<u>10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645

www.jatit.org



# STATIC STRUCTURE AND DYNAMIC VIEWS OF PURCHASES PROCESS AND MODELING IT IN ITERATIVE METHOD BASED ON USE-CASE SCENARIOS

<sup>1</sup><u>F. ALAGHBARI</u>, <sup>2</sup>N. AKNIN

Information Technology and Modeling Systems Research Group

Computer Science, University Abdelmalek Essaadi, Faculty of Sciences, Tetouan, Morocco

E-mail: <sup>1</sup>agh.fatehi@yahoo.com, <sup>2</sup> aknin@uae.ma

# ABSTRACT

Our research comes to supplement the previous researches that have represented business process modeling languages. The representation performed in this paper is focuses on two most widely used iterative method based on Use-Case scenarios for purchase processes: static structure and dynamic views. To clarify how to use this method we applied it in purchase process by some steps. Use-Cases should be kept as simple as possible. Occasionally, however, we will encounter irreducible complexity and will need to formulate complex Use-Cases. Rather than trying to capture this complexity with lots of branching and alternative flows, it is easier, and less error prone, to model the main flows through this branching network as separate scenarios. The results presented in this paper as some models in UML. In addition, these models that facilitates the analysis phase of purchase process and make processes clear from perspective of analysts, managers, employers and also users. On the other hand, the aim in this paper will make the design phase easier and explained as a future work to complete the design phase of the purchase operations in implementation and testing phases.

**Keywords:** Static Structure, Dynamic Views, Scenarios, Use-Case Scenarios, purchase Process, Use-Case Diagram.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

An Information system reflects the reality of business process to static structure and dynamic activities of organizations. Therefore, the process of developing an information system begins by drawing a domain model of the business as part of the real world, the result is a conceptual design that does not include aspects that depend on computation, it serves as a way of communication and a guide for the next design phase. Researchers have examined and proposed extending the use of object-oriented languages such as UML at the conceptual level [1]. (e.g. [2], [3], [4]). The conceptual domain modeling in the business environment has been represented by UML, which is interested with providing a representation of things and objects" that exist and processes, activities and procedures that arise in a business environment. The problem with extending objectoriented models and languages is that such

languages possess no real-world business or organizational meaning; i.e., it is unclear what the constructs of such languages mean in terms of the business [5]. Most previous scientific research mentioned modeling business processes, according to Douraid et. al. [6], focused on the procurement process within the manufacturing sector; forasmuch the good control of procurement is crucial as well it composes an interesting proportion of costs along the whole chain. Thus, Douraid et. al. proposed a flexible model representing the procurement process of a supplier-manufacturer relationship to reduce this complexity and to make it easier to simulate. For this, they have chosen as the tool of modeling the Unified Modeling Language (UML) to design the systems structure and describe its behavior. The designed UML diagrams could be transformed into a simulation model to be run by way of a specialized simulation environment. And according to Sabah Al-Fedaghi [7] proposed a uniform conceptual methodology that integrates

10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

static and dynamic features to provide a foundation for system design in the next phase of development and proposed a new approach to the problem of conceptual representation of functionality in the field of object oriented software development. Instead of the class/object-based description of requirements, the methodology incorporates the dynamic aspects of the system by adopting the notion of flow.

This paper proposes a new method for modeling of static structure and dynamic view problem in the field of business processes and modeling it in iterative method based on Use-Case scenarios and applied it in purchases process as part of business processes.

#### **I TERATIVE METHODOLOGY**

Iterative method is a logical extension of the Spiral Model, but it's more stricter and legalization [8]. These are achievement phases on the respectively. The method is divided into four main phases: Inception, Elaboration, Construction and Transition. Iterative methodology as mentioned in Rational Unified Process [9], split the problem into partial problems and solved every problem in several phases. There is usually a preliminary step, the inception phase where user requirements are gathered, a business case for the project is defined, and ends with testing phase, passing through analysis and design phase, so that every part takes a specific time period of the schedule in advance, so that the issuance is preliminary solution to the problem, and then move on to the second part of the main problem, and so on. Typical artifacts from the inception phase are the initial revisions of the project's Vision, Supplementary Specification, Use-Case Model, and Glossary. These documents are further refined during the subsequent development phases. Following the inception phase is one or more elaboration phases, during which the development team will analyze, design, implement, and test a subset of the user requirements. During this phase, the development team may also perform deeper analysis on the user requirements that will be handled during the next phase. Typical artifacts from this elaboration phases are updates to the Vision, Supplementary Specification, Use-Case Model, and Glossary, and new documentation specific to analysis and design is generated and refined: the Domain Model, System Sequence Diagram Diagram Specification, Sequence Specification, Operation Contract Specification, and Class Model [10]. In this paper we used iterative method in the form of Use-Cases scenarios, in order to reach clear models of static structure and dynamic views for business processes and applied this methodology to purchase processes as explained in the remaining paragraphs.

