28<sup>th</sup> February 2013. Vol. 48 No.3

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>



# ENDOMORPHISM SPECTRUMS AND ENDOMORPHISM TYPES OF GRAPHS $C_n + y$

# JIQING ZHAO, ZANXIAN ZHANG

Department of Public Infrastructure, HeBi Vocational and Technical College, Hebi 458030, Henan, China

# ABSTRACT

In this paper, the endomorphisms, the half-strong endomorphisms, the locally strong endomorphisms, the quasi-strong endomorphisms and the automorphisms of a join of cycle  $C_n$  and a vertex y are investigated. Some enumerative problems concerning these graphs are solved. In particular, the endomorphism spectrums and the endomorphism types of these graphs are given. It has a wide range of applications in Information Science.

Keywords: Endomorphism, Endomorphism Spectrum, Endomorphism Type Join Of Graphs

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Endomorphism monoids of graphs are generalizations of automorphism groups of graphs. In recent years much attention has been paid to endomorphism monoids of graphs and many interesting results concerning graphs and their endomorphism monoids have been obtained (see [2], [5] and their references). The aim of this research is try to establish the relationship between graph theory and algebraic theory of semigroups and to apply the theory of semigroups to graph theory. Let X be a graph. Denote by End(X), hEnd(X), lEnd(X), qEnd(X), sEnd(X) and Aut(X) the sets of all endomorphisms, half-strong endomorphisms, locally strong endomorphisms, quasi-strong endomorphisms, strong endomorphisms and automorphisms of X, respectively. For a more systematic treatment of different endomorphisms, the endomorphism spectrum and endomorphism type of a graph were introduced in [2]. Hou and Luo characterized endomorphism types of generalized polygons in [6]. In [4] Hou, Luo and Cheng explored the endomorphism monoid of  $\overline{P_n}$ , the complement of a path  $P_n$  with *n* vertices. It was shown that  $End(\overline{P_n})$ The is orthodox monoid. an endomorphism spectrum and the endomorphism type of  $P_n$  were given. The endomorphism monoids and endomorphism-regularity of graphs were considered by several authors (see [1], [8], [9] and [10]).

The graphs considered in this paper are finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Let X be a graph. The vertex set of X is denoted by V(X) and the edge set of X is denoted by E(X). If two vertices  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are adjacent in graph X , the edge connecting  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is denoted by  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  and we write  $\{x_1, x_2\} \in E(X)$ . Let  $X_1$ and  $X_2$  be two graphs. The join of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , denoted by  $X_1 + X_2$ , is a graph such that  $V(X_1 + X_2) = V(X_1) \cup V(X_2)$  and  $E(X_1 + X_2) =$  $E(X_1) \cup E(X_2) \cup \{\{x_1, x_2\} \mid x_1 \in V(X_1), x_2 \in V(X_2)\}.$ A subgraph H is called an induced subgraph of Xif for any  $a, b \in H$ ,  $\{a, b\} \in E(H)$  if and only if  $\{a,b\} \in E(X)$ . A graph  $C_n + y$  is the join of a cycle  $C_n$  and a vertex y. In the following, we denote it by  $V(C_n) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$ 

Let X and Y be graphs. A mapping f from V(X) to V(Y) is called a homomorphism (from X to Y ) if  $\{x_1, x_2\} \in E(X)$  implies that  $\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \in E(Y)$ . A homomorphism f is half-strong called a homomorphism if  $\{f(a), f(b)\} \in E(Y)$  implies that there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in V(X)$  with  $f(x_1) = f(a)$  and  $f(x_2) = f(b)$ such that  $\{x_1, x_2\} \in E(X)$ . A homomorphism f is called a locally strong homomorphism if  $\{f(a), f(b)\} \in E(Y)$  implies that for every preimage  $x_1 \in V(X)$  of f(a) there exists a preimage  $x_2 \in V(X)$ of f(b)such that  $\{x_1, x_2\} \in E(X)$  and analogously for every

