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ABSTRACT

Radical Basis Function (RBF) networks have been widely used in time series prediction because of their simplicity, robustness, good approximation and generalization ability. However, it is still rather difficult to select the number and locations of the hidden units of the RBF network appropriately for a specific time series prediction problem. In this paper, the Generalized RBF networks have been established with Gaussian density functions instead of Gaussian functions for the hidden units. Then, we utilize the kurtosis and skewness minimization criterion as well as the corresponding learning algorithm to select the appropriate number and initial parameters of the hidden units from an input data set automatically for the generalized RBF network on a time series prediction task. It has been demonstrated by the experiments that the generalized RBF network trained with the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm is feasible and efficient on typical chaos time series.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Radial Basis Function (RBF) networks [1]-[2] are a typical class of forward neural networks widely used in the fields of pattern recognition and information processing. Actually, the RBF network is a two-layer forward neural network such that each hidden unit implements a radial basis function and the output units implement a weighted sum of hidden unit outputs. With such a structure, it can approximate any continuous function as long as the number of hidden units is large enough. Moreover, its structure is simple and the learning process is quite efficient with a good generalization. Therefore, the RBF network has been widely applied to various practical problems involved in speech recognition, clustering analysis, time series prediction, etc., and the most commonly used radial basis functions in the RBF networks are Gaussian activation functions.

However, the training of the RBF network is still a rather difficult task. The key crucial problem is how to select the number and locations of the hidden units appropriately for a practical problem. Although there are many learning methods for training the RBF network, most of them utilize a test-and-growing or evolutionary mechanism to select the number of hidden units for practical applications (e.g., [3]-[5]). Clearly, such learning methods are time consuming and quite easy to be trapped in a local solution. Actually, a good selection of hidden units should appropriately match the structure of input data associated with a practical problem.

Recently, some new learning methods have been proposed to determine the number of hidden units of the RBF network. With the development of competitive learning, the rival penalized competitive learning (RPCL) algorithm was proposed to determine the number of clusters or Gaussians in a dataset automatically [6]-[7]. Thus, it provided a new tool for selecting the number of Gaussians or units from the input data for the RBF network. Alternatively, based on the Bayesian Ying-Yang (BYY) harmony learning theory [8]-[9], a new kind of automated model selection (AMS) learning algorithms have been established for the Gaussian mixture modeling [10]-[12]. As a matter
2. KURTOSIS AND SKEWNESS MINIMIZATION LEARNING ALGORITHM

2.1 The Kurtosis and Skewness Minimization Criterion

We begin to introduce the kurtosis and skewness minimization or zeroing criterion suggested in [16] for model selection of the Gaussian mixture modeling on a given dataset. For a univariate Gaussian, both the sample kurtosis and skewness tends to be zero as the number of samples tends to infinity according to the theory of large samples. For a multivariate Gaussian, the samples projected onto any direction are also subject to a univariate Gaussian. Then, we can compute the sample kurtosis and sample skewness for the multivariate Gaussian along some projection directions. In this way, the sample kurtosis and skewness can be also used to measure how well the Gaussian distribution fits the sample data. For the Gaussian mixture modeling, as each component is expected to subject to a Gaussian distribution, we can compute its sample kurtosis and skewness with the samples it occupies and check whether it is small enough. Thus, the sample kurtosis and skewness can always offer us information to decide whether we have got the right number of Gaussians as well as the good estimation of other parameters in the Gaussian mixture model.

