© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>



E-ISSN: 1817-3195

# ANALYSIS INFLUENCE FACTORS ON LINEAR CCD'S INTERNAL PARAMETER CALIBRATION

# ZHIYONG LEI, ZHENXING ZHU, ZEMIN WANG

School of Electronic Information Engineering, Xi'an Technological University, Xi'an 710032, Shanxi,

China

### ABSTRACT

In order to improve the measurement accuracy of the linear CCD in the intersection of vertical target measurement system, the calibration model of linear CCD's internal parameters is established and the various factors that affect the accuracy in the calibration process are analyzed. Making use of the linear CCD imaging optical principle, the optical center and the effective focal length are calibrated by using upright and inverted mirror state theory and N-style array streak model respectively. It can be proved through theoretical analysis and argument that the method can effectively reduce the impact of the factors as real object height to calibration accuracy and obtain the high precision calibration results which are satisfied the application indicators of linear CCD in the intersection of vertical target measurement system. It can be known from the experimental data that the optical canter calibration accuracy is less than 0.29 pixels and the focal length is less than 2.5‰.

Keywords: Linear CCD, Influence Factors, Calibration, Upright and Inverted Mirror State, N-style Array Steak Model.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The intersection of vertical target measurement system is a very common weapon firing accuracy measurement system in field of modern military[1]. Linear CCD is widely used in these measurement systems with not only its wide angle of view but also the great advantage in high-speed measurement.

The calibration of the model parameters of linear CCD has a direct impact on the measurement accuracy of the intersection measuring system. The existing linear CCD calibration methods are improvement of classic plane camera calibration methods like radial constraint calibration[2] or the 2D planar targets calibration[3]. These methods are ported into a two-dimensional flat space to be calibrated from three-dimensional space through some certain conversion relation. However, these kinds of calibration methods ignore the results of a nonlinear lens distortion parameters caused by distortion of camera lens[4-6]. Besides, under the situation of failing to make sure the view of linear CCD during calibration, these methods may produce calculation errors on the actual physical height, and then affect the calibration accuracy. In the mathematical model calibrated by internal parameters of linear CCD, the accuracy of parameter measurement will impact the results of calibration.

In order to reduce the errors of the influence factors on internal parameters calibration, all these factors are analyzed in this article. Based on the analysis we improve the condition and method of calibration experiment and then finish the linear CCD's internal parameters calibration. The impact of lens distortion can be avoided by using the upright and inverted mirror state theory to calibration the optical canter. And the N-style array streak calibration model can effectively reduce the impact of real object height, number of imaging pixels and translational distance than the existing linear CCD calibration methods and improve the measurement accuracy of the intersection of vertical target measurement system.

# 2. ARCHITECTURE OF CALIBRATION MODEL

The camera calibration refers to structuring the conversion relationship between the camera image pixel position of the scene and the real space position. The method is based on the camera model, solving the model parameters of the camera by the feature points of the image coordinates and world coordinates. Based on the parameters of the linear CCD model, there are three parameters need to be calibrated which are internal parameters, lens

31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. Vol. 46 No.2

© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

distortion and external parameters. Generally we use sophisticated instruments to calibrating the internal parameters and the lens distortion calibration of the linear CCD in laboratory, the external parameter in the testing site. Reference to the assumptions of the area cameras model's internal parameters[7], Linear CCD's internal parameters can be presented by matrix *A* as (1).

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_x & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

In matrix A,  $a_x$  is the scale factor of camera's u scale, or the other words that's effective focal length. ( $a_x = f/dx$ , dx is liner scan CCD camera's pixel pitch),  $u_0$  is linear CCD's optical center.

Precise calibration of the two internal parameters according to the linear CCD's imaging method. Assuming a shooting state of linear CCD is upright mirror state and after rotating the camera 180 degrees is inverted mirror state. Only when the linear CCD's optical axis through the space of a flag point, the projection position of the mark point is the optical center of the linear CCD. The signs point is coincident with is the line CCD's image position under the upright mirror and inverted mirror state. In calibration, make the position of the mark points coincident in the center of two images under the upright mirror and inverted mirror state by rotating and regulating the linear CCD multiple times[8]. At this time, the mark point which projected onto the image is the position of the linear CCD's optical center. Recorded the optical center's coordinate  $u_0$ .

