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ABSTRACT

Learning Disability is a disorder of neurological condition which causes deficiency in child’s brain activities such as reading, speaking and many other tasks. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 15% of the children get affected by the learning disability. Efficient prediction and accurate classification is the crucial task for researchers for early detection of learning disability. In this work, our main aim to develop a model for learning disability prediction and classification with the help of soft computing technique. To improve the performance of the prediction and classification we propose a hybrid approach for feature reduction and classification. Proposed approach is divided into three main stages: (i) data pre-processing (ii) feature selection and reduction and (iii) Classification. In this approach, pre-processing, feature selection and reduction is carried out by measuring of confidence with adaptive genetic algorithm. Prediction and classification is carried out by using Deep Learner Neural network and Markov Model. Genetic algorithm is used for data preprocessing to achieve the feature reduction and confidence measurement. The system is implemented using MatLab 2013b. Result analysis shows that the proposed approach is capable to predict the learning disability effectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies and surveys show that the learning disability in school going children and youth is escalating dramatically in the world. According to the surveys of World Health Organization (WHO), LD can be classified into three sub-categories which are names as (i) mild, (ii) moderate and (iii) severe. These categories are based on the function of brain i.e. decision making, intellectual behavior and other tasks [1]. LD affected children usually have various characteristics which causes restriction to the brain development. Usually LD affected children have less physical growth and their intellectual growth is very low which results in various difficulties i.e. speaking, thinking, decision making and memorization. All these issues related to the brain development plays important role for the mental ability of the children [2]. For predicting the learning disability there are various approaches have proposed using computer-based approaches and help the children in learning communicating and helping them in their daily lives, this motivates the researches to design an efficient approach to predict and classify the affected children [3]. S. Caballé et.al [4] proposed a novel approach for the collaborative learning; called as collaborative complex learning resources (CC – LR).

Early prediction of learning disability can help to students to provide better treatment or additional process for better learning resulting in educational growth of student. Other approaches of learning disability prediction are based on the data mining techniques. Data mining is used to deal with the information discovery or collection within the considered database [5]. This is a process of automated pattern discovery in the large dataset. In last decade the size of the database is increasing dramatically which motivates to develop an efficient approach to extract the important information from the data in an automated way. This is used in various fields such as crime analysis, management, web mining etc. Similarly, for medical field also data mining is used for prediction of medication, medical test and diagnosis etc. Existing data mining techniques fails to provide
significant classification accuracy performance when huge database is considered for analysis. Hence, an improved technique is required for better analysis.

In this work, we apply data mining for predicting learning disability in school going children. It is defined as the learning problems in children. Proposed work is carried out on 1020 instances of school going children which have the learning disabilities. During the process of data mining, initially data has to pre-processed, for this we use a pre-processing approach. During next stage the missing value imputation takes place, later on feature selection, which is performed by using genetic algorithm. After achieving feature reduced preprocessed data, classification is performed by dividing dataset into training and testing process. For classification we use markov model, deep learner model and hybrid model of the markov model and deep learner.

Briefly, this work tries to provide an experimental study for learning defect prediction in school going children by using various type of learning attributes such as bad handwriting, memory difficulty etc. For this purpose, data mining technique is used which helps for predicting the learning disability.

Rest of the manuscript is organized as follows: section II discusses about the proposed model in subcategories (i) pre-processing and (ii) feature selection, feature reduction. Section III discusses about markov model and deep learner model. Results are described in section IV and section V gives the concluding remarks

2. PROPOSED MODEL

In this section, the proposed approach for LD prediction is discussed. In this work, the main is to design a new approach for learning disability prediction, measurement of the prediction and classification. In order to carry out the proposed research work, we propose modified approach for feature selection, feature reduction is carried out using adaptive genetic algorithm and finally classification is performed using hybrid model of deep learner neural network and markov chain model process. This approach is implemented using Matlab tool. Training operation is performed with the help of the classifier’s training approach to learn the pattern of the given dataset. In order to make the appropriate selection of the data, preprocessing is required. During this preprocessing stage unwanted attributes or features and repetitive data are removed which results in the reduction of the attributes to be processed and missing values are imputed in the dataset. Proposed scheme utilizes missing value imputation and adaptive genetic algorithm is used for feature or attributes reduction. The system flowchart shown in figure 1.

