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ABSTRACT

Electromyography (EMG) is a study of muscle function through analysis of electrical activity produced from specific muscle of interest. This electrical activity which is displayed in form of signal is the manifestation of neuromuscular activation associated with muscle contraction. The most well-known technique of EMG signal recording are by using surface (non-invasive) and needle/wire (invasive) electrode. This research focus on surface electromyography (sEMG) signal. During sEMG recording, there are several problems had to be encountered i.e. noise, motion artifact, signal instability, cross talk and signal inconsistency. Inconsistency here refers to the variation of the quantity of EMG features with respect to the quantity of force produce by the muscle. In addition, inconsistency of features to force mapping occurs across different person as well as across different reading of an individual. Inconsistency is due to muscle strength and size, cross talk, signal to noise ratio (SNR), signal bandwidth and fatigue condition. Inconsistency causes nonlinearity or linearity relationship between features to force mapping. Previous method introduce to solve the inconsistency across different reading of an individual but the problem lies on reading across different person. Thus, this paper presents a method to solve the inconsistency of EMG signal across different person by normalizing the EMG based on percentage of maximal voluntary contraction adaptive with muscle endurance (pre-fatigue), %MVCPF. This method is based on a hypothesis that Integrated EMG (IEMG), Mean Absolute Value (MAV), Root Mean Square (RMS), Sum Square Integral (SSI) and standard deviation features are directly proportional to %MVCPF of all person. There are 2 indicator to measure the inconsistency problem which are p-value must be less than 0.05 and the root mean square error of regression must be less than 10%. The results show that p-value of each person signal after normalizing is 0.0126 which is less than 0.05. In addition, the results for root mean square error of regression show IEMG, MAV, RMS, SSI and standard deviation features are less than 10%. These shows the improve normalizing method give the better results to solve inconsistency reading problem across different person. For the conclusion, an objective which is to solve inconsistency features to force mapping issue of different person successful solve.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Electromyography (EMG) is a study of muscle function through analysis of electrical activity produced from specific muscle of interest [1]. This electrical activity which is displayed in form of signal is the manifestation of neuromuscular activation associated with muscle contraction. The most well-known techniques of EMG signal recording are by using surface (non-invasive) and needle/wire (invasive) electrode [2]. This research focus on surface electromyography (sEMG) signal. During sEMG recording, several problems had to be encountered i.e. noise [3-5], motion artifact [6, 7], signal instability [8, 9], cross talk [10, 11] and signal inconsistency [11].
Inconsistency here refers to the variation of the quantity of EMG features with respect to the quantity of force produce by the muscle. In addition, inconsistency of features to force mapping occurs across different person as well as across different reading of an individual. Inconsistency is due to muscle strength and size, signal cross talk, biological factor of i.e. muscle fatigue[12], signal bandwidth[13] and signal to noise ratio[14]. Furthermore, inconsistency causes non-linear or linear relationship between features to force of mapping. There are several methods that currently used to solve the inconsistency problem researchers in [4, 15, 16] proposed by using Bayesian function based on separable class to solve inconsistency of features to force relationship but the class conditional probability density should be identified by a large number of experiment toward the same person only. However, work done by An-Chih et al [10] and Sarojin et al [17] proposed support vector machine (SVM) to solve inconsistency of multi-channel features to force relationship and accuracy 93% of EMG features to force relationship. However, SVM is not satisfactory in real time processing which is quadratic programming problem that arises form SVM, mostly relying on heuristic for breaking the problem down into smaller and more-manageable chunks. Researchers [18, 19] proposed Hill based muscle adaptive with neural network and accuracy 90%. However, this method have disadvantage because it is unique for certain condition and situation only which is for same person with same situation only. Work done by[6] and [20] proposed Back propagation Neural network and shown the accuracy of classification 97.5%. However, when using this method need to be select the low suitable number of hidden layer due to delay time issue and need to justify the value of threshold in learning machine. In [2] works proposed by using a novel feature i.e modified mean absolute 1 and modified mean absolute 2 features. For modified mean absolute 1 feature by implement window function to the sEMG signal with normalize the signal amplitude by 1 value when the muscle activity appears and 0.5 value for the antagonist condition. For modified mean absolute 2 feature by implement 3 stage of window function which are activation stage, steady stage and deactivation stage with different normalizing coefficient. This method give the 90% accuracy. However, this method only solve the consistency problem on individual reading. For this reason, SVM, Bayesian, Neural network and Hill model will not work in situation where the features to force relationship across different person based on the problem address. This paper present a method that by calibrate the EMG to force based percentage of maximal voluntary contraction adaptive with muscle endurance (pre-fatigue),%MVCPF to solve the inconsistency problem. This method is based on a hypothesis that IEMG,MAV,RMS,SSI and std features are directly proportional to %MVCPF of a person. This means that, if we can estimate the force applied by specific EMG feature value.