The principle of calibrating the linear CCD's effective focal length is shown as Figure 1.



Figure 1: Principle of calibrating effective focal length

As Figure 1 show, at a position A which is a certain distance away from the camera, sampling from the calibration template which is perpendicular to the optical axis. Can readout two stripes as template which spacing is H occupied  $h_1$  pixels. The relationship can be obtained, such as (2) from imaging geometry.

$$\frac{f}{h_1 dx} = \frac{OA}{H} \tag{2}$$

In the relationship, OA is the distance between the sampling point A to the camera's optical center. Because the uncertainty of the camera's optical center position this distance cannot be accurately measured. Therefore, sample second time by moving template to point B where distance point A L. Can readout two stripes as template which spacing is H occupied  $h_2$  pixels from the second sample picture. The relationship can be obtained, such as (3) from imaging geometry.

$$\frac{f}{h_2 dx} = \frac{OB}{H} \tag{3}$$

In the relationship, *OB* is the distance between the sampling points *B* to the camera's optical center, OB = OA + L. So, the expression of effective focal length can be obtained by minus (2) to (3) as (4).

$$a_{x} = f / dx = \frac{h_{1}h_{2}L}{(h_{1} - h_{2})H}$$
(4)

#### 3. ANALYSIS OF INFLUENCE FACTORS

#### 3.1 Influence Factors of Optical Center Calibration

The calibration accuracy of optical centers can be shown as  $d\mu_0$  and the corresponding angular resolution can be demonstrated as  $d\theta$ . According to the optical imaging theory applicable to linear CCD, their relationship is supposed to be as (5) shows:

$$\tan d\theta = d\mu_0 / a_x \tag{5}$$

Based on the upright and inverted mirror state theory, the angle measuring accuracy of biaxial tilting table and the resolution accuracy of pixels adopted are the only factors that are related to the angular resolution of the optical center calibration accuracy which equals the sum value of their corresponding angular resolution. The former, related to the digits of the angular coding disk, can be shown as  $d\varphi$  and the latter, related to interpolation precision of the pixels, can be shown as  $d\gamma$ . Then, calibration accuracy of optical centers in CCD can be demonstrated in (6):

$$d\mu_0 = a_x \cdot \tan(d\varphi + d\gamma) \tag{6}$$

#### **3.2 Influence Factors of Effective Focal Length** Calibration

According to the calibration principle of the line array CCD camera as the Figure 1 and (4) show, the factors which affect the calibration result are the

31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. Vol. 46 No.2

© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

real object height H, the move distance of calibration model L and the number of image h. The effect of these factors to the effective focal length calibration result could use their partial differential to represent, as (7) shows.

$$da_{x} = \frac{\partial a_{x}}{\partial H} dH + \frac{\partial a_{x}}{\partial L} dL + \frac{\partial a_{x}}{\partial h_{1}} dh_{1} + \frac{\partial a_{x}}{\partial h_{2}} dh_{2} \quad (7)$$

#### 3.2.1 Impact of real object height H

The effect of the real object height to the effective focal length calibration could use its differential to represent, after a collation, the result as the (8) shows.

$$\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial H}dH = \frac{h_1 h_2 L}{(h_1 - h_2)H^2}dH = \frac{a_x}{H}dH \qquad (8)$$

So, the effects of the real object height  $_H$  to the effective focal length calibration will decreases when  $_H$  increases. However with the  $_H$  increases, the measuring error  $_{dH}$  will increase, and in the real calibration, because of the linear field of view angle of the linear CCD and the calibration condition, cannot increase the  $_H$  unlimited. So in the certain  $_H$  condition, decrease the measuring  $_{dH}$  is the effective way to decrease the effect to the calibration accuracy.

Focus on the calibration of the inner parameter of the linear CCD, all the existing calibration methods are based on the 2D plane, use the black and white streak model to calibrate. This model cannot measure the angle between the linear field of view of the linear CCD and the streak when they are not in the vertical plane. If use the distance of the streak to approximate estimates the real object height H, will cause big error for the calculation of the height, and cause large error for the calibration. For the measuring the accurate real sampling distance in the calibration model of the linear CCD linear field of view, could use the N-style array streak model to calibrate, as Figure 2 shows.



