



THE IMPACT OF CONTROL OBJECTIVES OF INFORMATION AND RELATED TECHNOLOGY (COBIT) DOMAIN ON INFORMATION CRITERIA AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RESOURCES

¹ MO'TAZ AMIN AL-SA'EED, ² SOUD M. AL-MAHAMID, ³ RIZIK M. H. AL-SAYYED

¹Asstt Prof., Department of Accounting and Accounting Information Systems, Al-Balqa' Applied University.

²Assoc. Prof., Department of Business Administration, Al-Hussein Bin Talal University.

³Asstt. Prof., Department of Business Information Systems, The University of Jordan.

E-mail: ¹motazalsaid@yahoo.com, ²soodjo@yahoo.com, ³r.alsayyed@ju.edu.jo

ABSTRACT

This research paper proposes and empirically examines the impact of Control Objectives of Information and related Technology (COBIT) domains on achieving the information criteria and on information technology resources of information systems to be considered reliable. This study aims mainly at answering the question: "Does Control Objectives of Information and related Technology (COBIT) domain have an impact on Information criteria and IT resources?" Questionnaires were distributed to 38 Jordanian banks. Our results indicated that there is a strong relationship between the three domains: planning and organization (PO), acquisition and implementation (AI), delivery and supporting (DS) on information technology resources (ITR) and people, information and application; where it is weak with infrastructure. The relationship, however, between monitoring and evaluation (ME) domain and people, application and infrastructure that is weak and where it is supported with adequate information. Also, the four COBIT domains: PO, AI, DS and ME have high impact on Information Technology Resources (ITR) with respect to people, information, application and infrastructure. The results indicated also that there is a strong relationship of PO on Information Criteria (IC) and the variables: effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability. For the AI domain, it was found that there is a strong relationship between (AI) and compliance, reliability and efficiency where there was found an average relationship along with the remaining variables. We noticed a very strong relationship between the (DS) domain and the seven variables. Moreover, the analysis indicated that the (ME) domain has a very good relationship with effectiveness, integrity and reliability; whereas an average relationship is noticed between the (ME) domain and confidentiality, availability and compliance and a weak relationship between the (ME) domain and efficiency. The four COBIT domains: PO, AI, DS and ME have high impact on IC with respect to effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability.

Keywords: *COBIT, Information Criteria, IT Resources, Control, Accounting Information Systems, and IT Governance.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, it has become increasingly evident that there is a need for a reference framework for security and control in information technology (IT). Successful organizations require an appreciation for and a basic understanding of the risks and constraints of IT at all levels within the enterprise in order to achieve effective direction and adequate controls. Management has to decide what is needed in order to reasonably invest in security and control in IT and how to balance risk and control investment in an often unpredictable IT environment. While information systems security and control help manage risks, they do not eliminate them. In addition, the exact level of risk can never be known as there is always some degree

of uncertainty. Ultimately, management must decide the level of risk it is willing to accept. Judging what level can be tolerated, particularly when weighted against the cost, can be a difficult management decision. Therefore, management clearly needs a framework of generally accepted IT security and control practices to benchmark the existing and planned IT environment. There is an increasing need for users of IT services to be assured, through accreditation and audit of IT services provided by internal or third parties, that adequate security and control exists. At present, however, the implementation of good IT controls in information systems, be they commercial, non-profit or governmental, is hampered by confusion. The confusion arises from the different evaluation methods such as Information Technology Security



Evaluation Criteria (ITSEC), Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria (TCSEC), International Standardization Organization (ISO 9000) evaluations, an emerging Committee Of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) internal control evaluations, etc. As a result, users need a general foundation in order to establish a first step. Frequently, auditors have taken the lead in such international standardization efforts because they are continuously confronted with the need to substantiate their opinion on internal control to management. Without a framework, this is an exceedingly difficult task. Furthermore, auditors are increasingly being called on by management to proactively consult and advise on IT security and control-related matters. To the extent that COBIT is applicable as a dual use framework; organizations can achieve efficiencies in either operations and/or IT audits through its use (Tuttle and D. Vandervelde, 2007). Internal audit functions can use COBIT with increased confidence as a framework for any type of IT audit they perform, whether it is an operational audit, compliance audit, or financial audit. It is possible that examining COBIT's conceptual model is a first step toward the development of a more general theory of internal control. Although no academic theory of internal control exists, the profession is essentially proposing COBIT as a process oriented theory of internal control based on IT processes, IT domains, information criteria (IC) and the IT resources (ITR) employed to generate information. Further development of a formal theory of internal control, especially as it relates to IT, should lead to more effective compliance and operational audits. The framework starts from a simple and pragmatic premise. In order to provide the information that the organization needs to achieve its objectives, ITR needs to be managed by a set of naturally grouped processes. Building on this premise; we proposed and empirically examined the impact of COBIT domains on achieving the Information Criteria and on IT Resources of information systems in order to be considered reliable. This study has chosen Jordanian Banks to as the study population, due to the quick response of those banks to the most recent updates in IT.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the importance of the study; section II also covers the information criteria, IT resources and Problem Definition; Section III details the background and literature review of the study; The study model, hypothesis development and research methodology are covered in section