28<sup>th</sup> February 2013. Vol. 48 No.3

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

preimage of f(b). A homomorphism f is called a quasi-strong homomorphism if  $\{f(a), f(b)\} \in E(Y)$  implies that there exists a preimage  $x_1 \in V(X)$  of f(a) which is adjacent to every preimage of f(b) and analogously for preimage of f(b). A homomorphism f is called a strong homomorphism if  $\{f(a), f(b)\} \in E(Y)$ implies that any preimage of f(a) is adjacent to any preimage of f(b). A homomorphism f is called an it isomorphism if f is bijective and  $f^{-1}$ is a homomorphism. A homomorphism (resp. isomorphism ) f from X to itself is called an endomorphism (resp. automorphism) of X. The of all endomorphisms, half-strong sets endomorphisms, locally strong endomorphisms, endomorphisms, quasi-strong strong endomorphisms and automorphisms of X are denoted by End(X), hEnd(X), lEnd(X), qEnd(X), sEnd(X) and Aut(X), respectively. Clearly, for any graph X, we always have

 $Aut(X) \subseteq sEnd(X) \subseteq qEnd(X) \subseteq lEnd(X) \subseteq hEnd(X) \subset End(X)$ .

Various endomorphisms were investigated by many authors (see [2] and its references). To pursue a more systematic treatment of different endomorphisms, Böttcher and Knauer in [2] introduce the concepts of the endomorphism spectrum and the endomorphism type of a graph. For a graph X, the 6-tuple

(| EndX |, | hEndX |, | lEndX |, | qEndX |, | sEndX |, | AutX |)is called the endomorphism spectrum of X and is denoted by EndospecX, that is,

EndospecX =

Associate with EndospecX a 5-tuple  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5)$  with  $s_i \in \{0,1\}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , where  $s_i = 0$  indicates that the ist coordinate equals to the (i+1)st coordinate in EndospecX,  $s_i = 1$  otherwise. The integer  $\sum_{i=1}^{5} s_i 2^{i-1}$  is called the endomorphism type of X and is denoted by EndospecX.

There are 32 possibilities, that is, *endotype* 0 up to *endotype* 31. It is known that *Endotype* 0 describes unretractive graphs, *endotype* 0 up to 15 describe *S*-unretractive graphs, *endotype* 16 describes *E-S*-unretractive graphs which are not unretractive, *endotype* 31 describes graphs for which all 6 sets are different (see[2] and its references).

A subgraph of X is called the endomorphic image of X under f, denoted by  $I_f$ , if  $V(I_f) = f(V(X))$  and  $\{f(a), f(b)\} \in E(I_f)$  if and only if there exist  $c \in f^{-1}(f(a))$  and  $d \in f^{-1}(f(b))$  such that  $\{c, d\} \in E(X)$ . By  $\rho_f$  we denote the equivalence relation on V(X) induced by f, i.e., for  $a, b \in V(X)$ ,  $(a, b) \in \rho_f$  if and only if f(a) = f(b). Denote by  $[a]_{\rho_f}$  the equivalence class containing  $a \in V(X)$  with respect to  $\rho_f$ .

We shall use the standard terminology and notation of semigroup theory as in [7] and of graph theory as in [3].

# 2. ENDOMORPHISM SPECTRUMS AND ENDOMORPHISM TYPES OF $C_n + y$

In this section, we will character various endomorphisms of wheel graph. The endomorphism spectrum and endomorphism type of  $C_n + y$  will be given.

Let  $V(C_n + y) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{y\}$ . Now we suppose  $\{x_1, x_n\} \in E$ ,  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $\{x_i, y\} \in E$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In the following, we denote  $C_n + y$  by  $W_n$ .

To character various endomorphisms of wheel graph, two cases need to be considered separately: n is an odd and n is an even. We first consider graph  $C_{2m+1} + y$ . The following result are given in [8].

**Lemma 2.1**[8]  $End(X_1 + X_2) = Aut(X_1 + X_2)$  if and only if  $End(X_i) = Aut(X_i)$ , i = 1, 2.

Since odd cycle is unretractive, it is easy to give the following Lemma:

**Lemma 2.2** W(2m+1) is unretractive, that is End(W(2m+1)) = Aut(W(2m+1)).

28th February 2013. Vol. 48 No.3

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

The next Lemma characterizes the automorphism group of W(2m+1).

