SENSORLESS CONTROL OF SWITCHED RELUCTANCE MOTOR WITH FUZZY-PI CONTROLLER
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ABSTRACT

Currently, the switched reluctance motor is recognized as a performing engine, due to its high reliability, robustness and low cost.

In this paper, a PI controller applied for SRM speed control is improved using a Fuzzy logic algorithm, which made the PI controller gains self-adapting. Simulation with MATLAB/SIMULINK software are carried out to show the improvement performance under many constraints.

Difficulties encountered to impose a standard mechanical sensor to the SRM and the disadvantages of this sensor in terms of cost and reliability have motivated us to use current gradient slope method to estimate the SRM position, this method uses the current profile.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Compared with AC and DC machines, the Switched Reluctance Machine is very reliable, easy to manufacture and low cost. Since each phase is largely independent: physically, electrically and magnetically from the other phases [1].

In recent decades, electronic power components have known a great evolution, which led to a remarkable development of SRM drive and a larger industrial demand. The speed control is essential in industrial, domestic and vehicle applications etc [2]. Furthermore, the development of calculators allowed the implementation of nonlinear methods to improve the performance of commands, using genetic algorithm, neural network and sliding mode [3].

The control of the SRM is done by switching the phase currents, which are synchronized with the rotor position. This synchronization is achieved thanks to the information returned by the position sensor. The estimated position from the current profile; allows us to abandon the use of the position sensor, thereby improving the reliability and reducing the cost and size [4, 5].

In practice, the majority of SRM are controlled with traditional PI speed controller. The perturbations of the loads and the variations of parameters of SRM make this controller less efficient, hence the necessity of innovative nonlinear algorithm [6, 7]. The fuzzy logic control technique (FLC: fuzzy logic control) has been used for over twenty years with large successful applications [8, 9]. It is an ideal technique for complex systems that are difficult to model, as the SRM and for the systems, which represents significant variation in parameters such as the mechanical load that will be used in this work [10].

This paper presents a sensorless control of the switched reluctance motor with a Fuzzy PI controller to control speed. First, we will present the switched reluctance motor and her non-linear model. Afterwards, the technique used to estimate the position and the Fuzzy PI controller. At the end, the performances and improvements of this command on MATLAB / Simulink.

2. STRUCTURE AND MODEL OF SRM
2.1 Structure of the SRM

The SRM machine contains a toothed stator and rotor, the stator is constituted by a fixed frame comprising notches in which conductors are housed, and the rotor is
constituted by a single metal mass of soft machined iron, or stacked sheets to form teeth, as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Structure of SRM 8/6

The operating principle of an SRM is that of an electromagnet [2]. When a phase is energized, the rotor turns to get into the position where the flux created by the stator is maximum. This position is called aligned position. The opposite position where the flux is minimum is called the unaligned position.

2.2 Model of the SRM

Notations

- \( V_k \): The voltage at the phase terminal
- \( I_k \): The current flowing through the phase
- \( R \): Resistance of the phase
- \( T_e \): Electromagnetic torque
- \( \Phi_k \): The flux in the stator pole
- \( \omega \): Rotational speed
- \( \theta \): Angular position of the rotor relative to the stator
- \( L_k \): Inductance of the phase
- \( T_L \): Load torque
- \( J \): The inertia
- \( B \): Coefficient of friction

The voltages at the windings terminals can be calculated by:

\[
V_k = RI_k + \frac{d\Phi_k}{dt} \quad k=1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{1}
\]

The flux in the stator pole is defined as the product of the inductance of the phase and the current flowing there through.

\[
\Phi_k (\theta) = L_k (\theta) I_k (\theta) \quad k=1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{2}
\]

The rotational speed of the SRM is given by:

\[
\omega = \frac{d\theta}{dt} \tag{3}
\]

By replacing the flux, and the speed with the expressions (2) and (3), the equation (1) will be described by the following formula:

\[
V_k = RI_k(t) + L_k(t) \frac{dI_k(t)}{dt} + \frac{dL_k(t)}{dt} \frac{dI_k(t)}{dt} \tag{4}
\]

The mechanical equation of the SRM is:

\[
j \frac{d\omega}{dt} = T_e - T_L - B\omega \tag{5}
\]

When the magnetic circuit is not saturated, the electromagnetic torque is given by:

\[
T_{sk} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dL_k}{d\theta} I_k^2 \quad k=1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{6}
\]

Note that the sign of the torque does not depend on the current direction. For a motor torque, the phase must be supplied when the inductance is increasing; and for a braking torque, the phase must be supplied when the inductance decreases [11].