IV; Data analysis and research findings are covered in section V: The conclusion is drawn in section VI.

2. THE IMPORTANCE ON THE STUDY

Efficiencies should result in having IT departments and auditors sharing the same framework. Any framework that is acceptable from not only a financial audit perspective but also from an operational perspective is preferred to one that is only useful in the financial audit. COSO appears to serve this need at a relatively general level. At an operational level, we note that COBIT was initially developed as an IT benchmark consisting of best practices. From that context, we investigate the appropriateness of COBIT to an audit setting. To the extent that COBIT is applicable as a dual use framework; organizations can achieve efficiencies in either operations and/or IT audits through its use (Tuttle and D.Vandervelde, 2007). Internal audit functions can use COBIT with increased confidence as a framework for any type of IT audit they perform, whether it is an operational audit, compliance audit, or financial audit. It is possible that examining COBIT's conceptual model is a first step toward the development of a more general theory of internal control. Although no academic theory of internal control exists, the profession is essentially proposing COBIT as a process oriented theory of internal control based on IT processes, IT domains, IC and the ITR employed to generate information. Further development of a formal theory of internal control, especially as it relates to IT, should lead to more effective compliance and operational audits. We proposed and empirically examined the impact of COBIT domain on achieving the IC of information systems and on ITR so as to be considered reliable. This study has chosen Jordanian Banks as the study population, due to the quick response of these banks to the most recent updates in IT.

By interpreting this factor to be an information quality dimension as reflected by information reliability, confidentiality, and integrity obtained in an efficient manner. IT processing considerations are related to controls. That is, effective compliance with laws, regulations, and contracts that is affected by people and by having the necessary data. In addition to that; audit considerations are related to IT design (i.e., applications and infrastructure), thus ensuring the availability of information to the business. Thus in our study; we are suggesting that the processes of COBIT domain in an IT Governance Model impacts information criteria and Applied ITR. This



means that the Information System is more reliable and beneficial.

The four COBIT domains that are the core of our study are: Planning and Organization (PO), Acquisition and Implementation (AI), Delivery and Supporting (DS) and Monitoring and Evaluating (ME). These COBIT domain levels are individually explained below (Etzler, 2007, P18-26):

1- Planning and Organization (PO) describes how the business objectives are best reached through the use of IT. This domain administrates the use of tactics and strategy to plan, communicate and manage the different perspective throughout the organization.

2- Acquisition and Implementation (AI) depicts the identifying and acquiring of IT solutions. Furthermore, this domain explains the solutions integration to the business processes and how to manage and upkeep the existing systems.

3- Delivery and Supporting (DS) handles the actual delivery of the information at hand and see to the management of service levels, performance and capacity, configurations, operations and the physical environment, to name a few. This domain is also responsible for the identification and allocation of costs and the training of users.

4-Monitoring and Evaluation (ME) describes the monitoring and evaluation of all the processes employed by the IT organization. This domain also delivers the final statement to provide it governance.

3. INFORMATION CRITERIA, IT RESOURCES AND PROBLEM DEFINITION.

Information Criteria: To satisfy business objectives, information needs to conform to certain control criteria, which COBIT refers to as business requirements for information. Based on the broader quality, fiduciary and security requirements, seven distinct (and certainly overlapping) information criteria are defined as follows: (Romney and Stainbart. 2009, pp, 273) (ITGI- COBIT Framework, 2000):

Effectiveness deals with information being relevant and pertinent to the business process as well as being delivered in a timely, correct, consistent and usable manner.

Efficiency concerns the provision of information through the optimal (most productive and economical) use of resources.

Confidentiality concerns the protection of sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure.

