<u>20th October 2014. Vol. 68 No.2</u>

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 - 2014 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved $^{\cdot}$

ISSN: 1992-8645

www.jatit.org

DESIGN OF HYBRID CONTROLLER BASED LOAD FREQUENCY CONTROLLER FOR MULTI AREA HYDRO THERMAL SYSTEM

¹ UMA PAPA GARIMELLA,² Dr.K.RAJAN

¹RESEARCH SCHOLAR, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGG,

²DEAN, VEL TECH, CHENNAI

E-mail: <u>umapapa.g@gmail.com</u>, <u>rshaharry@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

This article presents the design of hybrid controller (proportional double integral controller (PDIC) plus fuzzy logic controller (FLC)) in load frequency control (LFC) for multi area hydro thermal system (MAHTS). In this case, two control areas connected through the tie line and each area consists of both the hydro and thermal power system. The conventional controllers are incapable of stabilizing the frequency deviation as well as the power oscillation of it at load variations conditions. In order to stabilize the frequency deviation and improve the dynamic performance of MAHTS, a PDIC plus FLC is developed. The complete model with various controllers is implemented in MATLAB/Simulink. The performance of designed controller is validated at various operating state in comparison with a conventional controllers. The simulation results are indicated that the designed controller more superior than the conventional controllers.

Keywords: Multi Area Hydro Thermal System, Fuzzy Logic Controller, Proportional Double Integral Controller, Load Frequency Control, MATLAB Simulink

1. INTRODUCTION

The power system became more multifaceted owing to the sudden development of the industries. In industries the demand of real power always keeps changing, which also to vary in the system frequency. This is solved only by means of load frequency controller (LFC). The power system can drop its stability mainly due to non-working of LFC. The most efficient as well as very active performance LFC is essential to keep the system stability under load changes [1]-[2]. The LFC is designed using the transfer function model of system. The power system transfer function model is furnished by Elgerd and is utilized by most of the researchers has been reported [3]-[5].

In this study, the multi source multi area system (i.e. each area can have both combinations of hydro and thermal plants) is considered. The variable structure based fuzzy gain scheduling LFC for multi source multi area has been addressed [6]. However, the response of frequency deviation for each area of the power system is settled long time and also produced more frequency error using this controller. Sliding mode controller (SMC) and proportional integral (PI) controller, based LFC is well executed [7]. Nevertheless, the numerical simulation results of both controller showed the more error and frequency deviation not settling zero. Also, more complex calculation by deigning the SMC. LFC for interconnected radial systems using MATLAB/Simulink has been reported [8]. Still, the simulation results were produced more transient overshoots and took long time too settled. LFC with help of the battery energy storage system in interconnected power system model has been reported [9]. However, the power unbalance problem was solved by battery system at the same time this kind model need additional cost. Various SMC based LFC for multi area power system has been addressed [10]. Yet, the calculation is major problem for designing SMC and it produced more transient overshoots and chattering problems. The superconducting magnetic energy storage and SSSC is connected between the interconnected area and tie line for LFC in power system [11]. Still, this kind of model produced more start-up overshoot and long settling time. Variable structured based multi area hydro thermal system (MAHTS) has been well reported in [12]-[15]. However, the simulation results have produce more peak overshoots and long settling time using this control for the system. The above mentioned problem is overcome by the designed hybrid controller (combination of proportional double integral controller (PDIC) plus fuzzy logic controller

E-ISSN: 1817-3195

20th October 2014. Vol. 68 No.2



ISSN: 1992-8645

(FLC)) based LFC for MAHTS.

<u>www.jatit.org</u>

Various SMC R, $1+sT_{\mu_1}$ ΔP_{Hg} 1 + 0.5sT $1 + sT_1$ $1 + sT_2$ Hydro Speed G ΛF 1 $\overline{R_2}$ 2**П**7 **A**₁₂ $1 + sT_{\mu}$ $1+sT_{2}$ Hydra $\Delta P_{Hg} = \frac{1 + sT_R}{1 + sT_R} \Delta P_{HV}$ $1+sT_2$ $1 + 0.5 sT_{\rm p}$ $1 + sT_{1}$