#### 2. U SE-C ASE ANALYSIS

Use-Case diagrams address the business processes that the system will implement [11]. Use-Cases describe the functional capabilities of the system and the external actors that interact with it [12]. Use-Case modeling is very popular within the software engineering community and service requirements can be effectively analyzed through Use-Case modeling. Use-Case modeling makes the user understand how the system works through the relationships between actors and Use-Cases. Use-Case modeling is user based and a function oriented analysis method. An Actor is an external entity. The actor can be a person or an outside system which will be interactive with system. In the terms of scope of responsibilities, we define two actors: purchase manager and Inventory clerk who respectively take charge of corresponding work. Therefore, after defining explicitly actors and Use-Case, if we plot Use-Case diagram to visualize interaction between actors and Use-Case, we can get more information about system behavior. Based on the target of actors, Use-Case can be identified. The Use-Case diagram is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Use-Case diagram for Need recognition

#### 3. S TATIC MODELING

Static modeling is depicted by class diagram [13]. Class diagram is the core technology of the object-oriented method; it is well known and widely used in the middle of software developers. Class diagram shows the classes in a system and diversity of relationships between classes. Through designing of class to realization of each Use-Case into the specific class so as to complete the design

10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645 <u>www.jatit.org</u> E-ISSN: 1817-3195
--

of the process of refinement. The analysis of class is built on the basis of Use-Case [11]. As analyzed above, we can plot object class diagram of every module after defining object classes and their relations, multidimensional and role. The class diagram of purchases process is shown in Figure 2. Yet, the class diagram , object diagram or the Use-Case diagram gives a static vision of the functionality [14]. For this reason, we have carried out some dynamic diagrams to show the behavioral aspects of this mechanism.



Figure 2: static modeling of purchase process by object diagram.

# 4. DYNAMIC MODELING

Use-Case and class model belong to the static models, system dynamic behavior can be described using UML dynamic modeling. Dynamic model is used to describe the function of the system [11]. In the actual application, many diagrams can be used such as sequence diagram, collaboration diagram, activity diagram, communication diagram and state chart diagram; these dynamic modeling diagrams can describe object behavior and interaction between objects from different perspectives. Specifications are generated. For the sake of brevity of the paper and complication of the system, we choose purchase process as examples to show the processes of dynamic modeling.

#### 4.1 Activity Diagram

Activity diagrams describe the dynamic behavior of a system. The aim to use activity diagrams is to model the workflow behind the system being designed. Sequencing of activities can be controlled by means of conditions [6]. Three activity diagrams can synchronize their execution by sending and receiving signals. Activity diagrams can be subdivided into swim lanes. Each swim lane represents activities that are performed in a particular location, department, or by a specific actor [15]. Fig.3 is an activity diagram explaining the flow of objects and events in purchase process. And therefore activity diagram emphasizes the flow of control among objects and models the functions of a system [16]. The purchase process activity diagram is shown in figure 3.



# Figure 3: dynamic modeling of purchase process by activity diagram.

There are three actors in figure 3, each actor has some activities in purchase process, and the activities of purchase process include:

- 1) Inventory clerk verifies of stock level. Through the audit by the Inventory Clerk actor, warehouse becomes ready to receive any goods.
- 2) If found a lack of inventory in warehouse ,the Inventory Clerk requests an order and submitted to Purchase Manager.
- 3) After that, Purchasing Manager decides to purchase order according to the company's policy and also supply and demand in the market.
- 4) After taking purchase decision, purchase Manager works on to place the purchase

10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

order, at the same time he works on to select the right supplier, according of information he had at the company, then sends the request to the supplier.

- 5) the Supplier works on to receive the order , fulfill it , place the invoice Based on order and transport it to company.
- 6) Thence Inventory Clerk works on to receive goods, inspecting goods according to purchase order.
- If the goods are Matching to specifications then transfer it to warehouse, if Not reject the goods and return it to supplier.