# Lemma 2.3

$$Aut(W(n)) \cong \begin{cases} S_4, & \text{if } n = 3, \\ D_n, & \text{if } n > 3. \end{cases}$$

where  $S_4$  is the symmetric group of degree 4 and  $D_n$  is the dihedral group of degree n.

**Proof** If m = 3, then  $W(3) \cong K_4$ . Since every permutation of the vertices of the complete graph  $K_4$  is a automorphism,  $Aut(W(3)) = S_4$ . If n > 3, then  $d(x_i) = 3$  and d(y) = n > 3. Thus f(y) = y for any  $f \in Aut(W(n))$ . Let  $f' \in Aut(C_n)$ . Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x), & x = x_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \\ y, & x = y. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in Aut(W(n))$ .

Define  $\phi: Aut(C_n) \to Aut(W(n))$  by  $f \to f$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism from  $Aut(C_n)$ to Aut(W(n)). Therefore,  $Aut(C_n) \cong Aut(W(n))$ . Not ice that  $Aut(C_n) \cong D_n$ . Therefore,  $Aut(W(n)) \cong D_n$ . **Theorem 2.4** Endospec(W(2m+1)) =

$$(24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24),$$
 if  $m = 1$ ,  
 $(4m + 2, 4m + 2),$  if  $m \ge 2$ .

**Proof** It follows directly from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

**Lemma 2.5** End(W(2m)) = sEnd(W(2m))

**Proof** Let  $f \in End(W(2m)) \setminus sEnd(W(2m))$ . If  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in V(W(2m))$ , then  $N(x_1) = N(x_2)$ . Notice that  $N(x_1) \neq N(x_2)$  for any  $x_1, x_2 \in V(W(2m))$ . A contradiction. Hence End(W(2m)) = sEnd(W(2m)).

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $f \in End(W(2m))$ . If  $f(y) \neq y$ , then  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_2$  or  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_3$ .

**Proof** If  $f(y) \neq y$ , then  $f(y) \in V(C_{2m})$ . Since y is adjacent to every vertex of  $C_{2m}$ , f(y) is adjacent to every vertex of  $f(C_{2m})$ . Notice that  $d(x_i) = 3$ 

for any  $x_i \in V(W(2m))$ . Therefore,  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_2$  or  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_3$ .

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $f \in End(W(2m)) \setminus Aut(W(2m))$ . If f(y) = y, then  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_t$  for some  $t \le m$ .

**Proof** If f(y) = y, then  $f(C_{2m}) \in V(C_{2m})$ . Since every connected subgraphs of  $C_{2m}$  are paths,  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_{t+1}$  for some  $t \le m$ .

Let  $f \in lEnd(W(2m))$ . Suppose 2m = 2kt for some positive integer t and some even k. Denote  $V(P_{t+2}) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t, x_{t+1}\}$ . If

$$f^{-1}(x_0) = \{x_i, x_{i+2t}, x_{i+4t}, \dots, x_{i+2kt-2t}\},\$$
for any  $l \in V(P_{t+1})$  such that  $1 \le l \le t$ ,

$$f^{-1}(x_l) = \{x_{i+l}, x_{i-l}, x_{i+2t+l}, x_{i-2t-k}, \dots, x_{i+(k-1)t+l}, x_{i-(k-1)t-l}\},$$

 $f^{-1}(x_{t+1}) = \{x_{i+t}, x_{i+3t}, x_{i+5t}, \dots, x_{i+(2k-1)t}\}.$ 

Then we call f is a complete folding from  $C_{2m}$  to  $P_{t+2}$ .

**Lemma 2.8** Let  $f \in End(W(2m))$ . Then f is locally-strong if and only if  $f|_{C_{2m}}$  is a complete folding.

**Proof** Sufficiency. If  $f|_{C_{2m}}$  is a complete folding, then f is locally-strong.

Necessity. Let f be a locally-strong endomorphism of W(2m). Then  $f|_{C_{2m}}$  is a homomorphism from  $C_{2m}$  to  $P_t$ . Suppose  $V(P_{t+2}) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t, x_{t+1}\}$  and f(i) = 0. Since i+1, i-1 is adjacent to i, f(i+1), f(i-1) is adjacent to 0. Thus f(i+1) = f(i-1) = 1. At the same time, i-2 is adjacent to i-1, i+2 is adjacent to i+1. Therefore f(i-2) = f(i+2) = 2. similar argument Α will show that f(i+k-1) = f(i-k+1)for any  $k = 3, \dots, t-1, t$ . Therefore,  $f|_{C_{2m}}$  is a complete folding.