\[\text{Figure 1 System Architecture}\]

2.1 Dataset

This section provides brief description of dataset considered for the performance evaluation and pre-processing of given dataset. According to data mining techniques, attributes are required for classification. For this work we have considered 1020 children’s database which contains attribute as feature along with serial numbers. Database description is depicted in table 1. During this process of data preprocessing, redundant or unwanted data is removed, attribute reduction and missing value imputation is processed to carry out the preprocessing of the data. Various approaches have been proposed to improve the data mining efficiency with the help of the data preprocessing such as cleaning of data, integration of data, transformation of data and data reduction etc.

2.2 Data pre-processing

During this process of data preprocessing, redundant or unwanted data is removed, attribute reduction and missing value imputation is processed to carry out the preprocessing of the data. Various approaches have been proposed to improve the data mining efficiency with the help of the data preprocessing such as cleaning of data, integration of data, transformation of data and data reduction etc.
Table 1 Attribute List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Signs &amp; Symptoms of LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>Written expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>Slow Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Motivation lack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Study skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>Handwriting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Easily distracted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Basic arithmetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Grade Repetition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Spelling Difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>Learning Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>Learning Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NLS</td>
<td>Not like school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HA</td>
<td>Higher arithmetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Memory Difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Attention Difficulty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed approach utilizes two main approaches to perform the data pre-processing: (i) Missing value imputation and (ii) data reduction with the help of feature selection.

2.2.1 Missing value

This section describes the important stage of data mining called missing value imputation. In a general way this is a process to deal with missing data values in a given dataset, finding the missing value and filling the values to maintain the resemblance with the original data [6].

Here a new algorithm is proposed for the missing value imputation. This process is divided into two sections: (i) estimation of the missing data and (ii) imputation of missing data. First stage is to estimate the missing values, in order to achieve this two basic constraints are assigned which defines that data contains random missing value and according to other constraints all the missing data are known as ground truth.

In this process initially all the missing values are initiated with the zero value and data is considered as a time series which is represented as $S = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_n\}$. By using this, data is modeled into a coefficients matrix which is given as

$$a_j = y_j x_j + \varepsilon_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

By using linear prediction method it can be generalized as

$$[a[c + 1] \quad a[c + 2] \quad \vdots \quad a[n] \quad a[1] \quad a[2] \quad \vdots \quad a[n-c]] = \Xi \times \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$\varepsilon$ is the normal noise distribution with the zero variance $\sigma^2$

$$\Xi = \begin{bmatrix} a[c] & a[c-1] & \cdots & a[1] \\ a[c+1] & a[c] & \cdots & a[2] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a[n-c+1] & a[n-c+2] & \cdots & a[n] \end{bmatrix}$$

It is assumed that coefficients parameters $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ are known, input data is given as $\{s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$ and the missing data is $\{m_1, m_2, ..., m_n\}$. Indices of $s$ and $m$ are not bound to be consecutive. By taking this into account, log-likelihood data is computed which do not depend on the missing data is given as

$$L(z) = \sum_{t=c+1}^{n} \left( a_t - \sum_{j=1}^{c} x_j y_{t-j} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$l^T$ is the transpose matrix which is represented as

$$l = Az$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$z$ denotes the column vector of the input data , $A$ is denoted as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -x_p & \cdots & -x_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -x_p & \cdots & -x_1 & 1 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -x_p & \cdots & -x_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