#### 4.2 Sequence Diagram

Sequence diagram illustrate object interactions and their activities and messages in time-sequence order. The purchase process sequence diagram is shown in figure 4.



Figure 4: dynamic modeling of purchase process by sequence diagram.

#### 5. SCENARIOS

Scenarios are another way of looking at Use-Cases. A scenario is one specific path through a Use-Case [17]. When you document a Use-Case, if you tease out specific paths that can be taken through the Use-Case flow of events, then each of these paths is a scenario. The important feature of scenarios is that they do not branch. Therefore, each possible branch in the Use-Case flow of events potentially generates a separate scenario. Each Use-Case has exactly one primary scenario. This is the path through the complex flow. For the primary scenario everything goes as expected and desired, and there are no errors, deviations, interrupts, or branches. Each Use-Case also has many secondary scenarios these are alternative paths to the primary scenario through the flow of events. It is sometimes useful to think of a complex Use-Case as being like a river delta with many branching channels. Every Use-Case has one primary scenario that is the main channel through the delta. The other, smaller, channels in the river delta are the secondary scenarios. These secondary scenarios can capture errors (often known as exception scenarios), branches, and interrupts to the main flow [17]. The basic principle in Use-Case modeling is to keep the amount of information captured to the necessary minimum. This means that many secondary scenarios may never be specified at all the one-line description of them in the Use-Case may be enough detail to allow understanding of the functioning of the system. This is an important point it is very easy to get swamped in scenarios, and we have seen more than one Use-Case modeling activity fail because of this. Remember that you are capturing Use-Cases and scenarios to understand the desired behavior of the system, and not just for the sake of creating a complete Use-Case model. You therefore stop Use-Case modeling when you feel that you have achieved that understanding. Also, because the UP is an iterative life-cycle, you can always go back to a Use-Case and do more work if there is some aspect of the system's behavior that you decide you don't really understand[17].

#### 4.1 Specifying the primary scenario

When you use the scenario method for documenting Use-Cases, the Use-Case specification contains the primary scenario and a list of the names of the secondary scenarios under an appropriately titled section. The secondary scenarios are typically documented separately and in much the same way as Use-Cases are documented. An example is given in table II, which contains many of rows as:

Use- Case name: implements the main or primary activity in the process.

Id: identification unique of Use-Case.

Actors: In order to identify the actors, you need to consider who or what uses the system, and what

10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

roles they play in their interactions with Use-Case [18].

Objects: all objects and classes that interactions to gathers in the process.

Preconditions: contains some of events or activities which requires running before do primary scenario steps.

Primary scenario: contains series of steps as algorithm in specific Use-Case.

Secondary scenarios: are identified by inspection of the primary scenarios as possible alternative flows.

Postconditions: contains some of events or activities which requires running after do primary scenario steps. And the rest of details are shown in table 2.

#### 4.2 Specifying secondary scenarios

You should specify secondary scenarios in the same way that you specify Use-Cases. You must always state clearly how the scenario begins and ensure that it is just one specific path through the Use-Case flow of events with no branching. Each secondary scenario must be traceable back to its UseCase. The simple naming convention shown in tables III and IV are a good way to do this. Notice that secondary scenarios can reference the primary scenario in their flow of events. Secondary scenarios are identified by inspection of the primary scenarios [18]. At each step in the primary scenario, look for:

- Possible alternative flows.
- Errors that might be raised.
- Interrupts that might occur to the flow things that might happen at any time.

Each of these is a possible source of a secondary scenario [18].

#### 6. ITERATIVE METHOD BASED ON USE-CASE SCENARIOS

To present how this method is used in purchases process, we take a short part of user story and detail all steps followed from Use-Case Scenarios to determining Static structure and dynamic views. To clarify how to develop any kind of business processes using this method, we move to show steps followed giving purchases process example for each one:

**Step 1:** form user stories In this step a set of interviews, workshopsetc. must be done to gather and elicit all functional requirements. Then write the gathered information in a well formed ordered text using a developer style (user stories). In this step we try to capture actor and color in red and Use-Case and color in blue, for our example we take a part from the user stories about creating new purchase process as shown in table 1.

inventory clerk restricts warehouses for knowledge level of each item in warehouses and report it to the Purchasing Manager, then purchasing manager makes a decision to buy, and edit purchase order and choose supplier , according to supplier information in the company. After that, the supplier prepares demand as required, and edit invoice for that , then transfer goods to the company place by transporter. Then delivery goods and Receipt it to inventory clerk , and inspect by inspection committee, and match the specifications required, then cash specialist works on to edit payment document according to purchase invoice, and finally financial accountant works-in accounting amount to the supplier account, this purchase process cycle.