Integrity relates to the accuracy and completeness of information as well as to its validity in accordance with business values and expectations.

Availability relates to information being available when required by the business process now and in the future. It also concerns the safeguarding of necessary resources and associated capabilities.

Compliance deals with complying with those laws, regulations and contractual arrangements to which the business process is subject, i.e., externally imposed business criteria, as well as internal policies.

Reliability relates to the provision of appropriate information for management to operate the entity and exercise its fiduciary and governance responsibilities.

IT Resources: The IT resources identified in COBIT can be defined as follows:

Applications are the automated user systems and manual procedures that process the information.

Information is the data in all their forms input, processed and output by the information systems, in whatever form is used by the business.

Infrastructure is the technology and facilities (hardware, operating systems, database management systems, networking, multimedia, etc., and the environment that houses and supports them) that enable the processing of the applications.

People are the personnel required to plan, organize, acquire, implement, deliver, support, monitor and evaluate the information systems and services. They may be internal, outsourced or contracted as required. (Tuttle and D. Vandervelde, 2007)

Problem Definition: The underlying conceptual model of COBIT asserts that to satisfy business requirements, information must meet seven criteria: (1) Effectiveness, (2) Efficiency, (3) Confidentiality, (4) Integrity, (5) Availability, (6) Compliance, and (7) Reliability. For each COBIT process, the ITR (i.e., assets) that the process affects are also identified. These resources consist of (1) People, (2) Information or data, (3) Applications, and (4) Infrastructure. The conceptual model relates each COBIT process to the information criteria that the process affects. The Framework starts from a simple and pragmatic premise: In order to provide the information that the organization needs to achieve its objectives, IT



resources need to be managed by a set of naturally grouped processes. The Framework continues with a set of 34 high-level Control Objectives, one for each of the IT processes, grouped into four domains: planning and organization, acquisition and implementation, delivery and support, and monitoring. The COBIT Framework provides a tool for the business process owner that facilitates the discharge of this responsibility. This structure covers all aspects of information and the technology that supports it. By addressing these 34 high-level control objectives, the business process owner can ensure that an adequate control system is provided for the IT environment. Thus; the following question has been emerged from the COBIT processes in an IT Governance Model, as follows:

“Do Control Objectives of Information and Related Technology Domain have an impact on Information criteria and IT resources?”

The above question can be further divided into more detailed questions:

1. Do COBIT domain of AI, PO, DS, and ME have an impact on ITR with respect to people, information, application and infrastructure?
2. Do COBIT domain of AI, PO, DS, and ME have an impact on IC with respect to effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance, and reliability?

Note that the first question can be further divided into four more questions and the second question can be further divided into seven more questions.

4. STUDY BACKGROUND AND LITRATURE REVIEW.

It was believed that this governance model would result in: 1. High flexibility for the organization, 2. Effective allocation of the IT resources and, 3. Economies of scale and specialization through centralization of IT knowledge. Effective IT governance is of course determined by the way the IT function is organized and where the IT decision-making authority is located within the organization. Organizations are restructuring to streamline operations and simultaneously take advantage of the advances in IT to improve their competitive position. Business re-engineering, right-sizing, and outsourcing, empowerment, flattened organizations

and distributed processing are all changes that impact the way that business and governmental organizations operate. These changes are having, and will continue to have, profound implications for the management and operational control structures within organizations worldwide. IT governance provides the structure that links IT processes, IT resources, and information to enterprise strategies and objectives. IT governance integrates and institutionalizes optimal ways of planning and organizing, acquiring and implementing, delivering and supporting, and monitoring IT performance. Looking at the interplay of enterprise and IT governance processes in more detail, enterprise governance, the system by which entities are directed and controlled, drives and sets IT governance.(ITGI- COBIT Framework,2000).

As a practical matter, however, COSO is a highly abstract conceptual framework and does not identify control objectives at a level of specificity sufficient to design detailed auditing tests. Furthermore, the general nature of COSO does not address the complexity and special risks inherent in IT (Colbert and Bowen, 1996). Organizations and auditors in computerized environments are adopting specialized frameworks, such as COBIT, to supplement COSO. Every major international accounting firm has adopted COBIT or at a minimum its major constructs in connection with their review of internal control. This trend extends beyond the U.S. as evidenced by the European Union's recent adoption of COBIT as an Auditing Standard (Summerfield, 2005). Unlike COSO's five components, which are structured by semantic category, the COBIT framework relies on a process model that is organized around a system life cycle approach containing four primary domains. These domains are labeled: Plan and Organize; Acquire and Implement; Deliver and Support; and Monitor and Evaluate. Within each domain there are specific processes that an organization should address to achieve detailed and specific IT related control objectives. For instance, within the Deliver and Support domain is the process, “DS4 Ensure Continuous Service.” This process is associated with 10 detailed control objectives that IT best practices suggest should be met in order to achieve a high level of control. Verify and enhance training according to the results of the contingency tests” (IT Governance Institute, 2005, 116). These detailed control objectives are further supplemented by auditing guidelines for each COBIT process. It is important to note that the control objectives in



