20<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Vol.87. No.2

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>



# DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF CONTROL SYSTEM SEPIC CONVERTER FOR SOLAR PANEL BASED ON FUZZY LOGIC

# <sup>1</sup>A. H. LOKA, <sup>2</sup>T. HARDIANTO, <sup>3</sup>B. S. KALOKO

<sup>1</sup>Asstt Laboratory of Control System, Faculty of Engineering, University of Jember, Indonesia <sup>2,3</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Jember, Indonesia E-mail: <sup>1</sup>121910201061@students.unej.ac.id, <sup>2</sup>triwahju.teknik@unej.ac.id, <sup>3</sup>kaloko@unej.ac.id

### ABSTRACT

Within renewable energy field, solar panel that principled at photovoltaic effect has been used as alternative energy. MPPT method is using to obtain maximum output power from solar panel if loads are fluctuate. MPPT that implemented use fuzzy logic in function to regulate on/off time of MOSFET in SEPIC converter by generating PWM signals. The results of testing provide load power at irradiance 1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 800 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 600 W/m<sup>2</sup>, and 400 W/m<sup>2</sup> when using MPPT is close on power value of MPP better than direct-coupled method. Average value of power ratio with MPPT based on fuzzy logic is 70.833 % whereas direct-coupled is only 29.312 %.

**Keywords:** Solar Panel, Fuzzy Logic, MPPT, SEPIC converter, Simulink

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Energy is the driving component in a variety of human activities, in particular electrical energy that can not be separated from everyday life and is followed by a rising demand. Until now, the conventional electrical energy generation still uses fuel that is limited to that of fossil fuels. However, because of the nature of non-renewable, fossil fuels can not continue to be expected to continue meeting the needs of fuel electrical energy.

From some of the existing renewable energy, sunlight or solar proven to be the right choice in electric energy generation because it can be directly converted into electricity using solar panels. The sunlight has the advantages of guaranteed resources and environmentally friendly. Photovoltaic panels are metal plates that can generate electricity when exposed to light (photons). The greater the intensity of the light incident on the photovoltaic, the greater current will be generated [1]. Photovoltaic (PV) energy has attracted more attention in the last few years as it meets the requirements of being environmentally compatible and resource conserving [2].

There are some weakness of electricity generating by solar panel. Because of irradiation and temperature on panel surface, the output becomes not constant. In the other side, coupling with variety load makes the power which supplied to load is hard to reach its maximum power.

Because of that, need to design a system that can track and optimize the power output of a solar panel. To achieve this, used the Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) method that duty cycle controlled DC-DC converter. Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) is a basic technique used to find the maximum power point of the solar panel for a constant output [3]. Composed of two main parts namely the MPPT system used to determine the point of maximum power and a DC-DC converter manifold SEPIC converter to convert electrical energy generated by solar panels into a voltage level that corresponds to the load. SEPIC converter is controlled by PWM signal with MPPT method until maximum power of solar panel reached. SEPIC converter chosen because it has several advantages such as reducing input current ripple better than the conventional boost converter [4].

### 2. LITERATUR REVIEW

Requirement of energy is greater along with the raise of needs from several sectors especially industry sector. However more and more decrease of fossil fuels reserve forcing human to find alternative energy sources. Many advance countries compete to find and dig as well as create new

<u>20<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Vol.87. No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

	ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
--	-----------------	---------------	-------------------

technology which able becoming alternative solution from fossil as energy sources. When renewable energy as a sources combine with power electronics and control system, it can create a more efficient and effective system. Renewable energy has some benefit than fossil such as the source is free and dramatically renewable.

### 2.1 Solar Cell

Solar cell is composed of a very small piece of silicon coated with special chemicals. In general, solar cell has a minimum thickness of 0.3 mm made from slices of semiconductor materials with positive and negative poles. Each solar cells usually produce voltage of 0.5 V. Solar cell is an active element (semi conductor) which utilizes the photovoltaic effect to convert solar energy into electrical energy [5].

Solar panel power ratio is the ratio between output power and load with maximum power nameplate, is calculated by dividing power that generated by solar panel with the maximum power nameplate. Nameplate maximum power is maximum power that should come out in certain light intensity conditions. The value of this parameter ranges from 0 % to 100%. The higher the ratio, the better power and efficient performance of the solar panels.

# 2.2 SEPIC Converter

Power electronic circuit that is used to convert the DC voltage level to a different DC voltage level called the DC-DC converter. SEPIC (Single-Ended Primary Inductance Converter-) is used as an option if desired combination of buck and boost voltage without the reversal process. Here is a figure of SEPIC converter.



Figure 1: SEPIC Converter

# 2.3 MPPT

Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) is an electronic system that can be used to control a solar panel system that can operate at maximum power. MPPT is an electronic control which is concentrated at the point of voltage and current characteristics of the points on the solar panel, indeed not a mechanical system. Whereas a methode without MPPT is popularly called direct-coupled.