To implement the kurtosis and skewness minimization criterion, we begin to make the singular value decomposition for the covariance matrix of each Gaussian and select the first $F$ eigenvectors to be the projection directions. Here, $F$ should be selected such that the projected data of the samples on the $F$ eigenvectors can contain the information of the high dimensional samples as much as possible and the noise within the samples as little as possible. For the mixture model of $k$ Gaussians, we can classify the samples into $k$ Gaussians (i.e., clusters) according to the maximum posterior $p(l \mid x_i)$, that is, $x_i$ belongs to the $l$-th Gaussian if

$$ l = \arg \max_{1 \leq l \leq k} p(l \mid x_i). \quad (1) $$

Let $X^l = \{x^l_1, \ldots, x^l_m\}$ be the samples belonging to Gaussian $l$, $v^l_1, \ldots, v^l_F$ are the first $F$ eigenvectors of the covariance matrix $\Sigma_l$. We can define the sample kurtosis of the Gaussian $l$ along the...
direction $v^l_f$, named $ku^l_f$, as follows:

$$ku^l_f = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(y^l_{\beta} - m^l_f)^2}{\sigma^l_f} - 3,$$

(2)

where $y^l_{\beta}$ are the projected data of $x^l_i$ on the direction of $v^l_f$, $m^l_f$ and $\sigma^l_f$ are the mean and standard deviation of the projected data $Y^l_f = \{y^l_{f1}, ..., y^l_{fm}\}$.

In this way, the sample kurtosis of Gaussian $l$ can be defined by

$$ku_l = \sum_{l=1}^{c} \text{abs}(ku^l_f).$$

(3)

In a similar way, we can define the sample skewness of the Gaussian $l$ along the direction $v^l_f$, named $sk^l_f$, as follows:

$$sk^l_f = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(y^l_{\beta} - m^l_f)^3}{\sigma^l_f},$$

(4)

and the sample skewness of Gaussian $l$ by:

$$sk_l = \sum_{l=1}^{c} \text{abs}(sk^l_f).$$

(5)

Combining the sample kurtosis and skewness of the $l$-th Gaussian together, we have

$$s_l = ku_l + sk_l.$$  

(6)

If Gaussian $l$ fits the sample data in its vicinity, the sample kurtosis and skewness sum $s_l$ of the $l$-th Gaussian should be approximately zero as the number of samples is large enough. In order to test how well the Gaussian mixture model fits all the samples of $k$ Gaussians, we can compute the weighted average of these individual sample kurtosis and skewnesses:

$$S_T = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \pi_l s_l.$$  

(7)

According to the above definitions, it is clear that the total sample kurtosis and skewness sum $S_T$ can be regarded as a measure on how well a Gaussian mixture fits the sample data. Since a small value of $S_T$ indicates that every Gaussian in the mixture fits the samples well in its vicinity, that is, the Gaussian mixture is a good approximation of the latent mixture distribution. Otherwise, if the value of $S_T$ is large, the samples belonging to certain Gaussians do not really come from a Gaussian distribution so that we should adjust the number of Gaussians or the parameters of the Gaussians. In any way, the true Gaussian mixture model of the sample data leads to the minimization or zeroing of the sample kurtosis and skewness sum, which can serve as a kurtosis and skewness minimization criterion for model selection of the Gaussian mixture modeling.

2.2 The Kurtosis and Skewness Minimization Learning Algorithm

Based on the kurtosis and skewness minimization criterion, we can construct the split-and-merge EM algorithm for the Gaussian mixture modeling with automated model selection. For short, we refer to it as the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm. Actually, it implements the usual or conventional EM algorithm for parameter estimation and adjusts the number of Gaussians by splitting or merging some unsuitable Gaussians being checked by the kurtosis and skewness measure. The main idea of the proposed algorithm is that, in each iteration, we try to maximize the likelihood by the usual EM algorithm for parameter learning, and to minimize the total sample kurtosis and skewness sum for model selection with the split-and-merge mechanism.

We firstly initialize $k$ with a suitable value which can be a predicted value of the number of actual Gaussians in the dataset. The parameters of $k$ Gaussians in the mixture can be initialized with the $k$-means algorithm. We then perform the usual EM algorithm until convergence. Furthermore, we select certain most likely unsuitable Gaussians to split or merge. With the new Gaussians, we perform the usual EM algorithm again and again until the sample kurtosis and skewness sum arrives at the minimum value.