Fig.1. Transfer function model of MAHTS

The hydraulic amplifier performs as a high pressure steam into the turbine based of the governor. The transfer function model of the hydraulic amplifier can be engraved as equation (2)

$$\Delta P_H = \frac{1}{1 + sT_H} \Delta P_g \tag{2}$$

The governor regulates the steam input to the non-reheat turbine, which acts as a prime mover for the generator and also, supplies the energy to the power system model. Now, the transfer function model of it can be written as equation (3)

$$\Delta P_T = \frac{1}{1 + sT_T} \Delta P_H \tag{3}$$

The working of the hydro power model (HPM) is similar to that of the TPM. The speed governor of HPM using hydraulic amplifier mechanism is expressed as equation (4)

$$\Delta P_{HV} = \left(\frac{K_1}{1+sT_1}\right) \left(\frac{1+sT_R}{1+sT_2}\right) \Delta P_{ref} - \frac{1}{R} (\Delta f)$$
(4)

The hydro turbine applied in HPMs various performance characteristics from the thermal turbine and is expressed as equation (5)

$$\Delta P_{HT} = \frac{1 - sT_w}{1 + 0.5sT_w} \Delta P_{HV} \tag{5}$$

The output power of the turbine act as a input to the generator for feeding electrical energy to the power system. The generator with the power

based LFC for multi area power system has been addressed [10]. Yet, the calculation is major problem for designing SMC and it produced more transient overshoots and chattering problems. The superconducting magnetic energy storage and SSSC is connected between the interconnected area and tie line for LFC [11]. However, this kind of model produced more start-up overshoot and long settling time. The above mentioned problem is overcome by the designed hybrid controller (combination of proportional double integral controller (PDIC) plus fuzzy logic controller (FLC)) based LFC for multi area hydro thermal system (MAHTS).

Therefore, in this paper is to design the PIC plus FLC based LFC for MAHTS. The PDIC is designed using Ziegler Nichols Tuning method. The FLC rules are developed based on the system responses. The performance of the controller is validated through the computer simulation using MATLAB/Simulink during transient region as well as load variations in comparison with conventional controllers.

Section II presents the model of the MAHTS. The design of hybrid controller based LFC for MAHTS is presented in section III. The simulation results of system using various controllers under the various operating regions are discussed in section IV. The conclusions are discussed in section V.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF MAHTS

The MATHS is depicting in Fig. 1 and it consists of two areas. Each area has both combinations of thermal and hydro systems. Each area should be subjected to load disturbances. These two areas are connected through Tie line for power flow between the areas to enhance the reliability and stability [3]. The transfer function model of the both areas is of 2000MW capacity working at 1000MW at 50Hz. The model is developed for this condition. The thermal power model (TPM) during the both areas consists of speed governor (acting as a primary controller), which helps to equalize the generation with demand by regulating the steam input to the turbine. The reference power setting of the governor is changed by the secondary controller for fine tuning of the frequency. The speed governor can be expressed as equation (1)

$$\Delta P_g = \Delta P_{ref} - \frac{1}{R} \Delta f \tag{1}$$



Δf

 y_2

 $1 + sT_p$

E-ISSN: 1817-3195

20th October 2014. Vol. 68 No.2 © 2005 - 2014 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

		TITAL
ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

system in load variation is represented as equation (6)

$$\Delta P_T - \Delta P_D = \frac{K_p}{1 + sT_p} \Delta f \tag{6}$$

The power is traveled in between the two area through the tie line and is expressed as equation (7)

$$\Delta P_{tie12} = \frac{2\pi T}{s} (\Delta f_1 - \Delta f_2) \tag{7}$$

In load changes, each one of the area faces the cries of the frequency deviations separately from the tie line power deviations. Cohn regulate method applied in this article is expressed as equation (8)

$$ACE = \Delta P_{tie12} + \beta \Delta f \tag{8}$$

The area control error (ACE) is the input for controller (secondary) which in turn regulates the reference power setting of the governor.

3. DESIGN OF HYBRID CONTROLLER

In this section, detailed design procedure of hybrid controller in LFC for MAHTS has been discussed. It is a combination of PDIC plus FLC (acts as a secondary controller).

3.1 Design of FLC

In this paper, the FLC is applied to control the change in frequency of the given system. Here, the triangular membership function is selected as inputs and output of the controller (see the Fig. 2).









Fig. 2 Membership's Functions Of Flc, (A) Error (E), (B) Change In Error (Ce), And (C) Output (O)

TABLE I FUZZY RULE BASE TABLE OF MAHTS

e ce	NB	NS	Z	PS	РВ
NB	NB	NB	NB	NS	Ζ
NS	NB	NS	NS	Ζ	PS
Ζ	NB	NS	Ζ	PS	PB
PS	NS	PS	PS	PS	PB
PB	Ζ	PS	PB	PB	PB

The fuzzy sets are [NB, NS, Z, PS, PB] where, NB (negative big), NS (negative small), Z (zero), PS (positive small), PM (positive medium), PB (positive big), respectively. The rules of the FLC are obtained based on the system performance. In this case, 25 rules are framed (refer the Table 2). Then, weighted average method (defuzzification-method) is used to finish the controller operation.