Figure 2: N-Style Array Streak Template

The point in the center of the Figure 2 locates in the center of the two parallel streaks. It is the sign point in the optical center of the calibration which uses the upright inverted mirror state principle. For distinguish the upright streak and the inclined streak in the image of the linear CCD, the upright streak use the wide line to print. If the linear field of view of the camera are not in the vertical plane with the upright streak, can use the proportion relationship of the distance between the wide streak and thickness streak in the sampling image, to make sure the real position of the sign point in the inclined streak. Then connect the real position of these sampling points in each inclined streak, this can determine the linear field of view of the linear CCD real position, and get the accurate distance Hin the calibration model.

Furthermore, according to Figure 1, in the process of the calibration of the effective focal length  $a_x$ , whether the calibration model and the light axe of CCD camera keep vertical will affect the accuracy for the real object height measurement. If use the length of the calibration model is 1m, the effect to the real measuring error dH as the Figure 3 shows.



Figure 3: Effect To The Real Measuring Error dH

As Figure 3 shows, with the increase of the nonverticality between the calibration and linear CCD, the measuring accuracy become lower and lower. In the real process of calibration, to make model plumb with the camera light axe, setting the N-style array streak in the screw, and setting the camera which need calibrated in the two-dimensional precision turntable[9]. Through control the position of screw and two-dimensional precision turntable, make the sign point projection in the optics centre of the CCD camera both in upright inverted mirror state condition, and the upright streak beside the sign point are symmetrical to each other, the distance from streak to sign point is equal. According to the principle of on both sides of the linear CCD optical lens distortion parameters symmetrical about the camera's optical center and the geometric relationships of the isosceles triangle[10], now the light axe of the linear CCD is plumb with the N-style array streak model, drop foot is the sign point in the model.

31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. Vol. 46 No.2

© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

#### **3.2.2 Impact of number of imaging pixels** *h*

The calibration impact of the number of imaging pixels of the template in the image h, on the effective focal length can be explained by a differential. The integrated differential is presented in (9) and (10).

$$\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial h_1} dL = \frac{h_2^2}{\left(h_1 - h_2\right)^2 H} dh_1 \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial h_2} dL = \frac{h_1^2}{(h_1 - h_2)^2 H} dh_2 \tag{10}$$

In (9) and (10), the number of imaging pixels of the template in the image,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ , which cannot be changed, is determined by the work distance of sampling image, the actual height of the object, the camera's focal length, and other parameters. In order to diminish the calibration impact of the number of imaging pixels of the template in the image, h, interpolation of the sub-pixel is utilized, to improve the precision of reading the number of imaging pixels[11,12]. Matlab has several interpolation algorithms, including nearest, linear, spline, and cubic, etc. Nearest and linear cannot achieve interpolation of the sub-pixel when calculating the image center. Spline is the most suitable interpolation with the sub-pixel for the 1D interpolation on one row because of its precise.

### **3.2.3 Impact of translational distance** L

The calibration impact of the translational distance L of template relative to the linearity CCD camera can be represented by a differential. The integrated differential is presented in (11).

$$\frac{\partial a_x}{\partial L}dL = \frac{h_1 h_2}{(h_1 - h_2)H}dL = \frac{a_x}{L}dL \qquad (11)$$

Hence the longer translational distance L, the smaller calibration impact on the effective focal length. However, with the increase of the translational distance L, its measurement error dL will be amplified, and during the actual calibration, the translation distance L cannot be lengthened infinitely, due to the linear CCD's depth of field, the conditions of calibration test, and other objective causes. As a result, with fixed translational distance L, to decrease the measurement error dL can effectively neutralize its impact on the precision of calibration.

From Figure 1, the measurement error dL mainly comes from the fact if the template translates along the optical axis on the screw rod. In this sense, before conducting a calibration test on the effective focal length, it should be ensured that the mark point always goes through the optical axis of the linear CCD when calibration template moves on the screw rod, and the template must be maintained vertical to the camera's optical axis.