The average torque can be written as the superposition of torques of each motor phase:

\[
T_e = \sum_{\text{phase}=1}^{4} T_{\text{phase}} \tag{7}
\]

3. CONVERTER TOPOLOGY

The control of the SRM is performed by switching the supplied voltage between the three values \( U_{dc}, -U_{dc} \) and 0, from the continuous source. This source can be battery or generally an AC power supply with a rectifier and filter.

Figure 2: Asymmetric Bridge
the soft switching strategy [12]. As the studied machine has four phase (MRV 8/6), the inverter will contain four asymmetric bridges, one for each phase. IGBT will be used for the switches $T_1$ and $T_2$.

4. POSITION ESTIMATION METHOD

In SRM a sensor is attached on the rotor to provide the rotor position, however its use will reduce the reliability of the system and will increase the cost and size. Why it is important to use a method of estimating position. Compared with other methods of position estimation, the Current Sensor Gradient Method (CGSM) does not require knowledge of the magnetic characteristics. It uses the change of the current slope, in the position of the beginning of overlap between the rotor pole and stator pole, to estimate this position $\theta_e$ Figure 3.

Figure 3: Current waveform and its relationship to rotor position

The operating principle of the CGSM is that the current slope becomes zero in the position $\theta_e$ where the inductance starts to increase. The position detection system shown in Figure 13 contains for each phase a current sensor, two low-pass filters of 2nd order to eliminate the switching frequencies and noise, a differentiator to get the slope of the current $dI/dt$ and finally a zero detector for detecting the annulment of the slope, that is the position to estimate. The current of the line $I_{bus}$ contains all information of the four phase currents. Thanks to its use, the components in the detection system will be reduced.

The CGSM can estimate one position per stroke. The stroke in degree is given by:

$$\Delta \theta = \frac{360}{m \times N_r} \quad (8)$$

Where $N_r$ and $m$ are the number of rotor poles and number of phases, respectively. For SRM 8/6 the stroke in degree is 15°.

5. THE FUZZY-PI CONTROLLER

5.1 System Identification and PI controller

There are various methods to get the transfer function of SRM, in order to have optimum modeling. In this paper, we use the system identification method to find the transfer function of the SRM. This is the most practical method, and as the CGSM, used in this article to estimate the position, does not require knowledge of the SRM parameters, it consists of supplying the SRM with $U_{ref}$ step set-point. And from the response we identify the transfer function parameters of the system.

From the response shown in Figure 4, the SRM transfer function approximated by the identification method is first order and is written:

$$H(s) = \frac{\omega(s)}{V(s)} = \frac{K}{1 + \tau s} \quad (9)$$

With $\tau$, the instant that the response is equal to 63% of the final value and equal to 59ms. K is the ratio between the final value of the response and the amplitude of the input echelon of the identification method and equal to 18.66.

The error between the estimated speed and the reference speed is corrected with a PI controller. It is chosen to reduce the static error
and to improve the speed and reduce the overshoot. Its function is as follows:

$$G(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

With $K_p = 0.072$ and $K_i = 1.1866$.

However, the standard PI controller has major disadvantages under load variation and mechanical motor parameters uncertainty, hence the need for an adapted PI controller, which will size with fuzzy logic method.

5.2 FUZZY-PI controller

A fuzzy logic algorithm is associated with a standard PI controller to achieve a FUZZY-PI corrector, it is a non-linear controller with adjustable gains and designated for 25% of the rated load.

![Figure 5: Fuzzy-PI controller structure](image)

The role of FUZZY-PI controller is to compensate the error between the reference speed and the measured speed (estimated) "error 1" and compensate the error between the reference speed and the desired speed profile "error 2". These two errors are simulated respectively as the entry of the proportional gain and integral gain, these gains each have an output calculated with a special process.