From this the input data and missing data can be written as

$$l = Ba + Cy$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$B = [B_1 B_2 \cdots]$ and $C = [C_1 C_2 \cdots]$ denotes the sub matrices of $A$ which contain the location information of input and missing data. Finally the data estimation is achieved by using least – square method such as

$$x = -B^* Cy$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

By using these locations of the dataset, missing values can be estimated. Next stage to impute these values by computing the similarity score. This similarity score is computed between two vectors to compute the measurement of data distribution in a nonparametric way. Initially dataset is standardized using score transformation based on the ranks of the each
element of the vector i.e. $n$ values of the given vector are compared to achieve ranks $R_1, R_2$ then these vectors are replaced by using cumulative normal distribution. In the next stage data is sorted and finally absolute difference is computed to achieve the raw distance between the adjacent values

$$d(v_1, v_2) = \sum_{i=2}^{n}|v_2 - v_{1(i-1)}|$$  

(8)

The obtained distance is equivalent to the Manhattan distance summation for the given feature vector list. This distance is normalized between zero and one. Minimum and maximum distance given by using (9) and (10)

$$d_{\text{min}} = \frac{c^{-1}(n + 1)}{(n + 1)}$$  

(9)

$$d_{\text{max}} = 2 \sum_{i} \left| c^{-1} \left( \frac{i}{n + 1} \right) \right|$$  

(10)

By using these minimum and maximum distance value the data is normalized to compute the similarity between two adjacent feature vector values

$$S_{\text{norm}}(v_1, v_2) = \frac{1}{d_{\text{max}} - d_{\text{min}}} \left( d(v_1, v_2) - d_{\text{min}} \right)$$  

(11)

2.2.2 Imputing missing values

In the given datasets, non-linearity is involved which affects the response of the input data. In order to impute missing data we use kernel based scheme. Zhang et al [7] discussed the optimized technique for missing value imputation. Computing steps of this approach are mentioned below:

1. Find the minimum difference between non-missing values and input vectors $d = g_x - g_v$

2. Compute the weighted mean based on the Gaussian density $w_{ij} = e^{-(g_x - g_v)^2/2\sigma^2}$

3. Impute the missing values by using weighted mean $d_{ii} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{c} \frac{d_{ij}w_{ij}}{\sum_{j=2}^{c}w_{ij}}}{\sum_{j=2}^{c}w_{ij}}$

4. Measure the confidence by finding the variance of given vector $\beta_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{c} w_{ij} (d_{ij} - d_{ii})^2}{\sum_{j=2}^{c} w_{ij}}$

5. Next stage is to merge the imputing values by using weighted average by considering similarity with higher weight and prediction with low weighted variance.

3. PROPOSED FEATURE SELECTION ALGORITHM

In order to achieve the efficient feature, we propose a new approach for feature selection based on the data relevancy information. Let us consider, the feature vector $v$ with the feature matrix $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_k)$ where dimension of the data is represented as $N$ with the class $C$. Variation of the class is measured with the help the entropy which is given as

$$\nu = \text{entropy}(C)$$  

(12)

For the given feature vector and class the variation or uncertainty is denoted as $\text{entropy}(C|f)$ and the relevance information is represented as $I_R(C|f)$. Relation among these parameters is given as

$$I_R(C, f) = I_R(f, C) = \text{entropy}(C) - \text{entropy}(C|f)$$  

(13)

It can be written as

$$\sum_{c \in C} \int f \ p_c(c)*\log \left( \frac{p_c(c|f)}{p_c(c)} \right) df$$  

(14)

Class probability is given by $P_c(c)$, current feature is presented as $f$ and $P_c(c|f)$ is the combined probability of the class and feature vector. To get the improvised classification accuracy we perform minimization of the variation in the class vector and feature vector. According to the proposed approach classification achieves the highest accuracy with the smallest feature size.

3.1.1 Problem formulation

Let us consider a given dataset $D$ which contains $f$ feature vectors for classification by using feature selection approach. Initial stage is to find the subsets of the dataset in a given dimension to minimize the entropy value which helps to maximize the relevance information of the dataset.