COBIT are specific enough to be easily implemental; yet general enough to be applicable to various types of audits (e.g., operational, compliance, and financial) (Tuttle and D. Vandervelde, 2007).

As mentioned in above, the ultimate goal of COBIT, as a model of IT governance, is to achieve strategic information criteria, and strategic alignment between the business and IT to make sure that money spent in IT deliver value for the business through utilizing various IT Resources. This alignment discussion is certainly not new. The study of (Trautman and Altenbaumer, 2011) has reached that COBIT contends because Information Technology governance integrates and institutionalizes good practices to ensure that the enterprise's Information Technology supports the business objectives. Information Technology governance enables the enterprise to take full advantage of its information, thereby maximizing benefits, capitalizing on opportunities and gaining competitive advantage. (Singh, H, 2010) has argued that many organizations that use COBIT do not implement the entire framework. Instead, they focus their efforts on only some of COBIT's control objectives. This could be due to the limited rationality of IT managers, which affects their ability to assess the outcomes of control, and the diminishing returns from implementing controls, because of enforcement costs incurred to control shirking. While (Heier and et al, 2009) indicate that companies that are willing to dedicate the necessary resources to an IT governance application implementation can utilize the tool better and eventually create a much larger benefit in the form of a measurable business value. Meanwhile; (Goeken and Alter, 2009) have developed a framework representation with this technology which allows the flexible navigation within framework structures and the implementation of various views over the components. (Singh, H, 2009) has aimed to provide a theoretical wrapping for Information System (IS) governance based on practice theory). (Ribeiro and Gomes, 2008) have found that COBIT is a suitable framework for the implementation of the ISO 9001 certification standard and for IT Governance in Public Educational Institutions in the IS and IT field. In addition to that; achieving the COBIT information criteria has important implications for financial statement assertions as well as broader implications for the efficiency and effectiveness of operations. (Ndilula, 2008) has found that the good IT governance in an organization results in more

accurate and timely financial reporting. (Gerry.H. et al, 2008) have evaluated the impact of IT deficiencies on financial reporting and determines significant differences between companies that report IT deficiencies and companies that do not report IT deficiencies. This study suggests that companies with IT control deficiencies report more internal control (IC) deficiencies, are smaller, pay higher audit fees, and are typically audited by smaller accounting firms. (Ribeiro and Gomes, 2008) and (Tuttle and D. Vandervelde, 2007) have concluded that with the implementation of COBIT the institution has improved the quality of care by the administrative services, controlled and managed the IS more efficiently, defining processes and indicators to do it, reduced the tasks execution time, reduced in number of failures in communication between services and user,...etc). Furthermore (Best. P and Sherrena, 2007) have aimed to make a key contribution to the research on IT Governance by proposing a Board IT Review Model (BIRM) as a mechanism to assist Boards to identify critical ITG issues and the supporting operational data necessary to successfully evaluate ITG at a Board level. The pilot testing process indicated that the majority of critical issues were important to the review of ITG at a Board level. The pilot study also provided support for the inclusion of the critical issues component in the BIRM. In addition to that; (kieviet, 2006) has focused on identifying the most critical IT processes that need to be controlled in order to achieve a successful ERP implementation and sustainable ERP environment; which could result in an ERP environment that is controlled, manageable and is contributing to overall it governance and compliance. The study found that the COBIT provides a control framework which is understandable to user, manager and auditor communities alike. While (Van Grembergen, et al, 2005) have concluded in their study that ITGI should be leveraged to improve the COBIT framework. Also In his study (Hill, 2005) has aimed to answer the study question: "how (ITIL) and (COBIT) can be used together?" this study has showed that (ITIL) and (COBIT) are complementary and can be used together to facilitate the transition to Business Service Management. On the other hand; (De Haes and Van Grembergen, 2005) have found that the IT governance project was perceived as a project imposed by IT which created resistance in the business to adopt the model. Within IT intensive environments, COBIT is a widely recognized control framework that is emerging as the supplemental framework of choice to the Treadway