1. The Merging Mechanism. For any two Gaussians with mean $\mu_i$, $\mu_j$, covariance $\Sigma_i$, $\Sigma_j$, we define the degree of separation between them as follows (refer to [18]):

$$c_{i,j} = \|\mu_i - \mu_j\|/\sqrt{\max\{\text{trace}(\Sigma_i), \text{trace}(\Sigma_j)\}}.$$  

(8)

Since $c_{i,j}$ denotes the degree of separation of the two Gaussians, the lower value of $c_{i,j}$ reflects the higher degree of overlap between the two Gaussians. We can choose the two Gaussians with the two lowest values of $c_{i,j}$ to be merged, and set
the parameters of the new merged Gaussian $r$ by the following rules:

$$\pi_r = \pi_i + \pi_j;$$  \hfill (9)

$$\mu_r = \pi_i \mu_i + \pi_j \mu_j / \pi_r;$$  \hfill (10)

$$\Sigma_r = (\pi_i \Sigma_i + \pi_j \Sigma_j) / \pi_r.$$  \hfill (11)

2. **The Split Mechanism.** In order to decrease the sample kurtosis and skewness sum, the Gaussian which contributes most significantly to the high value of the sum, that is, the Gaussian with the maximum value of $\pi_i(ku_i + sk_i)$, should be split. Actually, we can divide such a Gaussian into two Gaussians $i'$ and $j'$ with their parameters designed as follows (refer to [19]).

For convenience, we decompose the covariance matrix $\Sigma_i$ by $\Sigma_i = USV^T$, where $S = diag[s_1, s_2, ..., s_d]$ is a diagonal matrix with nonnegative diagonal elements in a descent order, $U$ and $V$ are two (standard) orthogonal matrices. We then set $A = U\sqrt{S} = Udiag[\sqrt{s_1}, \sqrt{s_2}, ..., \sqrt{s_d}]$ and get the first column $A_i$ of $A$. In this way, the parameters for the two split Gaussians can be given as follows, where $\gamma$, $\mu$, $\beta$ are all set to be 0.5.

$$\pi_r = \gamma \pi_i, \pi_j = (1-\gamma) \pi_i;$$  \hfill (12)

$$\mu_r = \mu_i - (\pi_j / \pi_r)^{1/2} \mu A_i;$$  \hfill (13)

$$\mu_j = \mu_i + (\pi_j / \pi_r)^{1/2} \mu A_i;$$  \hfill (14)

$$\Sigma_r = (\pi_j / \pi_r) \Sigma_i + ((\beta - \mu^2 - 1)(\pi_i / \pi_r) + 1)A_i A_i^T;$$  \hfill (15)

$$\Sigma_j = (\pi_i / \pi_r) \Sigma_i + ((\beta - \mu^2 - 1)(\pi_j / \pi_r) + 1)A_i A_i^T.$$  \hfill (16)

3. **The Procedure of the Proposed Algorithm.** In each iteration, if $k$ is too large, there may be two Gaussians overlapped strongly so that it is likely to fit the samples of one Gaussian in its vicinity with two estimated Gaussians. So, we need to merge these two estimated Gaussians into one. Actually, if the value of $c_{i,j}$ of two Gaussians is less than a threshold value, we just merge the two Gaussians and accept the merge result. As for the split operation, if the value of $c_{i,j}$ of the two new Gaussians is less than the threshold value, we will cancel this split operation and find out the next candidate Gaussian to be split.

The number $F$ of project directions is generally set to be slightly greater than half of the sample dimensionality. The termination condition of the algorithm is that either the merge or split operation do not decrease the sample kurtosis and skewness sum any more.

With the preparations all above, we can present the procedure of the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm (as a split-and-merge EM algorithm with the kurtosis and skewness minimization criterion) as follows:

Step 1. Initialization: set the initial number of $k$ as a reasonable prediction value, and then set the initial parameters $\Theta_k$ of the Gaussian mixture via the $k$-means algorithm.

Step 2. Implement the usual EM algorithm until convergence and compute $S_T$.

Step 3. Merging Operation:

(a) Merge the two Gaussians $i$ and $j$ with the least $c_{i,j}$ into one Gaussian according to Eqs. (9) - (11).