There are many factors that affect the performance of solar panel when operating them such as effect of temperature and light intensity. MPPT system allows varying conditions that can be tracked maximum power at a certain time and moment.

# 2.4 Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy logic has not a continuous value as boolean logic. Fuzzy expressed in degrees of a membership and degree of truth. Therefore, something can be said to be partly right and partly wrong at the same time [6].

# 2.5 PWM

In a short explanation, PWM is a technique for generating a pulse signal. In general, PWM signal has the amplitude and frequency of regular basis, but has a pulse width that varies. The pulse width is proportional to the original signal that is not modulated. It can be said that the PWM signal has a fixed frequency but varying duty cycle (between 0% to 100%).

### 2.6 Simulink Matlab

The programming language Matlab is primarily used in high-level programming language based on the matrix is often used for numerical computation techniques, which are used to solve problems involving mathematical operations element, matrix, optimization, approximation etc. Simulink can be used as a means of modeling, simulating, and analyzing of dynamical systems using graphical user interface (GUI).

# 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

MPPT is an electronic control which has main function to control the number of power generated by solar panels in the form of power that is close to the value of MPP. To obtain the same condition as in the real condition, parameter that used in solar panel block in simulink is based on a solar module. Solar module which used as a source to supply the load has same specification as a solar module with model SRM-0100. Table 1 shown internal parameters of solar module SRM-0100.

<u>20<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Vol.87. No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	<u>www.jatit.org</u>				
Table 1: SRM-0100	Specification		NB	1	
Model	SRM-0100	1			
Maximum Power (P. Max)	100 Watt Peak				
Type Cell	Monocrystalline				
Voltage at P. Max (Vmp)	19.6 V	0.5 -		$\sim 1$	
Current at P. Max (Imp)	5.1 A			Å	
Short Circuit Current (Isc)	5.51 A	0			
Open Circuit Voltage (Voc)	24.0 V	-20	-15	-10	
Maximum System Voltage	1000 Vdc		<b>D</b> .	4 5	

In this study, SEPIC converter control using fuzzy logic is simulated using the features in matlab simulink R2009a. In simulink already contained components required to support the MPPT system testing. This system consists of a solar panel, a DC-DC converter circuit manifold SEPIC converter, fuzzy toolbox, and a resistor as load.



Figure 2: Diagram Block

There are many parameters that influence the selection of the size of inductors and capacitors that used in the design. Here are the parameters of this converter.

Vin	: 10–20 V
Io	: 5 A
fsw	: 14 KHz
Duty Cycle	: 75.3 % and 60.4 %
L	: 89.642 μH
С	: 1000 µF

Here is a figure of membership function used as input variables of fuzzy logic.



Membership function for Change Error variable also has five membership. The plot of membership function for Change Error variable is shown by figure 4.



E-ISSN: 1817-3195

Figure 4: Plot MF Change Error Variable

As for the output variable called variable duty cycle has the following membership function. This variable has seven membership function separated by different value for duty cycle range.



Then the fuzzy rules that applied is adjusted to table 2 below.

Table 2: Fuzzy Logic Rule						
Error Change Error	NB	NK	Ν	РК	PB	
NB	SK	K	Κ	SSK	SK	
NK	K	М	Μ	K	Κ	
Ν	SK	K	Μ	В	SB	
PK	В	SB	В	М	Κ	
PB	SSB	SSB	SSB	K	М	

Overall, circuit simulation control system SEPIC converter based on fuzzy logic is shown in figure 6.



Figure 6: Design of Research Control System SEPIC Converter Based on Fuzzy Logic

20th May 2016. Vol.87. No.2

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

JATIT

#### ISSN: 1992-8645

www.jatit.org

E-ISSN: 1817-3195

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of the parameters consists of load voltage, load current, load power, input voltage, input current, input power, and efficiency. Testing is done when whole system of solar modules using a system based on fuzzy logic and MPPT solar module without using MPPT system or direct-coupled tested. The data will be used as the test data consists of parameters such as irradiance and module temperature in table 3 below.

	No.	Irradiance (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	Modul Temp (°C)
	1.	200	25
ſ	2.	400	25
ſ	3.	600	25
ſ	4.	800	25
ſ	5.	1000	25

Figure 7 below show influence of module temperature to V-I curve.





While influence of solar module temperature to V-P curve characteristic is shown by figure 8.



While looking at curve characterystic in figure 7, modul temperature influences voltage and current that supplied by solar panel. Isc current at temperature 25° C is lower than 50° C and 75° C. But, Voc voltage at temp 25° C is higher than the other temperatures. The higher solar modul temperature, the lower Voc voltage, yet the higher Isc current.

