(a) Internet is down, with additional safety check
(b) Internet is down, no additional safety check
(c) Tickets available only through the Internet, with additional safety check
(d) Tickets available only through the Internet, no additional safety check

5. CONCLUSION

This paper introduces a flow-based diagrammatic language as a new diagrammatic modeling foundation for context-aware systems. A context is viewed as a sphere (container) in a system of flows that affects what flows are permitted or blocked.
The paper takes the approach of redesigning examples from context-aware systems in the proposed diagrammatic modeling language. These diagrams seem to have a potential role to play in the design of context-aware systems, and they could be used to integrate context into a system’s high-level specification, including the modeling of context events such as weather changes and server crashes discussed in section IV that would affect the work of the system.

It may be claimed that FM produces complex diagrams. The interesting aspect of FM description is the systematic application of the five stages of flow. This application creates more complete specifications; however, it is possible to simplify and customize the depiction by reducing the level of description. In FM, if we are interested in lumping together the details (i.e., internal structures of spheres), we can erase all stages and unify all types of flow in the model. FM brings internal details up to the conceptual level for analysis; however, FM details are also suitable descriptions for bridging the gap between IT expert and user, analogous to detailed construction drawings understood by owners as well as by engineers.

FM has been utilized in the design of several context-aware systems including social networks [47] Hardware [48] and Health [49] systems. The outcome of this new approach will form the foundation for further research and exploration of applications in more complex systems with context-related changes.
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