It is given as

$$D \cup f \rightarrow S \subset f \in S \Rightarrow \{D_1, D_2, ..., D_n\}$$  

(15)

To overcome this problem we propose relevance
information based feature selection to eliminate the variation in the class and feature probability. This can be written as

\[ I_r(C, D_i / D_s) = \text{entropy}(D_i / D_s) - \text{entropy}(D_i / C, D_s) \]  

(16)

Relevancy of the data is extracted using the chain rule which is given as:

\[ I_r(C, D_i, D_s) = \text{entropy}(D_s) + \text{entropy}(C, D_i / D_s) \]  

(17)

To maximize the relevance information of the feature, greedy approach is adapted here. By using this approach the relevance information can be written as

\[ I_r(C, D_i / D_s) = I_r(C, D_s) - \left[\text{entropy}(D_i / D_s) - I_r(D_i, D_s) \right] \]  

(18)

Ratio of the selected feature and the nearest feature gives the coefficient of relevancy which is

\[ C_r = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{entropy}(D_i, D_s)}{\text{entropy}(D_s)} \right] \]  

(19)

It can be realized as mentioned below:

1. Initiate the parameters.
2. Subset selection s = “initial empty set”.
3. Pre-Computation of the given dataset
   Find features to maximize the relevance i.e. \( f \epsilon f \rightarrow I_r(C, D_i) \)
4. Initiate feature selection and adapt greedy approach
   Perform repetitions until desired features are selected which maximizes the relevance information
   (i) Entropy measurement of the selected feature
   (ii) Relevancy information measurement between the features
   (iii) Next stage for feature selection if desired feature not achieved
   Select feature \( f \epsilon f \) as mentioned below

\[ f = \arg \max \left\{ \text{entropy}(D_i, D_s) \right\} \]  

(20)

3.1.3 Proposed model design for genetic algorithm

A GA as the developmental enhancement method begins with an underlying population moves toward a global ideal solutions and stops when the stop conditions are fulfilled. The customary methodology starts with an irregular beginning population and after that advances starting with one population then onto the next as the people experience crossover and mutation. Our methodology depends on the accompanying fundamental speculation: when from the earlier data about the conceivably appealing ranges is accessible, then the underlying population of the GA can be created in a manner that the alluring areas of the attainable location must be secured with an arrangement of focuses and the dimensionality of the issue can be diminished to those features that frame appealing zones. The consequences of prior experience and the

Genetic algorithm is a heuristic approach which is inspired by the natural environment and evolutions. In Nature, new living beings adjust to their surroundings through development. The executions of genetic algorithms can altogether vary in the method for developing another population.

![Figure 2. Genetic Algorithm Flowchart](image)
The aftereffects of the filtering systems are thought to be from the earlier data about the appealing zones. To improvise the performance of genetic algorithm, we provide an extension by considering existing population. This extension is depicted in figure 3, where if stopping criteria is not satisfied then new population is generated and added into existing population. Fitness calculation is applied for this and fittest population is selected for new population generation. Further, a hybridized approach is implemented which is categorized into two phases which are: (1) initial solution generation, and (2) the reduced feature subset generation. Initial solutions are generated using filtering technique i.e. information gain, correlation, gain ratio and Gini index.

**Algorithm**

- **Input**: Feature set
- **Output**: Reduced feature set
- **Step 1**: Filter techniques (Information gain, Gain ratio, Gini index and Correlation)
- **Step 2**: Initiate population generation
- **Step 3**: Computation matrix initialized
- **Step 4**: Reduce feature based of step 2 matrix
- **Step 5**: Initial population for reduced set
- **Step 6**: Compute fitness
- **Step 7**: If stopping criteria activity
  - a. Optimal solution
  - b. Fix the optimal solution

**Else**

Repeat from step 5.