3.2 Design of PDIC

A PDIC is selected for providing the good regulation change in frequency deviation of the MAHTS (refer the Fig. 1). In this paper, the PDIC

20th October 2014. Vol. 68 No.2

© 2005 - 2014 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645 <u>www.jatit.org</u> E-ISSN: 1817-3195

parameters, proportional gain (K_p) and double integral times (T_is), are evaluated using Zeigler – Nichols tuning method with help of the model of MAHTS (refer the section 2). The PDIC parameters, proportional gain (K_p) and double integral times (T_is), are obtained by using Zeigler– Nichols tuning method [14],[15]. Using this method the values of $K_p = 0.1$ and integral times $T_is=0.11s$ and 0.1s (this value is chosen based on the MAHTS change in frequency response) are found. The main aim of this section is to discuss the simulation of the designed hybrid controller (PDIC plus FLC) in LFC for MAHTS in comparison with linear PI controller. The verification of the complete model is tested at transient and load variations conditions. The MATLAB/Simulink simulation models are performed on the MAHTS using controller (refer the Fig. 3) with specifications listed in TABLE II.







Fig. 4. Response Of Frequency Deviation Of Area 1 In P.U (Hz) Of The System Using The Controllers In Start-Up Region

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

Table Ii Specifications Of A Mahts

Parameters name	Symbol	Value
Regulation of the speed	R ₁	2 Hz/p.u
governor in thermal power		MW
plant		
Regulation of the speed	R ₂	2.4 Hz/p.u
governor in hydro power plant		MW
Hydraulic amplifier time	T _{H1}	0.08 s
constant of the thermal plant		
Non-reheat turbine time	T _{T1}	0.3 s
constant of the thermal plant		
Power system gain constant	Kp	100
Power system time constant	T _p	20 s
Hydro governor gain constant	K1	1.0
Hydro governor time constant	T1	48.7 s
Time constant of hydro turbine	Tw	1.0 s
Time constants of hydraulic	T2	0.513 s
amplifier in hydro plant		
Reset time of hydraulic	TR	5.0 s
amplifier		
Synchronizing coefficient	Т	0.0707
Synchronizing power	A12	-1
coefficient		
Frequency bias constant	β	0.425
Change in reference power	ΔPref	
Change in governor power of	ΔPg	
thermal plant		
Change in hydraulic valve	ΔPH	
power of thermal plant		
Change in turbine power of	ΔPT	
thermal plant		
Change in governor power of	ΔPHg	
the hydro plant		
Change in hydraulic valve	ΔPHV	
power of hydro plant		
Change in turbine power of	ΔPHT	
hydro plant		
Change in frequency of area 1	Δf1,	
and 2 resp	$\Delta f2$	
Change in load of area 1 and 2	ΔPD1,	
resp	ΔPD2	
Change in tie-line power	ΔPtie12	
Laplace operator	S	

Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology 20th October 2014. Vol. 68 No.2



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 - 2014 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved $\ensuremath{^\circ}$



Fig.5. Response Of Frequency Deviation Of Area 1 In P.U (Hz) Of The System Using The Controllers In Start-Up Region

Figs. 4 and 5 show the dynamic behavior in the start-up for the frequency deviation of area 1 and area 2 of the MAHTS using the PDIC plus FLC and PI controller. It can be seen that frequency deviation of a system using designed controller has a little overshoot of 0.000001in p.u (Hz) and quick settling times of 1s for step change in load of 10%, whereas the PI controller for the same system has produced 0.00018 p.u (Hz) of maximum overshoots and taking long settling time of 100s respectively. Fig. 6 indicates the Tie line power distribution between the area 1 and area 2 of the given system using designed controller. From this figure, it is clearly observed that the Tie line power distribution between the area 1 and area 2 for the system has very little overshoot during transient region using this PDIC plus FLC.



Fig.6. Response Of Tie Line Power Distribution Between The Area 1 And 2 Using The Pdic Plus Flc



Fig. 7. Response Of Frequency Deviation Of Area 1 In P.U (Hz) Of The System For Step Change Load Power From 10% To 30% In P.U

Figs. 7 and 8 show the dynamic behavior in the load variations for the frequency deviation of area 1 and area 2 of the MAHTS using the PDIC plus FLC and PI controller. It can be seen that frequency deviation of a system using designed controller has a small overshoot of 0.0000011 in p.u (Hz) and quick settling times of 1.12s for step change in load of 10% to 30% in p.u (M.W), whereas the PI controller for the same system has produced 0.0025 p.u (Hz) of maximum overshoots and taking long settling time of 120s respectively. In summary, from the Figs. 4 to 8 showed that the designed controller performed well in all working conditions in comparison with PI controller.