Because of solar modul temperature infuences, each temperature has different power point. In figure 8, the highest power is gotten at 25° C and the lowest is at 75° C. Here is a characteristic curve effect of irradiance on the current and voltage solar module type SRM-0100.



Figure 9: Curve Characteristic of V-I

When formed into a curve, the effect of irradiance on the power output of solar modules SRM-0100 as shown in figure 10 below.



Figure 10: Curve Characteristic of V-P

The test results varied solar panel which then becomes a characteristic curve is an activity that has a goal to get a point from the current and voltage when maximum power (MPP current and MPP voltage) of the current and voltage produced by the solar modules under certain conditions. Power reaches a maximum value as shown in table 4.

20th May 2016. Vol.87. No.2

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645

www.jatit.org

E-ISSN: 1817-3195

Table 4: Maximum Power of Solar Module SRM-0100					
Irradiance	Temp	Vmpp	Impp	Pmpp	
$(W/m^2)$	(°C)	(V)	(A)	(W)	
200	25	18.470	0.989	18.267	
400	25	19.136	2.025	38.750	
600	25	19.441	3.062	59.528	
800	25	19.608	4.097	80.334	
1000	25	19.691	5.134	101.094	

Every rising of irradiance, solar modul power is also different. Irradiance 200 W/m<sup>2</sup> has lower power than 400 W/m<sup>2</sup> at value 18.267 W compared to 38.750 W. For this irradiance influence, the higher irradiance, the higher power output from solar modul which able to supplied to load.

### 4.1 Results of SEPIC Converter Testing

Testing of SEPIC Converter is done by getting some values of each components. Duty Cycle and load that arranged are 50 % and 10  $\Omega$ . Diode voltage converter circuit is in a maximum-value at 0.8 V.

When seconds of the beginning of the simulation begins, the inductor current L1 rises from 0 A to 7.969 A. Then after a while began to stabilize at the current maximum value of 3.339 A and a minimum of 0.530 A. Inductor L1 had voltage at range value 20.011 V until -19.758 V. Current on the inductor L2 is in between the value -3.29 A until -0.489 A, while the voltage of about 19.733 V until -20.036 V.

SEPIC converter said operating in CCM mode if the current through the inductor L1 does not fall on level 0 A. In case of the MOSFET off position, the current on the inductor L1 rises in a positive direction while the current in the inductor L2 on the contrary, rose in the negative direction. When the MOSFET is active, a negative value of L2 will increase the flow L1 inductor current. It aims to increase the current delivered to the load. Figure 12 show current of Inductor L2.

While voltage at Cout as value as voltage at load, voltage at Cin and Cs as value as input voltage from solar module. In this section, the value of the capacitors are 18.9335V, 20.1297V, and 20,12 V. In the other side, MOSFET had 6.665 A for current and 39.8778 for voltage.

The test results of SEPIC converter circuit when described to be a curve can be seen in figure 11, which show the influence of the duty cycle of the output voltage to the load of 5  $\Omega$  and 10  $\Omega$ .



Figure 11: Comparison of SEPIC Converter Output With Duty Cycle

### 4.2 Solar Module With Direct-coupled Method

Here is a comparison of the power curve that can be supplied by the solar modules compared to the load on different irradiance.



Figure 12: Curve of Comparison Load Power With Load Resistance at Direct-Coupled Method

Comparison of load voltage with load resistance, shown by the curve in figure 13.



Figure 13: Curve of Comparison Load Voltage With Load Resistance at Direct-Coupled Method

20<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Vol.87. No.2 © 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved TITAL

It is seen that the greater the resistance of the load, the voltage supplied by the solar modules increases. Furthermore, the highest irradiance has the highest load voltage anyway.

Load current at each level of radiation are likely to continue to decline if the imposed loads that have greater resistance. The load current when using direct-coupled method is shown by figure 14.



Figure 14: Curve of Comparison Load Current With Load Resistance at Direct-Coupled Method

Each irradiance have various value of current, voltage and power too. Higher irradiance are having higher value of them. Power, voltage, and current at irradiance 1000  $W/m^2$  is higher than irradiance 800  $W/m^2$  when solar modul tested by direct-coupled method. Load voltage are likely do not show any difference that visible clear. Commonly, the value of voltage and current depend on load resistance.

# 4.3 Solar Module With MPPT Method

Here is a comparison of the power curve that can be supplied by the solar modules compared to the load on different irradiance.



Figure 15: Curve of Comparison Load Power With Load Resistance at MPPT Method

Voltage more increase if there is an increase load resistance. If the resistance of load is greater, the voltage will be greater and closer to short circuit voltage value corresponding characteristic curve of solar cell in a certain irradiance.



Figure 16: Curve of Comparison Load Voltage With Load Resistance at MPPT Method

Different with the load voltage results got, load current for every raising of resistance is getting down. The value is lower in every irradiance. Flow of getting down if the load resistance is increase. This is in accordance with the characteristic curve of the solar cell due to load resistance coupled to the solar cell, the current generated would be closer to the short-circuit current of a solar cell.