**End**

Figure 4 shows search space restriction stage by applying two stage procedures which involved (i) initial solution generation and (ii) generation of reduced feature set. In order to generate initial solution, we incorporate filtering scheme which provides feature ranking procedure by considering gain ratio, GINI index, correlation and earlier feature set evaluation performance. If best solution is not achieved, then previously known solution is assigned as optimal solution. Novelty of this approach is presented by computing filtering based feature ranking and two stage optimal solution selection which differs it from conventional genetic algorithm.

### 3.1.4 Deep neural network

DNN is used for classification. It is feed-forward neural network which contains more hidden layer. Hidden layers are used to map the input features. A conventional mapping function is used in this work

\[ O = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b + fw)}} \]

Input features denoted by \( f \), weights denoted by \( w \), biasing and output is denoted as \( O \). Complex relation between input and output are modeled with the help of this mapping...
function. This network can be trained by using back-propagation derivatives which gives the similarity between input and output for each training set. DNN pre-training can be performed by using discriminative method and supervised pre-training approach [8].

3.1.5 Markov Model

This section describes about the markov model classification process. In order to formulate the Markov Model we use finite automatia based probabilistic transition approach. This approach classifies the stages of the given dataset by using deterministic emission function. State transitions probability is time dependent which observes the automation process.

In this work we use this approach to classify the learning disability prediction in the dataset using data mining approach. Steps of this approach are given below:

1. Input data sequence is given as $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, X_2, ..., X_n\}$ which consists $S$ states and a markov model $\mathcal{M}$
2. Let markov model $\mathcal{M}$ with $S$ states, the transitions probability $P_{ij}$ of this $S \times S$ dimension matrix $D$ with the elements $D_{ij}, P_{ij}$ is the probability of transition from state $i$ to $j$
3. By using this probability transition matrix, one or more sequence also can be used to perform the training and the training set is given as

$$\alpha^* = \arg \max_{\alpha} p(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{M}, \alpha)$$

Where $\alpha$ represents the training set.

In the first stage if the input sequence is similar to the states of the build Markov Model, then the observation probability can be given as

$$p(\mathcal{X}) = p(F|X_0)p(X_1|D) \prod_{s=2}^{S} p(x_s|x_{s-1})$$

In other case the training set is estimated based on the maximum probability. This is achieved based on the maximum likelihood criterion, which is given below

$$P(x_s = l|x_{s-1} = k) = \frac{n_{kl}}{n_k}$$

$n_{kl}$ is the representation of time for $S$ to follow by the input given sequence as training set, number of visited states are given as $n_k$, finally the training set vector can be achieved as

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this section we discuss about experiments and results achieved by considering various scenarios. Experimental study for the learning disability dataset is mentioned below.

In this study we consider 5 case studies to measure the performance of the proposed approach, this study considers following experiments: (i) Markov Model Classification [9-10], (ii) Deep Learner classification (iii) combination of markov model and deep learner (iv) hybrid classification using feature selection [11], feature reduction and markov model (v) hybrid classification using feature selection, feature reduction and deep learner (vi) hybrid classification using feature selection, feature reduction , markov model and deep learner

Proposed approach is implemented on synthetic dataset where various attributes are present as given in table 1. In this work, 1020 samples are created by considering all attributes. Various classification schemes are implemented for case study. First of all, markov model is applied, later deep markov model is applied and performance is evaluated. furthermore, both these techniques are combined with feature selection and feature reduction to improve the performance and finally a combined hybrid model is presented in case 6 where feature selection, feature reduction, deep learner and markov model are combined and performance is analyzed as given in table 2 and 3.

In order to compute measure the performance of the system, we use various statistical parameters which includes : (i) true positive rate , (ii) false positive rate , (iii) precision , (iv) False Measure , (v) ROC area , (vi) kappa measurement , (vii) mean absolute error , (viii) root mean square error , (ix) Relative Absolute Error and (x) Root Relative Squared Error.

Performance analysis of the proposed approach is mentioned in the given section by considering the various classification studies as mentioned earlier in this section, table 2 and 3 show performance based on proposed approach by considering various classification approaches.