**Lemma 2.9**  $|lEnd(W(2m))| = \sum_{t,k} 4mt + 4mt + 8m$ , (where 2m = 2kt and  $t \neq 1, 2$ ).

28th February 2013. Vol. 48 No.3

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

**Proof** Let  $f \in lEnd(W(2m))$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $f|_{C_{2m}}$  is a complete folding. Suppose 2m = 2kt for some positive integer t and some even k. Then  $f|_{C_{2m}}(C_{2m}) \cong P_{t+1}$ . If f(y) = y, Then  $V(P_{t+1}) \subseteq V(C_{2m})$ . It is easy to see that it has 2tchoice to map W(2m) to  $P_{t+1}$  for some positive integer t. Now  $C_{2m}$  has 2m subgraphs isomorphism to  $P_{t+1}$ . Hence there exists exactly 4mt endomorphisms in this case.

If  $f(y) \neq y$ , then  $I_f \cong K_3$  or  $I_f \cong P_3 + y$ . If  $I_f \cong K_3$ , then  $f|_{C_{2m}} (C_{2m}) \cong K_2$ . Now W(2m) has only one  $\rho_f$  and 2m subgraphs isomorphism to  $K_3$ . Giving one certain  $I_f$ , f has 4 choice to map  $\rho_f$  to  $I_f$ . Hence there exists exactly 8m endomorphisms in this case.

If  $I_f \cong P_3 + y$ , then  $f|_{C_{2m}} (C_{2m}) \cong P_3$ . Now W(2m) has only t different  $\rho_f$  and 2m subgraphs isomorphism to  $P_3 + y$ . Giving one  $\rho_f$  and one  $I_f$ , f has 2 choice to map  $\rho_f$  to  $I_f$ . Hence there exists exactly 4mt endomorphisms in this case.

Therefore, 
$$|lEnd(W(2m))| = \sum_{t,k} 4mt + 4mt + 8m$$
.

**Lemma 2.10** qEnd(W(2m)) = sEnd(W(2m)) for any m > 2.

**Proof** Let  $f \in qEnd(W(2m)) \Box sEnd(W(2m))$ . Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in V(C_{2m})$  with  $N(x_1) \neq N(X_2)$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Since any endomorphism make an edge to an edge,  $f(C_{2m})$  contains at least one edge. Suppose  $\{f(x_i), f(x_1)\}$  is an edge of  $f(C_{2m})$ . Now we claim that  $|f^{-1}(f(x_1))| = 2$ . Otherwise, there exists  $x_j \in f^{-1}(f(x_i))$  such that  $x_j$  is adjacent to every vertex of  $f^{-1}(f(x_1))$ . It follows from  $\{x_j, y\} \in E$  that  $d(x_j) \ge 4$ . A contradiction. A similar argument will show that  $|f^{-1}(f(x_i))| \le 2$ .

If there exists  $x_p \in C_{2m}$  such that  $f(x_p) \neq f(x_i)$ and  $\{f(x_p), f(x_1)\} \in E(W(2m))$ . By the definition of endomorphism, there exists  $x_q \in f^{-1}(f(x_p))$ such that  $\{x_1, x_q\} \in E$  and  $\{x_2, x_q\} \in E$ . Thus  $x_1, x_2, x_j, x_q$  form a 4-cycle in  $C_{2m}$ , A contradiction.