(b) Implement the usual EM algorithm to obtain the updated parameters $\Theta_{merge}$.

(c) If $c_{i,j} < c_{threshold}$, set $k = k - 1$, $\Theta_k = \Theta_{merge}$, return to Step 3(a); otherwise, compute $S_{merge}^\prime$.

Step 4. Split Operation:

(a) Set $S_{split}^\prime$ a large enough number.

(b) Sort these $\pi_i(ku_i + sk_i)$ in the descending order, and set $p = 1$.

(c) Split the Gaussian with the $p$-th largest value of $\pi_i(ku_i + sk_i)$ into two new Gaussians $i'$, $j'$ according to Eqs. (12) - (16).

(d) Implement the usual EM algorithm to obtain the updated parameters $\Theta_{split}$.

(e) If $c_{i,j} > c_{threshold}$, compute $S_{split}^\prime$, otherwise, refuse the split result and set $p = p + 1$; if $p \leq k$, return to Step 4(c) otherwise go to step 5;

Step 5. Compare the three values of $S_T$, $S_{merge}^\prime$ and $S_{split}^\prime$:

(i) If $S_{split}^\prime = \min(S_T, S_{merge}^\prime, S_{split}^\prime)$, we accept the result of the split operation and set $k = k + 1$, $\Theta_k = \Theta_{split}$, go to Step 2;

(ii) If $S_{merge}^\prime = \min(S_T, S_{merge}^\prime, S_{split}^\prime)$, we accept the result of the merge operation and set $k = k - 1$, $\Theta_k = \Theta_{merge}$, go to Step 2;
(ii). If \( S_T = \min(S_T, S_T^{merge}, S_T^{split}) \), we stop the algorithm with the current \( \Theta_k \) as the final result of the algorithm.

3. TRAINING OF THE GENERALIZED RBF NETWORK

3.1 The Generalized RBF Network

We begin to introduce the structure of RBF network. The RBF network is a two-layer forward neural network and its outputs are given by

\[
y_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_j R_j(x), \quad l = 1, \ldots, m, \quad (17)
\]

where \( k \) is the number of hidden units or RBF's, \( \omega_j \) is the weight on the connection from the \( j \)th hidden unit to the \( l \)th output unit. \( R_j(x) \) is the \( j \)th Radial Basis Function (RBF) of the input \( x \) serving as the output of the \( j \)th hidden unit. When the RBF network has only one single output unit, the output function of the RBF network becomes the following simple version:

\[
y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_j R_j(x). \quad (18)
\]

Here, we just use this simple structure of the RBF network for time series prediction. Actually, the most commonly used radial basis function is Gaussian kernel function given by:

\[
R_j(x) = \phi(||x - \mu_j||) = \exp\left\{-\frac{||x - \mu_j||^2}{2\sigma_j^2}\right\}, \quad (19)
\]

where \( \mu_j, \sigma_j \) are the center and scale of the Gaussian RBF \( R_j(x) \), respectively.

For the generalized RBF network, the Gaussian density function is adopted as the radial basis function, instead of Gaussian kernel function. In fact, the Gaussian density function is given by

\[
R_j(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_j)^T \Sigma_j^{-1} (x - \mu_j)\right\}, \quad (20)
\]

where \( \mu_j, \Sigma_j \) are the mean and covariance matrix of the Gaussian distribution.

The generalized RBF network can make better use of the statistic properties of the input data which may be or approximately be distributed as a Gaussian mixture. So, it has more extensive adaptability. Therefore, we can directly utilize the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm on the input data to determine the number of hidden units and to set the initial mean and covariance matrix of each Gaussian density function.

3.2 The Least Mean Square (LMS) Algorithm

After determining the number of the hidden units and setting the initial value of the parameters of the generalized RBF network, we can implement the Least Mean Square (LMS) algorithm to learn the parameters of the generalized RBF network \( \omega_j, \mu_j \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \).