### 4. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

#### 4.1 Accuracy of Optical Center Calibration

The focus of a the common linear CCD is 50mm and when we use biaxial tilting tables different in coding digits as well as pixels different in interpolation precision, its calibration accuracy of optical center can be shown in Table 1:

Table.1:The Calibration Accuracy of Optical Center  $\mu_0$ 

	17 coding digits	18 coding digits	20 coding digits
10-times interpolation	0.34	0.22	0.13
20-times interpolation	0.29	0.17	0.08
100-times interpolation	0.25	0.13	0.04

In the practical process of calibration, we can choose appropriate biaxial tables and pixels according to what we need in reference of data stated in the Table 1.

We choose a 17-digit angular coding disk and 20 times of pixel interpolation accuracy to experiment with the linear CCD whose focus is 50mm and pixel is  $10^{-2}$ mm. Then we carry out the experiment according to the upright-down theory, adjusting the focus for a clear image. By adjusting the position of biaxial table and the leading screw, we find that in the distance from 1m to 3m, the position of  $\mu_0$  is as what has been shown in Table 2:

*Table.2: Calibration of Optical Center*  $\mu_0$ 

distance	1m	1.5m	2m	2.5m	3m
Optical Center	2047.10	2047.15	2047.15	2047.20	2047.25

It can be know from Table 2 that the calibration error in the experiment is 0.15 pixels and this result concords to what has been figured out in Table 1. It shows a high experimental accuracy.

#### 4.2 Accuracy of Effective Focal Length Calibration

#### 4.2.1 Impact of real object height H

According to (8) and Figure 2 show the principle, can get the fitting graphics of effect in different model size and different streak to the real measuring error dH through the simulation with the Matlab as Figure 4 and Figure 5 show.

#### Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology 31st December 2012. Vol. 46 No.2

© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>



E-ISSN: 1817-3195



Figure 4: dH Of Black And White Streak Template

As the Figure 4 and Figure 5 show, the real object height measuring error dH get decrease when the aspect ratio of the model increase for the black and white streak calibration model, the minimum error is 5mm; the real object height measuring error dH get increase when the aspect ratio of the model increase for the N-style array streak calibration model, the maximum error is 0.6mm, the minimum is 0.25mm. So use the N-style array streak model can decrease the measuring error of the real object height, and the effect to the result of calibration the effective focal length  $a_x$ .



Figure 5: dH Of N-Style Array Streak Template

### 4.2.2 Impact of number of imaging pixels h

Based on (9) and (10), if the work distance of calibration is 1.5m, the translational distance of target surface is 600mm, the template's height is 1m, and the interpolation algorithm within the subpixel is spline, the impact of different pixel's interpolation precision on the calibration precision of the linearity CCD camera's effective focal length is elaborated in Figure 6.



Figure 6: Curve Of Impact Of Interpolation Precision

From Figure 6, if the interpolation precision within the pixel is greater than 30 times, a higher interpolation multiple has a small effect on improving the calibration precision of the effective focal length. Besides, the impact on the calibration precision of the effective focal length is very tiny when the error is 0.125 pixels, which is not the major influencing factor. Consequently, based on the requirements of engineering design, choosing interpolation with a 30-time precision within sub-pixel can fulfill the precision requirement of the effective focal length calibration.

### 4.2.3 Impact of translational distance L

The translational distance measurement error curve between the template and linear array CCD camera are as follows. Figure 7 is the curve when the non-perpendicularity between calibration template translation and linear array CCD camera optical axis is 1°. Figure 8 is the curve that we using the method as set in section 3.2.3 which keeps linear array CCD camera optical axis and calibration template perpendicular.



Figure 7: dL When Non-Perpendicularity Is 1°

31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. Vol. 46 No.2

© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1992-8645

<u>www.jatit.org</u>

E-ISSN: 1817-3195



Figure 8: dL When Perpendicular

From Figure 7 and Figure 8, the measurement error of translation distance L is proportional to itself. The translational distance L has a higher measuring accuracy under condition that the calibration template and line array CCD camera optical axis are perpendicular.

# 4.2.4 Experiment of effective focal length calibration

Based on the analysis of actual height H, eff number of pixels h and translational distance L, we calibrate with a length of 300mm, width 200mm N-style array streak calibration template at about 1m distance from linear CCD, and sample once in each translation 300mm. Then get the actual height of the object. H = 324.3mm. Use 30 times sub-pixel interpolation precision to obtain the number of imaging pixel h in each sampling location, as [2] shown in Table 3.