2. DATA ACQUISITION

In order to validate the hypothesis, an experiment was conducted. The objective of the experiment is to solve the inconsistency of feature to force relationship across different person. The sEMG signal was acquire from the biceps brachii muscle (refer in SENIAM group muscle position) of 6 healthy person which is consist of 4 male and 2 female with an average age of 24.6, average BMI 23.5, and average muscle size 27.4cm by using a Z03-002 EMG Preamplifier gain 300 with consist of medical grade stainless steel surface electrode on the dominant hand [21]. All the person had no history of neuromuscular problem and no experience in weight training. Before the experiment conducted all the persons were asked to take completely rest at least for 12 hours. All the person were asked to fill up a consent form for volunteer before start an experiment. The sEMG data sampling frequency was set at 1kHz using NI-USB-6009, 14 bit, 48k/s multifunction input/output and attach with Z03-002 EMG Preamplifier. Then connect to the PC by using type-B cable as shown in figure 1.

![Figure 1 : connection DAQ device with PC using cable type-B](image_url)
2.1 Skin Preparation

The skin preparation procedure is recommended in order to increase the signal to noise ratio value. This is because the skin surface influence by high noise environment came from man factors like skin impedance (hair and skin dirty / die skin), electrode position, skin sweater and signal cross talk. Thus, the god skin preparation need to be done before acquire the sEMG signal by undergo the specific experimental procedure. Firstly, skin must be cleaned by shaved the hair to reduce impedance on the biceps brachii skin surface. Next, in order to clean up the shaved hair and dirty particle from the skin surface commonly alcohol prep used in this procedure. The last sequence in this procedure is measure the skin impedance by using digital multi meter to check the skin impedance less than 5kΩ for good quality of sEMG signal in term of signal to noise ratio value. If the impedance reading high than 5kΩ, then the skin need to be prepare again until less than 5kΩ.

2.2 Experiment Procedure

In this experiment, 6 of healthy subjects were ask to perform the lifting task during flexion-extension of elbow task (muscle isotonic contraction). A range of dynamics contraction was from a full extension ((θe= 0 °, forearm in the vertical position) to a full flexion (approximately θe = 145 °) with 16 second duration of time (4 second full elbow extension position, θe= 0 ° , 2 second transition from extension to flexion, θe= 0-145 °, 4 second at full elbow flexion θe= 145 °, 2 second transition from flexion to extension, θe= 145-0 °, 4 second at full elbow extension position, θe= 0°). All the subject ask to done lifting task with descending percentage of Maximum Voluntary contraction (MVC) which is from 100% of MVC until 0% of MVC. In order to avoid the fatigue issue each of repeated task consist of relaxation period about 10-30 minutes depend on the muscle condition. Each of the percentage sub MVC will be repeated 10 times for ensure the reliable result. The experiment setup shown in figure 2.

3. NORMALIZING EMG BASED ON PERCENTAGE MAXIMUM VOLUNTARY CONTRACTION OF MUSCLE ADAPTIVE WITH MUSCLE ENDURANCE IN PRE-FATIGUE STAGE

Each of human has a different strength of their muscle, so the problem lies on compare the real strength of their muscle without a same ground truth line which is cause to inconsistency problem of sEMG signal. As stated in the previous section, most of the researchers using maximal/maximum voluntary contraction of muscle as the normalization tool but the inconsistency issues across high variation of person remain exist. Thus, this improving idea by using percentage maximal voluntary contraction adaptive with muscle endurance in pre-fatigue stage propose to solve the inconsistency across different person EMG reading.

This procedure need to be undergo before start the experiment with a control environment. The normalizing process started when each of subject had been ask to lift the maximum load (force) based on their muscle strength which is 100% of their maximum voluntary contraction adaptive with muscle endurance (observe before getting fatigue). In order to determine the muscle condition, the quality of amplitude spectrum of the sEMG signal had been observe with 2 indicator which is mean and median frequency of amplitude spectrum. If the value mean or median frequency change show that the muscle are start fatigue. In this work after done several experiment, found that the maximum muscle endurance is 4 second before muscle start fatigue as shown in figure 3. So, all this procedure must be accomplish the same position of hand locomotion which is from 0 ° -145 ° and same hand activity which is hold about 4 second at 145 ° of hand locomotion angle. Then, the experiment procedure will turn for acquire reliable result of sEMG signal.
4. SIGNAL PROCESSING AND FEATURES EXTRACTION

The raw sEMG signal need to be process before correlate the relationship between force to IEMG, MAV, RMS, SSI and standard deviation features. All the raw sEMG signal undergoes the same processing strategy which are filtering using Butterworth 2\textsuperscript{nd} order bandpass filter 1Hz – 100Hz, rectify using full-wave rectifier, and last get the “linear envelope” using low pass filter 1Hz subsequently.

From the “linear envelope” shape of sEMG the extraction valuable information (features) take into account i.e integrated EMG (IEMG), mean absolute value (MAV), root mean square (RMS), simple square integral (SSI) and standard deviation in time domain.