In fact, the mean square error of the generalized RBF network on a sample data set \( S = \{(x_t, y_t)\}_{t=1}^N \) can be given as follows:

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - y_t)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_j R_j(x_t))^2, \quad (21)
\]

where \( y_t \) is the output of the network with input \( x_t \). Then, we have the derivatives of \( E \) with respect to \( \omega_j, \mu_j \) and \( \Sigma_j \) respectively, as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \omega_j} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - y_t) R_j(x_t); \quad (22)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \mu_j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - y_t) \omega_j \frac{\partial R_j(x_t)}{\partial \mu_j}; \quad (23)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \Sigma_j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - y_t) \omega_j \frac{\partial R_j(x_t)}{\partial \Sigma_j}. \quad (24)
\]

According to the above derivatives as well as the further derivatives on \( R_j(x) \) and using the gradient descent method, we have the LMS learning rules on the parameters \( \omega_j, \mu_j \) of the generalized RBF network as follows:

\[
\Delta \omega_j = \eta \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - y_t) R_j(x_t); \quad (25)
\]

\[
\Delta \mu_j = \eta \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\hat{y}_t - y_t) \omega_j R_j(x_t) \Sigma_j^{-1} (x_t - \mu_j), \quad (26)
\]

where \( \eta > 0 \) is the learning rate.

As for the covariance matrix \( \Sigma_j \), since it is constrained to be positive definitiveness, we can decompose it by
\[ \Sigma_j = B_j B_j^T, \quad (27) \]

and then the LMS learning rule of \( B_j \) can be given by

\[
\Delta \text{vec}[B_j] = \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \hat{y}_i - y_i \right) \omega_j R_j \left( x_i \right) \frac{\partial (B_j B_j^T)}{\partial B_j} 
\cdot \text{vec}[\Sigma_j^{-1}(x_i - \mu_j)(x_i - \mu_j)^T \Sigma_j^{-1} - \Sigma_j^{-1}] \quad (28)
\]

where \( \text{vec}[A] \) denotes the connect column vectors in order, that is, if

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1d} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{d1} & \cdots & a_{dd} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (29)
\]

we have

\[
\text{vec}[A] = (a_{11}, \ldots, a_{d1}, \ldots, a_{1d}, \ldots, a_{dd})^T. \quad (30)
\]

And

\[
\frac{\partial BB^T}{\partial B} = I_{d \times d} \otimes B_{d \times d}^T + E_{d^2 \times d^2} \cdot B_{d \times d}^T \otimes I_{d \times d} \quad (31)
\]

where \( \otimes \) denotes the Kronecker product, and

\[
E_{d^2 \times d^2} = \frac{\partial B^T}{\partial B} = (\Gamma_{ij})_{d^2 \times d^2} \quad (32)
\]

where \( \Gamma_{ij} \) is a matrix of \( d \times d \), where the \((j,i)\)th is 1, others are 0.

Summing up Equations (25), (26), (27) and (28) together, we have the LMS learning rules of the parameters \( \omega_j \), \( \mu_j \) and \( \Sigma_j \). Actually, we will implement it to learn the final parameters of the generalized RBF network for time series prediction in next section.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we implement the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm as well as the LMS algorithm on the training of the generalized RBF network for nonlinear time series prediction. Actually, two famous chaos time series, Mackey-Glass time series and Rossler time series, are used for test. For comparison, we also use the BP network and the conventional RBF network for the same prediction problem on the two time series. Here, we use the root mean square error (RMSE) as the index of the prediction accuracy.

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\hat{y}_i - f(x_i)]^2} \quad (33)
\]

where \( \hat{y}_i \) is the expected output, and \( f(x_i) \) the actual output of the network, for input \( x_i \).