Tuble 5	. The Num	wer of thu	ιging Γιλεί	i n
Translational distance	0mm	300mm	600mm	900mm
h	1614.70	1247.93	1017.40	858.67

Table 3: The Number of Imaging Pixel h

Based on the effective focal length calculation principle shown in (4), we can obtain the effective focal length  $a_x$  calibration results shown in Table 4.

Table 4 : The Effective Focal Length  $a_x$  Calibration

Results						
loca	0/	0/	0/	300/	300/	600/
tion	300	600	900	600	900	900
$a_x$	5082	5088	5089	5094.	5093	5091
	.3	.6	.5	8	.1	.4

From the results of Table 4, the experimental results of calibration error are 0.13 pixel units. Calibration accuracy is about 2.5%. This is an effective way to improve the accuracy of the effective focal length of the linear CCD calibration.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

According to the theory of error analysis and measurement results, in this article, the analysis and improvement of the internal parameters calibration accuracy factor of linear CCD's calibration has improved calibration accuracy of the linear array CCD camera internal parameters at lower cost and perfect experimental. Especially in the effective focal length of the calibration, using N-style array streak calibration template has overcame the shortcomings of the cycle of black and white streak calibration template which could not accurately determine the linear array CCD camera liner field of view position. This improved the measurement accuracy of the actual height. Deficiency in this article was that the linear CCD lens distortion has not been taken into account. So calibration experiments could not reach the theoretical precision values. The accurate calibration of the effective focal length parameters would be more accurate if the lens distortion parameters had been used to correct the position of the imaging in effective focal length calibration.

# **REFERENCES:**

- [1] Zhiyong Lei, Shoushan Jiang, "Liner scan CCD technology and its application in target measurement", *Journal of Xi'an Institute of Technological*, Vol.22, No.3, 2002, pp.220-224.
- [2] R.Y. Tsai, "A versatile camera calibration technique for high-accuracy 3D machine vision metrology using off-the-shelf TV cameras and lenses", *IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation*, Vol.3 No.4, 1987, pp323-344.
- [3] Z. Zhang, "A flexible new technique for camera calibration", *Technical Report MSR-TR-98-71*, Dec.2, 1998.
- [4] Hong'e Luo, Ping Chen, "A new method of lens distortion calibration of linear CCD measurement system", *Semiconductor Optoelectoronics*, Vol.30, No.3, 2009, pp.441-443.
- [5] C.A. Luna, M. Mazo, "Calibration of line-scan cameras", *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, Vol.59, No.8, 2010, pp.2185-2190.
- [6] Xiang Chen, Kun Wei, "Automatic calibration in sual linear-CCD camera intersection measuring system", *Science of Surveying and Mapping*, Vol.33, suppl, 2008, pp.74-75.

31<sup>st</sup> December 2012. Vol. 46 No.2

© 2005 - 2012 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISS	SN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
[7]	L.D. Light,	"The new camera calibration system	

- [7] L.D. Light, "The new camera calibration system at the U.S. Geological Survey", *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, Vol.58, No.2, 1992, pp.185-188.
- [8] X. Cao, H. Foroosh, "Camera calibration using symmetric objects", *IEEE Trans. On Image Processing*, Vol.15, No.11, 2006, pp.3614-3619.
- [9] Dong Wang, Ming Zhu, "Camera's image center measurement method based of gistorted symmetry", *Chinese Journal of Electron Devices*, Vol.30, No.3, 2007, pp.1003-1005.
- [10] Dianguo Cao, Haojie Chen, "Application of bilinear interpolation algorithm in image rotation based on matlab", *China Printing and Packaging Study*, Vol. 2, No.4, 2010, pp.74-78.
- [11] Qingying Wang, "Deduction on the formula of depth of field", *Journal of Nanyang Teachers' College (Natural Sciences Edition)*, Vol.2, No.3, 2003, pp.24-26.
- [12] K. Juho, B. Sami, "A generic camera model and calibration method for conventional, wideangle, and fish-eye lenses", *IEEE Trans. On Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, Vol.28, No.8, 2006, pp.1335-1340.