Fig. 8. Response Of Frequency Deviation Of Area 2 In P.U (Hz) Of The System For Step Change Load Power From 10% To 30% In P.U

20th October 2014. Vol. 68 No.2

© 2005 - 2014 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
100IN. 1772-0040	www.jatt.org	L-13511, 101/-31/3

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, design of hybrid controller like PDIC plus FLC in LFC for MAHTS has been demonstrated successfully through the computer simulation with help of MATLAB/Simulink. The main merits of designed controller has good stability during load variations, excellent transient and dynamic responses in comparison with PI controllers. The many simulation results are offered owing to prove the success of the controller.

The simulations are presented to demonstrate the efficacy of designed controller in LFC for given system resulted in fast dynamic response, proficient regulated frequency deviation, good steady state and transient responses in comparisons with PI controller. In future, the neuro-fuzzy controller and sliding mode controller for the same is going to analyze for the same system.

REFERENCES

- [1] Elgerd OI. "Electric energy systems theory an introduction." 2nd ed. *Tata McGraw Hill*, 2000.
- [2] Fosha CE, Elgerd OI., "The megawattfrequency control problem: a new approach via optimal control theory", *IEEE Trans Power Syst*, Vol. 89 :pp. 563–77, 1970.
- [3] Parmar KP, Majhi S, Kothari DP, "Load frequency control of a realistic power system with multi-source power generation," *Int J Electr Power Energy Syst*, Vol. 42, No. 1: pp. 426–33, 2012.
- [4] Chatterjee Kalian, "PI controller for automatic generation control based on performance indices", *World Acad Sci Eng Technol*, Vol. 75, pp. 321–8, 2011.
- [5] Ramakrishna KSS, Sharma Pawan, Bhatti TS, "Automatic generation control of interconnected power system with diverse sources of power generation", *Int J Eng Sci Technol*, Vol. 2, No. pp. 51–65, 2010.
- [6] K.R.M. Vijaya Chandrakala, S. Balamurugan K. Sankaranarayanan, "Variable structure fuzzy gain scheduling based load frequency controller for multi source multi area hydro thermal system", *Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, Vol. 53, pp. 375–381, 2013.
- [7] Yang Mi, Yang Fu, Chengshan Wang, Peng Wang, "Decentralized Sliding Mode Load Frequency Control for Multi-Area Power

Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 4301-4309, 2013.

- [8] Gabriel Iovan, Ion Mircea, "The Load Frequency Control Simulation in the Interconnected Electrical Power Systems", *IEEE conference*, 2012.
- [9] Dr. S.Kalyani, S. Nagalakshmi, Marisha. R, "Load Frequency Control Using Battery Energy Storage System in Interconnected Power System", *ICCCNT'12*, 26th-28th July 2012, Coimbatore, India.
- [10] Mi yang, Pan da, Wu xiao, "The Different variable structure control strategy applied in power system load frequency control", 2013 25th Chinese Control and Decision Conference, pp. 1776-1779, 2013.
- [11] Fida Hasan Md Rafi, Md. Zahid Ansary, Md. Hafizur Rahman, Md. Abu Shahab Mollah, Md. Atifur Rahman, "Load Frequency Control Analysis Using AGC, TCPS and SMES", *IEEE* conference, 2013.
- [12] Daneshfar Fatemeh, Bevrani Hassan, Multi objective design of load frequency control using genetic algorithms, *Int J Electr Power Energy Syst*, 2012, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp.257–63.
- [13] Chatterjee Kalian, PI controller for automatic generation control based on performance indices, *World Acad Sci Eng Technol*, 2011, Vol. 75, No.32, pp.1–8.
- [14] S. Ramesh and A. Krishnan, "Stabilization of Frequency Deviation in an AC-DC Interconnected Power Systems Using Supervisory Fuzzy Controller", *Tamkang Journal of Science and Engineering*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 341-349,2011.
- [15] B. Anand, "Load Frequency Control of Hydro-Hydro System with Fuzzy Logic Controller Considering DC Link", *Life Science Journal*, Vol. 10, No.7, pp. 499- 504, 2013.
- [16] Katsuhiko Ogata, Modern Control Engineering, Published by Prentice – Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, Third Edition.
- [17] R.D. Middlebrook, S. Cuk, A General Unified Approach to Modeling Switching Converter Stages, *IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conf.Rec.*, 1976, pp. 18-34.