4.1 On the Mackey-Glass Time Series Prediction

We firstly train the generalized RBF network with the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm and the LMS algorithm for the Mackey-Glass time series prediction. As shown in Fig. 1, a piece of the Mackey-Glass time series is generated via the delay differential equation:

\[
\dot{x}_t = ax(t - \tau) - bx(t), \quad 1 \leq t \leq 1117.
\]

where \( a = 0.2 \), \( b = 0.1 \), \( \tau = 17 \). Particularly, 1000 sample data are generated to form pieces of time series as \( \{x(t-18), x(t-12), x(t-6), x(t), x(t+6)\} \), \( 118 \leq t \leq 1117 \), where the first four data of each sample are considered as an input data of the generalized RBF network, while the last one is considered as the expected output of the generalized RBF network. Mathematically, the expected output \( \hat{y}_j = x(t+6) \), the input sample \( x_i = \{x(t-18), x(t-12), x(t-6), x(t)\} \). In the experiment, we divide these 1000 sample data into two sets: the training and test sets with the preceding and remaining 500 sample data, respectively.

We implement the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm to design the generalized RBF network and set the initial parameters for the prediction of the Mackey-Glass time series. Actually, the number of hidden units is determined to be 8. The LMS algorithm is further implemented to obtain the final parameters of the network and the prediction result on the test data is given in Fig. 2, with the prediction mean square error 0.0044, which is the lowest prediction error among the four neural networks on the Mackey-Glass time series (see Table I for details).
4.2 On the Rossler Time Series

We further train the generalized RBF network with the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm and the LMS algorithm for the Rossler time series prediction. As shown in Fig. 3, a piece of the Rossler time series is generated via the differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
x' & = -y - z \\
y' & = x + ay \\
z' & = b + z(x-c)
\end{align*}
\]  

(35)

where \(a = 0.2\), \(b = 0.1\), \(c = 5.7\). Particularly, 1000 sample data are generated to form pieces of time series as \(\{x(t-18), x(t-12), x(t-6), x(t), x(t+6)\}\), \(318 \leq t \leq 1317\), where the first four data of each sample are considered as an input data of the generalized RBF network, while the last one is considered as the expected output of the generalized RBF network. Mathematically, the expected output \(\hat{y}_i = x(t+6)\), the input sample \(x_i = \{x(t-18), x(t-12), x(t-6), x(t)\}\). In the experiment, we divide these 1000 sample data into two sets: the training and test sets with the preceding and remaining 500 sample data, respectively. For convenience, we can preprocess these Rossler time series data so that the value scope of \(x(t)\) is \([0,1.6]\) according to the transformation:

\[x(t)' = (x(t) + 10)/15\]  

(36)

Table I The Prediction Accuracies Of Four Neural Networks On The Mackey-Glass Time Series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neural Network</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The BP network</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RBF (with 10 hidden units)</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RBF (with 23 hidden units)</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Generalized RBF</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II The Prediction Accuracies Of Four Neural Networks On The Rossler Time Series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neural Network</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The BP network</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RBF (with 10 hidden units)</td>
<td>0.0568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The RBF (with 23 hidden units)</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Generalized RBF</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the same way, we implement the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm to design the generalized RBF network and set the initial parameters for the prediction of the Rossler time series. Actually, the number of hidden units is determined to be 9. We further implement the LMS algorithm to obtain the final parameters of the network. The prediction result of the generalized RBF network on the test data is given in Fig. 4, with the prediction mean square error 0.0040, which is the lowest prediction error among the four neural networks on the Rossler time series (see Table II for details).

Based on the experimental results on two typical chaos time series, we have found that the generalized RBF network with the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm really improves the prediction accuracy in comparison with the BP network and the conventional RBF networks.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the training of the RBF network from the input dataset and proposed the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm for determining the number of hidden units and setting the initial values of the parameters in the generalized RBF network. Actually, the proposed kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm is based on the newly established kurtosis and skewness minimization criterion and operates in a way of the split-and-merge EM algorithm. When the structure and initial parameters of the generalized RBF network are obtained, the least mean square algorithm can be implemented to get the final parameters. It is demonstrated by the experiments on the two typical chaos time series that the generalized RBF network with the kurtosis and skewness minimization learning algorithm really improves the prediction accuracy and outperforms the BP network and the conventional RBF networks.
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