**Step 2:** Use-Case Diagrams In this step, first we begin by highlighting Actors, then identifying Use-Cases one by one and define to which Use-Case belongs each one, after that, as we said we must think "actor", we capture from the text what must that actor offer, what use-case must be ran on that actor to answer users goals, then check the appropriate use-case from the predefined ones. While doing this, we project and model the gathered elements (actor, use-case, connection, interaction) on a Use-Case diagram by creating the model, their actors with use-cases. The Use-Case diagram is shown in figure 5.



<u>10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved



www.jatit.org

E-ISSN: 1817-3195



Figure 5: Use-Case diagram for Purchase process.

**Step 3:** Determining primary and secondary scenarios. In this step we take purchase process Use-Case be considered the main process as primary scenario. As noted in step two, which was to extract the actors and Use-Cases, then converted into scenarios and also add a new row of objects as shown in table 2, so that we write all the objects that have been extracted from the user stories in step one. We can descript Need recognition Use-Case as secondary scenario that shown in table 3. And we can descript Purchase order Use-Case as secondary scenario that shown in table 4.

TABLE 2: Primary Scenario For Use-Case: purchase Process

	Invoice approval and payment
Postconditions	After purchase process finished, the Record maintenance begin

TABLE 3: Secondary Scenario For Use-Case: Need Recognition.

Use-Case name	Purchase process
Secondary scenario	Need recognition
ID	UC 02
Actors	Inventory Clerk, Specialist Stock
Objects	Items, NeedOrder, Warehouse, PurchaseManager, InventoryClerk.
Preconditions	Warehouse Items are lack in order to request order for some items
Secondary scenario	<ol> <li>login the system as Inventory Clerk.</li> <li>determine the required period to print report.</li> <li>determine the item category or all item.</li> <li>write request order for lack items.</li> <li>Up this order to Purchase Manager.</li> <li>Purchase Manager decides to complete purchase process.</li> </ol>
Postconditions	Complete the purchase order process.

TABLE 4: Secondary Scenario For Use-Case: Purchase Order.

Use-Case	Purchase process		Order.
name	Turenase process	Use-Case	Purchase process
		name	
ID	UC 01	0 1	D 1 1
Actors	Purchase manager, supplier, inspector , inventory clerk, transporter,	Secondary scenario	Purchase order
	financial accountant, cash specialist	ID	UC 03
Objects	Items, NeedOrder, PurchaseOrder,	Actors	Purchase Manager
2	Invoice, Supplier, Warehouse, PurchaseManager, InventoryClerk	Objects	Items, NeedOrder, PurchaseOrder, Supplier, Warehouse,
Preconditions	Warehouse Items are lack in order to request order for some items.	Preconditions	PurchaseManager.1) write request order for lack items.
Primary	1) Need recognition		2) Up this order to Purchase Manager.
scenario	2) Specific need	Secondary	1) login the system as Purchase
	3) Source options	scenario	Manager.
	4) Price and terms		<ol> <li>Purchase order processing, as requested by Inventory Clerk.</li> </ol>
	5) Purchase order		3) Placing the purchase order to
	6) Delivery		suppliers.
	7) Expediting		4) Select the appropriate supplier.
	8) Receipt and inspection of purchases		5) Send the purchase order to the selected supplier.
	9) Invoice approval and payment		6) Tracking the purchase order
~ 1	10) Record maintenance		until the goods are delivered
Secondary	Need recognition		to the company's stores.
scenarios	• Purchase order	Postconditions	Receipt and inspection of purchases.
	Delivery		

<u>10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1</u>

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645 <u>www.jatit.org</u> E-ISSN: 1817-3195

**Step 4:** extracting Object and modeling class's diagrams form table 2 of primary scenario above we can capture the objects and classes that implement it, after that modeling class diagram as show in figure 6.



Figure 5: Class diagram for Purchase process.