True positive rate can be computed as
TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (21)

TP denotes the true positive values, FN is the representation of false negative values.

False positive rate computation is carried out using below given equation

\[ FPR = \frac{FP}{FP + TN} \quad (22) \]

Precision is computed using

\[ \text{precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (23) \]

False score is defined as

\[ f\text{score} = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN} \quad (24) \]

Kappa measurement is given as

\[ Kappa = \frac{\text{observed Class} - \text{Expected Class}}{1 - \text{Expected Class}} \quad (25) \]

In figure 5, correct classification and misclassification performance is shown for different classifiers. According to proposed approach, 2 instances are misclassified which shows better performance of proposed approach by comparing with other methods. Similarly, TP, Precision, Recall and ROC performances are depicted in figure 6 and 7. Figure 6 shows performance evaluation for class 1 whereas class 2 performance is shown in figure 7.

A comparison of the results of the above studies with that of the existing algorithms [9] is also studied. From these results, as shown in Table 2, it can be concluded that, proposed hybridized classifiers are best in terms of performance and accuracy. The hybridize algorithm always gives high performance results, very effective and suitable in LD prediction and medical diagnosis system. Based on these new preprocessing methods, it is found that the hybridized classifiers have much contribution in determination of the ultimate results in prediction and classification.

### Table 2 Comparison Of Classification Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Single classifiers</th>
<th>Hybridized Classifiers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>Case 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctly Classified</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect Classified</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa Measurement</td>
<td>85.27</td>
<td>86.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Absolute Error</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>19.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Mean Square Error</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>27.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Absolute Error</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td>18.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Relative Squared Error</td>
<td>34.06</td>
<td>29.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Instance</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, table 3 shows other comparison parameters where true positive rate, false positive rate, recall precision and ROC are considered.
### Table 3 Comparison Of Performance Evaluation Metrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-Measure</th>
<th>ROC</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markov Model</td>
<td>94.31</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>94.26</td>
<td>94.39</td>
<td>93.27</td>
<td>94.58</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93.22</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>95.12</td>
<td>93.59</td>
<td>92.84</td>
<td>94.39</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Learner Model</td>
<td>95.67</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>95.27</td>
<td>91.86</td>
<td>92.64</td>
<td>95.43</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93.87</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>94.24</td>
<td>92.63</td>
<td>91.07</td>
<td>95.09</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markov + Deep Learner Model</td>
<td>97.08</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>96.82</td>
<td>95.09</td>
<td>95.87</td>
<td>95.07</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96.41</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>95.07</td>
<td>94.27</td>
<td>94.88</td>
<td>95.76</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS + FR + Markov Model</td>
<td>98.21</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>96.56</td>
<td>95.09</td>
<td>96.86</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97.31</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>96.54</td>
<td>95.71</td>
<td>95.62</td>
<td>96.89</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS + FR + Deep Learner Model</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>98.31</td>
<td>97.68</td>
<td>96.87</td>
<td>97.81</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>97.67</td>
<td>96.89</td>
<td>96.79</td>
<td>97.09</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS + FR + Deep Learner Model</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>99.25</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>TRUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model + Markov</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>99.97</td>
<td>99.91</td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>FALSE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this manuscript we propose and execute a comparative study for the learning disability prediction in school going children using data mining approach. This work is mainly concentrates on two section (i) feature selection and feature reduction and (ii) classification. In this approach we have focused on the hybridization of the classifiers (Markov Model and Deep learner) and feature selection process. Initially, we propose an algorithm which follows the similarity based approach for missing value imputation, next feature reduction is applied by using entropy based approach and feature selection is performed by using adaptive genetic algorithm. For classification accuracy performance we use markov model classifier, deep learner classifier and hybrid model of the markov and deep learner classifier. Experimental study is carried out on the 1020 school going children dataset. Outcome of the proposed approach shows the efficiency of the proposed hybrid classification scheme for the data mining approach.
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