If  ${f(x_n), f(x_1)} \notin E(W(2m))$ for any  $f(x_p) \neq f(x_i)$ . Since  $f(x_t) = f(x_i)$  for any  $x_t \in C_{2m}$  such that  $\{x_t, x_j\} \in E$  for some  $x_i \in f^{-1}(f(x_1)), |f^{-1}(f(x_i))| = 2$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $f^{-1}(f(x_i)) = \{x_i, x_i\}$ . Since f is quasi-strong, there exist at least one vertex in  $f^{-1}(f(x_1))$  adjacent to every vertex of  $f^{-1}(f(x_i))$ . Similarly, there exist at least one vertex in  $f^{-1}(f(x_i))$  adjacent to every vertex of  $f^{-1}(f(x_1))$ . Without loss of generality, suppose for any  $x_t \in f^{-1}(f(x_i))$  $\{x_1, x_t\} \in E$ and  $\{x_i, x_t\} \in E$  for any t = 1, 2 .Now since  $|N(x_2) \cap C_{2m}| = 2$  ,  $\{x_2, x_i\} \in E$  . Thus  $x_1, x_2, x_i, x_i$  form a 4-cycle in  $C_{2m}$ , A contradiction.

Therefore, qEnd(W(2m)) = sEnd(W(2m)) for any m > 2.

**Lemma 2.11** End(W(2m)) = hEnd(W(2m)).

**Proof** Let  $f \in End(W(2m)) \Box hEnd(W(2m))$ . Since any endomorphism map a connected subgraph of a graph *G* to a connected subgraph of itself,  $f(C_{2m})$  is connected. Since *y* is adjacent to every vertex of  $C_{2m}$ , f(y) is adjacent to every vertex of  $f(C_{2m})$ . If f(y) = y, then  $f(C_{2m}) = C_{2m}$  or  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_t$  for some t < 2m; If  $f(y) \neq y$ , then  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_2$  or  $f(C_{2m}) \cong P_3$ .

Let  $\{a,b\} \in f(C_{2m})$  such that  $\{x_1, x_2\} \notin E$  for any  $x_1 \in f^{-1}(a)$  and  $x_2 \in f^{-1}(b)$ . Since  $x_1, x_2 \in V(C_{2m})$ , there exists a path *P* from  $x_1$  to  $x_2$ . By the definition of endomorphism, there also exist a path *p'* from  $f(x_1)$  to  $f(x_2)$ . Notice that  $\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \in E$ . Then the subgraph of W(2m) induced by V(p') is a cycle less than  $C_{2m}$ . A contradiction.

Therefore, End(W(2m)) = hEnd(W(2m)).

28th February 2013. Vol. 48 No.3

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>

# Lemma 2.12

$$|End(W(2m))| = \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (2\cos\frac{k\pi}{m})^{2m} + m \cdot 2^{m+3} - 8m$$

**Proof** If  $f(C_{2m}) \subseteq C_{2m}$ , then  $f|_{C_{2m}}$  is an endomorphism of  $C_{2m}$ . Since y is adjacent to every vertex of  $C_{2m}$ , f(y) = y. Thus the number of endomorphisms of W(2m) is equal to the number of endomorphisms of  $C_{2m}$ . By [3](page 188), the numbers of endomorphisms of  $C_{2m}$  is the sum of the 2*mth* powers of the eigenvalues of  $C_{2m}$ . It is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2m} (2\cos\frac{k\pi}{m})^{2m} \; .$$

If  $f(C_{2m}) \not\subseteq C_{2m}$ , then  $f(C_{2m}) = P_2$  or  $f(C_{2m}) = P_3$ . If  $f(C_{2m}) = P_2$ , then  $\rho_f = \{[y], [x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2m-1}], [x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2m}]\}$  and  $I_f \cong K_3$ . Notice that there exist 2m subgraphs in W(2m) isomorphism to  $K_3$ . Now it has 4 choice to map  $\rho_f$  to  $I_f$ . Hence there exists exactly 8m endomorphisms in this case.

If  $f(C_{2m}) = P_3$ , then  $I_f \cong P_3 + y$  and there are 2m different  $I_f$  in W(2m). At the same time, there are  $2(2^m - 2)$  different  $\rho_f$ . Now it has 2 choice to map  $\rho_f$  to  $I_f$ . Hence there exists exactly  $m \cdot 2^{m+3} - 16m$  endomorphisms in this case. Therefore,

$$|End(W(2m))| = \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (2\cos\frac{k\pi}{m})^{2m} + m \cdot 2^{m+3} - 8m$$

Theorem 2.13

EndospecW(2m) =

$$(\sum_{k=1}^{2m} (2\cos\frac{k\pi}{m})^{2m} + m \cdot 2^{m+3} - 8m,$$
  
$$\sum_{k=1}^{2m} (2\cos\frac{k\pi}{m})^{2m} + m \cdot 2^{m+3} - 8m,$$
  
$$\sum_{t,k} 4mt + 4mt + 8m, 4m, 4m, 4m).(m > 2)$$

**Proof** It follows directly from Lemmas 2.5, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 and 2.12.

## Theorem 2.14

EndospecW(4) = (48, 48, 48, 48, 48, 8).