![Figure 6: the proposed Fuzzy-PI controller](image)

To accomplish the role of FUZZY-PI controller two principles must be satisfied, the first: The proportional gain must increase if the measured speed (estimated) is less than the desired speed profile. The second principle: the integral gain should increase proportionally between the measured (estimated) and desired speeds. For this, we use five fuzzy sets for fuzzification and the same number of fuzzy set is used for the output, to establish ten rules to ensure that the measured speed (estimated) follows the desired speed profile.
estimated speed is corrected with the FUZZY-PI controller. Its output signal is compared with a PWM signal in order to control asymmetric bridge converter to supply the four SRM phases.

### Table 1: SIMULATION PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Stator resistance</td>
<td>0.05 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Inertia</td>
<td>0.002 Kg.m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Friction</td>
<td>0.005 N.m.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_s</td>
<td>Unaligned inductance</td>
<td>0.67 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_em</td>
<td>Aligned inductance</td>
<td>23.6 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_DC</td>
<td>DC-voltage</td>
<td>240 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_s</td>
<td>Number of stator Poles</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_r</td>
<td>Number of rotor Poles</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω</td>
<td>Rated speed</td>
<td>3000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Rated torque</td>
<td>5.2 N.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_pwm</td>
<td>PWM frequency</td>
<td>10kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed Fuzzy-PI controller was compared to the PI controller by simulation in different tests. In the first test, we vary the reference speed; the results are shown in Figure 8.

From Figure 8, we note that when the PI controller is used, the speed presents overshoot caused by the over-sizing. On the other hand, when the Fuzzy-PI controller is used, the overshoot disappeared.

The second test performed considered the speed inversion and the torque variation effects on speed tracking. To simulate, 50% and 80% rated torque is added. From Figure 9 it has been seen that the two correctors were affected at low speed, nevertheless the Fuzzy-PI controller presents better results than the PI controller.

---

**Figure 7:** Fuzzy Logic algorithm components: fuzzy sets for the outputs, the resulting control surface and principle.

**Figure 8:** speed variation

6. **SIMULATION AND RESULTS**

The proposed algorithm was validated by simulation using Matlab/Simulink®, the simulation schema is shown in Figure 14. It contains a SRM 8/6. Its parameters and other configuration requirements are presented in Table 1, it is controlled in a closed-loop speed, the speed is estimated with the current gradient slope method by estimating the position. The error between the reference speed and the
it’s remarked that the response of the Fuzzy-PI controller was fast as much as the deference between reference and estimated speeds, Consequently, the load disturbance rejection has been done rapidly to preserve dynamic performances with the proposed controller unlike classic control with PI controller. Speed quantitative performances for this test are summarized in Table.2.

In the fourth test, the reference speed is fixed at 2000rpm, the load torque is 80% of the nominal value and it will be raised by the same value.

From Figure 10, it is observed that adding the saturation block makes the speed response slower. However, the current of the machine does not exceed the set protection.
From Figure 11, the algorithm without saturation block keeps the machine speed constant, but with a very large current. In practice, this current can destroy the SRM windings. However, the algorithm with the saturation block protects the machine by limiting the current to the maximum supported value.

The last test is performed with and without a position sensor to validate the performance of the current gradient slope method. The reference speed and the load torque are fixed respectively to 2000rpm and 2N.m.

From Figure 12 we see that the error is small between the real position and the estimated position. It is about 4°. At a stroke, we have four detection pulsations, one for each current of the four SRM windings. The major disadvantage of the CGSM is the need for a startup system.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the proposed Fuzzy-PI controller has been studied and compared to classic PI controller by simulation, in which they were applied to sensorless control of switched reluctance motor. The position estimation is achieved through the current gradient slope method, this method allowed us to estimate the position and speed without the knowledge of the magnetic characteristic of the motor, only with the current profile. Its major disadvantage is the need for a startup system. Numerous tests are simulated, such as speed tracking, disturbance rejection capabilities and adaptive control of the controller. It was proved through simulation that the proposed algorithm was reliable under various load torque profiles, such as torque variation and linear torque. In addition, it presents a high load disturbance rejection. As the algorithm does not contain a current loop, we realized a saturation block to protect the motor at high currents and destructive loads.
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Figure 13: Detection system

![Detection system diagram](image)

Figure 14: Overall block diagram of the proposed control system

![Overall block diagram](image)