Integrated EMG feature is summation of absolute values of the sEMG signal amplitude. Basically, IEMG is act as onset index to detect the muscle activity for control command of assistive device[21]. It is related to the sEMG signal sequence firing point, which can be expressed as:

$$IEMG = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n|$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Mean absolute value (MAV) feature is equivalent to average rectified value (ARV). It can be calculated using the moving average of full-wave rectified EMG. It is an easy way for detection of muscle contraction levels and it is a popular feature used in myoelectric control application[21]. It is represent as:

$$MAV = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n|$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Root mean square (RMS) is model as amplitude modulated Gaussian random process which is related to the constant force and non-fatiguing contraction[21]. It can be define as:

$$RMS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Standard deviation features is generally represent the confidence interval in statistical data of sEMG signal. A low standard deviation indicate that the data points tend to be very close to mean and vice versa if a high standard deviation value[21].

$$STD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Simple square integral (SSI) is summation absolute square energy of sEMG signal in time domain. In control prosthetic robot commonly used the energy contain in sEMG signal as one of the features[2].

$$SSI = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As stated in previous section, in this section discuss about results from the experiments. There are 2 indicator to prove that the calibration process success: (1)p-value of ANOVA test show that less than 0.05, (2)root mean square error of regression is less than 10 % for 6 common features of sEMG signal. Analysis of variance or ANOVA test is a procedure for assigning sample variance to different source and deciding whether the variation arises within or among different population groups (person). Sample are described in term of variation around group mean and variation of group means.
around overall mean. If variation within group are small relative to variations between groups, a difference in group means may be inferred. Hypothesis test are used to quantify decisions. In this experiment, the alpha value sets to be 0.05. If the p-value of each sample is higher than 0.05 thus the null hypothesis is reject which means that the data has significant different as shown in figure 4 (before normalizing). If the p-value of each sample is less than 0.05, thus the null hypothesis is accept which means that the data has not significant different as shown in figure 5 (after normalizing.).

In figure 4 graph, show that variance of data for each person are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and also the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample subject 1 and 2 is 0.1359. The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2 and 3) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2, and 3 is 0.0271.  The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2,3 and 4) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2,3 and 4 is 0.0146. The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2,3,4 and 5) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2,3,4 and 5 is 0.018. The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 is 0.0126. For that reason the hypothesis accept because of the value of p-value is 0.0126 less than 0.05 which is 5% error. This shown that after normalization, the sEMG signal show more consistent value compare to before normalizing using MVCPF method.

In figure 5 graph, show that variance of data each person (person 1 and 2) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and also the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1 and 2 is 0.0135. The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2 and 3) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2, and 3 is 0.0171.  The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2,3 and 4) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2,3 and 4 is 0.0146. The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2,3,4 and 5) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2,3,4 and 5 is 0.018. The same relationship appear which is the variance of data (person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6) are significant different at the upper boundary of the signal and the mean of the signal different but p-value between sample person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 is 0.0126. For that reason the hypothesis accept because of the value of p-value is 0.0126 less than 0.05 which is 5% error. This shown that after normalization, the sEMG signal show more consistent value compare to before normalizing using MVCPF method.
Root mean square error in regression

Figure 6: Graph rms error of regression for IEMG feature before (blue bar) and after (orange bar) normalizing across different person

Figure 7: Graph rms error of regression for MAV feature before (blue bar) and after (orange bar) normalizing across different person

Figure 8: Graph rms error of regression for RMS feature before (blue bar) and after (orange bar) normalizing across different person

Figure 9: Graph rms error of regression for SSI feature before (blue bar) and after (orange bar) normalizing across different person

Figure 10: Graph rms error of regression for Standard deviation feature before (blue bar) and after (orange bar) normalizing across different person

In graph (figure 6-figure 10) above, there are slightly different before and after normalizing method. For IEMG feature, percentage error for person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 are from range 30% to 62%. This show that inconsistency problem across different person are high. However, after done normalizing method all the rms error of regression show the less than 10% error which is from 8.21% to 8.45%. For MAV feature, percentage error for person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 are from range 29% to 49%. This show that inconsistency problem across different person are high. However, after done normalizing method all the rms error of regression show the less than 10% error which is from 8.21% to 8.45%. For RMS feature, percentage error for person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 are from range 28% to 55%. This show that inconsistency problem across different person are high. However, after done normalizing method all the rms error of regression show the less than 10% error which is from 8.21% to 8.45%. For SSI feature, percentage error for person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 are from range 21% to 44%. This show that inconsistency problem across different person are high. However, after done normalizing method all the rms error of regression show the less than 10% error which is from 8.21% to 8.45%. For IEMG feature, percentage error for
person 1,2,3,4,5 and 6 are from range 23% to 41%. This show that inconsistency problem across different person are high. However, after done calibration method all the rms error of regression show the less than 10% error which is from 8.21% to 8.45%.

6. CONCLUSION

As the conclusion, the objective achieve to solve the inconsistency issue across different person. The result show that the p-value is less than 0.05 which is there are no significant different after done calibration method. Moreover, the root mean square error of regression of IEMG,MAV,RMS,SSI and standard deviation features show the consistent error value which is from 8.21%-8.45%. This method maybe helpful in force control system for exoskeleton robot and EMG prosthetic robot.
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