**Proof** Let  $f \in End(W(4)) \square Aut(W(4))$ . Then

$$\rho_f = \begin{cases} \{[1,3],[2],[4],[y]\}, & or, \\ \{[1],[3],[2,4],[y]\}, & or, \\ \{[1,3],[2,4],[y]\}. \end{cases}$$

If  $\rho_f = \{[1,3], [2], [4], [y]\}$ , then  $I_f \cong P_3 + y$ . Now it is easy to see that there are 4 subgraphs in W(4) isomorphism to  $I_f$ . It has 4 choice to map  $\rho_f$  to  $I_f$ . Hence there exists exactly 16 endomorphisms in this case. Similarly, if  $\rho_f = \{[1], [3], [2, 4], [y]\}, \text{ then there exists exactly}$ 16 endomorphisms. If  $\rho_f = \{[1,3], [2,4], [y]\}$ , then  $I_f \cong K_3$ . Now it is easy to see that there are 4 subgraphs in W(4) isomorphism to  $I_f$ . Now it has 6 choice to map  $\rho_f$  to  $I_f$ . Thus there exists exactly 24 endomorphisms in this case. Notice that |Aut(W(4))| = 8. Therefore, |End(W(4))| = 48. Clearly, End(W(4)) = sEnd(W(4)). Hence EndspecW(4) = (48, 48, 48, 48, 48, 8).

#### Theorem 2.15

$$EndotypeW(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is } odd, \\ 15, & \text{if } n = 4, \\ 25, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n > 4. \end{cases}$$

**Proof** It follows directly from Theorems 2.4, 2.13 and 2.14.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11226047).

The authors want to express their gratitude to the referees for their helpful suggestions and comments.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- [1] Sr. Arworn, et al., Locally strong endomorphism of paths, *Discrete Math*, Vol. 308, No. 12, 2008, pp. 2525-2532.
- [2] M. Böttcher, U. Knauer, Endomorphism spectra of Graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, Vol.109, No. 1-3, 1992, pp. 45-57.



E-ISSN: 1817-3195

# Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology 28th February 2013. Vol. 48 No.3

© 2005 - 2013 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved			
ISS	N: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
[3]	C.Godsil and G.Royle, Alge (Springer-verlag, 2000).	braic Graph Theory	
[4]	H. Hou, Y. Luo, Z. Cheng,	The endomorphism	
	Combinatorics, Vol. 29, No 1185.	.5, 2008, pp. 1173-	
5]	H. Hou, Y. Luo, Graphs wh monoids are regular, <i>Discre</i> No.17, 2008, pp. 3888-3896	nose endomorphism <i>te Math.</i> , Vol. 208 , 5.	
[6]	H. Hou, Y. Luo, XM. Fan, I of generalized polygons, <i>Bulletin of Mathematic</i> , Vo pp. 433-441.	Endomorphism type <i>Southeast Asian</i> ol. 33, No. 3, 2009,	
7]	J.M.Howie, Fundamentals of (Clarendon Press, 1995).	Semigroup Theory	
8]	Knauer U., Endomorphisms of unretractive graphs, <i>Arch</i> 2, 1990, pp. 193-203.	of graphs II.Various . <i>Math</i> , Vol. 55, No.	
9]	W. Li, Graphs with Regula <i>Mathematics</i> , Vol. 265, No. 118.	r Monoid, <i>Discrete</i> 1-3, 2003, pp. 105-	
10]	H. Hou, R. Gu, YH.Shang, the Endomorphism Mon International Review on Software, Vol. 7, No.5, 2012	Special Graphs: on loid of K(6m,6), Computers and 2, pp. 2508-2513.	