Figure 17: Curve of Comparison Load Current With Load Resistance at MPPT Method

### 4.4 Comparison Results of Both Method

Unlike the case with method that does not use MPPT, or can be called as direct-coupled method. This method does not use a series of SEPIC converter to regulate the incoming voltage kebeban. Direct-coupled method directly connecting the output of the solar module to the load. The circuit between them is the series circuit. When using this method, regardless of the output of the solar

<u>20<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Vol.87. No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645 <u>www.jatit.org</u> E-ISSN: 1817-3195

modules is strongly influenced by the load resistance.

Based on the test results of the two methods, the ratio of the voltage to the resistance can be seen in this following figure.



Figure 18: Curve of Comparison Load Voltage With Load Resistance at Both Method

Voltage during MPPT control system used tend to rise along with the increase in the value of the load resistance. This is because the control of the SEPIC converter which can regulate the voltage delivered to the load.

At the irradiance of 1000 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 800 W/m<sup>2</sup> and 600 W/m<sup>2</sup>, MPPT method can produce a higher current than the method without MPPT. Current flows on methods without MPPT range in value of 0.217 A to 2.245 A, while the methods of MPPT has a total range higher at 0.234 A to 2.992 A.



Figure 19: Curve of Comparison Load Current With Load Resistance at Both Method

Comparison of power results from both methods were tested shown in figure 20 below.



Figure 20: Curve of Comparison Load Power With Load Resistance at Both Method

### 4.5 Power Ratio

5.

Power ratio is the ratio between the maximum power load power with corresponding nameplate solar modules. Power ratio can be divided into four grades according to irradiance which used for testing. The power ratio of the two methods used are shown in table 5 below.

Table 5: Power Ratio From Both Methoa						
	Irradiance	MPPT Method	Direct-coupled			
No.	$(W/m^2)$	Power Ratio	Method Power			
	( •••/111 )	(%)	Ratio (%)			
1.	1000	86.519	15.920			
2.	800	86.424	19.414			
3.	600	84.802	24.996			
4.	400	65.362	34.730			

31.059

51.502

Table 5: Power Ratio From Both Method

MMPT method have larger power ratio than direct-coupled almost at all irradiance except 200  $W/m^2$ . Based on the above power ratio, the average power ratio for both methods is as follows.

MPPT method : 70.833%

200

Direct-coupled method : 29.312%

The greater the value of the power ratio is the better. MPPT method can improve the ratio of power rather than using the direct method coupled. That is shown by average power ratio of MPPT method is higher with value 70.833 % compared with 29.312 % on direct-coupled method.

### 5. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research analysis SEPIC converter control system based on fuzzy logic, can be taken two conclusions. First, power load when using MPPT method is closer to power

<u>20<sup>th</sup> May 2016. Vol.87. No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

at the maximum power point of the solar module especially at irradiance  $1000 \text{ W/m}^2$ ,  $800 \text{ W/m}^2$ , and  $600 \text{ W/m}^2$  better than direct-coupled method. Last is the average ratio of power when the system is applied MPPT method based on fuzzy logic is 70.833 % whereas when using direct-coupled method has lower power ratio in the number of 29.312 %.

# **REFRENCES:**

- [1] B. P. J. Putra, Aulia Siti Aisjah, and Syamsul Arifin, "Rancang Bangun Maximum Power Point Tracking pada Panel Photovoltaic Berbasis Logika Fuzzy di Buoy Weather Station", *Jurnal Teknik POMITS*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [2] R. E. Gouri, M. B. Brahim, and L. Hlou, "A Comparative Study of MPPT Theorical Based on Fuzzy Logic and Perturb Observe Algorithms for Photovoltaic Systems", *Journal* of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, Vol. 58, No. 2, December 20, 2013, pp. 336.
- [3] D. Bawa, and C. Y. Patil, "Fuzzy Control Based Solar Tracker using Arduino Uno", *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)*, Vol.2, 2013, pp. 179-182.
- [4] M. R. Banaei, M.R. Shirinabady, and Mehdi Mirzaey, "MPPT Control of Photovoltaic Using SEPIC Converter to Reduce the Input Current Ripples", *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 2014, pp. 165-166.
- [5] N. F. Ginting, "Studi Pengkajian Pembangkit Tenaga Listrik Mikro Dari Sel Surya Di Daerah Yang Tidak Dijangkau Distribusi PLN", Sumatera Utara University, 2010.
- [6] M. I. Fauzi, "Sistem Maxmimum Power Point Tracker (MPPT) dengan konverter DC-DC tipe Boost Menggunakan Logika Fuzzy untuk Panel Surya", *Jember University*, 2014.