**Step 5:** modeling sequence diagram synchronize with communication diagram, in this step we can draw the sequence diagram, based on what was in the step three, especially in primary scenario row, which contains a series of steps demonstrate overall purchase process in general, as shown in figure 7. And then we can draw communication diagram according to sequence diagram as shown in figure 8.



Figure 7: sequence diagram for Purchase process.



Figure 8: communication diagram for Purchase process.

# 7. CONCLUSION

Our method is the only one employing a formal primary scenario and a list of the names of the secondary scenarios. This opens the possibility to check static and dynamic business process properties. In this paper, a new method of modeling Static Structure and Dynamic Views of Purchases Process is presented by using Iterative Method based on Use-Case Scenarios. Use-Cases Scenarios are good at capturing system functionality. They are poor at capturing system constraints, a scenario is one specific path through a Use-Case, to clarify how to use this method we applied it in purchase process by five steps listed as follows: Step1: form user stories. Step2 : Use-Case Diagrams. Step3: Determining primary and secondary scenarios. Step4: extracting Object and modeling classes diagrams. Step5: modeling sequence diagram synchronize with communication diagram. Finally, the results presented in this paper as some of models in UML. In addition, these models that facilitate the analysis phase of purchase process and make processes clear from perspective of analysts, managers, employers and also users. On the other hand, the aim presented in this paper will make the design phase easier and explained as a future work to complete the design phase of the purchase operations in implementation, coding and testing phases.

#### REFERENCES

[1] G. Om, "Unified modeling language: Superstructure version 2.0, formal/05-07-04," 2005.

10<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Vol.85. No.1

© 2005 - 2016 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
		~ ~

- "Modeling and formally checking workflow properties using uml and ocl," in Perspectives in Business Informatics Research. Springer, 2010, pp. 130-145.
- [3] I. Castillo, F. Losavio, A. Matteo, and J. Bøegh, "Requirements, aspects and software quality: the reasq model." Journal of Object Technology, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 69-91, 2010.
- [4] J. Evermann and Y. Wand, "Towards ontologically based semantics for uml constructs," in Conceptual ModelingER 2001. Springer, 2001, pp. 354–367.
- J. Evermann, "Thinking [5] ontologically: Conceptual versus design models in uml," Ontologies and business analysis. Idea Group Publishing, 2005.
- [6] A. Douraid, S. L. Elhaq, A. Rachid, and H. Ech-Cheikh, "Modeling procurement process in manufacturing industry using uml," in the 1 st IEEE International Conference on Logistics Operations Management GOL, 2012.
- [7] S. Al-Fedaghi, "Reconceptualization of class onceptualization of class onceptualization of class-based representation representation representation in uml," 2012.
- [8] A. Bajaj, Systems Analysis and Design for Advanced Modeling Methods: Best Practices: Best Practices. IGI Global, 2009.
- [9] P. Kruchten, The rational unified process: an introduction. AddisonWesley Professional, 2004.
- [10] R. J. Lavey, "Tanager: a case study of iterative development in object oriented analysis and design," Ph.D. dissertation, Citeseer, 2007.
- [11] H. Zhu and X. Li, "Modeling of information system for cluster supply
- chain based on uml," Journal of Computational Information Systems, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 2849-2857, 2010.
- [12] L. R. Kopczak and M. E. Johnson, "The supplychain management effect," MIT Sloan Management Review, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 27-34, 2003.
- [13] R. R.-m. LI Chuang and W. Li-ping, "Research on the development of scm system for the textile and garment industry based on uml," Donghua University: ENGLISH, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 144–149, 2004.
- [14] P. Rittgen, Enterprise modeling and computing with UML. IGI Global, 2006.

- [2] J. Br " uning, M. Gogolla, and P. Forbrig, [15] J. Rumbaugh, I. Jacobson, G. Booch, E. Burr-Campillo, V. Campillo, and V. Warion, UML 2: guide de r' ef' erence. CampusPress, 2004.
  - [16] Z. Haibo, "Modeling of the third party reverse logistics information system based on uml," in Computer Science and Information Technology,
  - 2008. ICCSIT'08. International Conference on. IEEE, 2008, pp. 327-331.
  - [17] J. Arlow and I. Neustadt, UML 2 and the unified process: practical object-oriented analysis and design. Pearson Education, 2005.
  - [18] —, UML and the unified process: practical object-oriented analysis and design. Addison-Wesley, 2002.