Commission's Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) evaluation framework (IT Governance Institute, 2005; see also Colbert and Bowen, 1996; Netegrity, 2004; Ramos, 2004). (Fedorowicz and Gelinaz, 1998) have stated that COBIT complements the COSO framework for assessing the internal controls and overall corporate governance of an organization. Likewise, (Lainhart, 2001) states that COBIT is a tool that "helps enterprises balance IT risk and investment in controls." In contributing to the understanding of IT governance and how it can be achieved in practice (De Haes and Grembergen, V, 2004) have found that the key element in IT governance is the alignment of the business and IT to lead to the achievement of business value. This high-level goal can be achieved by acknowledging IT governance as a part of enterprise governance and by setting up an IT governance framework with best practices. Such a framework and practices should be composed of a variety of structures, processes and relational mechanisms.

5. STUDY MODEL, HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.

Our COBIT study model consists of four domains: Acquisition & Implementation, Planning & Organization, Delivery & Supporting and Monitoring & Evaluation. The impact of each of these four domains on information criteria & IT resources will be evaluated with respect to factors of that area; the factors of the IT resources are people, information, application and infrastructure and the factors of the information criteria are effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance, and reliability.

Based on the study questions and reviewing the related literatures a hypothesis can be developed as follows: Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain do not have impact on Information criteria and IT resources.

The study has developed a sub-hypothesis that match the study sub-questions (Problem elements) as follows:

1. Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain of Acquisition and Implementation (AI) do not have impact on Information criteria and IT resources.
2. Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain of Planning

and Organization (PO) do not have impact on Information criteria and IT resources.

3. Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain of Delivery and Supporting (DS) do not have impact on Information criteria and IT resources.
4. Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain of Monitoring and Evaluation (ME) do not have impact on Information criteria and IT resources.

To do the research, a postal questionnaire survey was deemed as the most appropriate research tool for answering the study questions. Questionnaires are believed to effective tools to seek opinions, attitudes and descriptions about COBIT domains impact. A listing of all Jordanian banks was available from the Central Bank of Jordan as of 31st December, 2011. It was decided to distribute the questionnaire to all those banks, with a confidence level of 95% and an interval level of 10. The response rate of the questionnaire survey was (90%), where only (38) out of (42) questionnaires were returned.

Table (1): Descriptive Analysis Of The Study Sample

Job Title	Frequency	Percent
Internal audit	10	26.3
Information systems	28	73.7
Sum	38	100
Level of education	Frequency	Percent
College certificate	3	7.9
Bachelor degree	22	57.9
Master degree	12	31.6
PhD	---	---
Others	1	2.6
Sum	38	100
	Frequency	Percent
	7	18.40
CIA	5	13.15
CISA	7	18.40
MCSE	4	10.52
ODBA	5	13.15
Information systems	10	26.3
Sum	38	100
Years of experience	Frequency	Percent
1-5	10	26.3
6-10	14	36.8
11-15	8	21.0
16-20	6	15.8
More than 20	1	2.6
Sum	38	100
Age	Frequency	Percent
25-30	12	31.15
31-35	15	39.50
36-40	4	10.5
41-50	6	15.8
More than 50	1	2.6
Total	38	100

6. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS.

A. Descriptive Analysis

The research sample consisted of 28 Information systems and technology managers (73.7 %) while

the rest (10) were Internal Audit Managers (26.3%) (See Table: 1 above). In the meantime; it was found that the respondents hold professional certificates relevant to the study objectives. Of the research sample, 22 respondents have bachelor degrees, and 12 respondents have their masters. Therefore; it is more likely that the research sample has a sufficient level of education to respond to the research questionnaire. Also, a total of (73.6%) of respondents have 6 to 20 years of experience, which indicates that the respondents have high levels of experience in which to answer the research questionnaires without difficulty. Among the respondents there were five discrete categories of age. The range of age groups was 25 to more than 50 years, with the majority aged between 25 to 40 years old (27 respondents), which indicates that our respondents can provide objective and thorough data that enriches the research results. Overall, the demographic features of the research respondents show that the target sample is appropriate for answering the research questions.

B. Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha was used to test the reliability of the scale with (34) tested items divided into four domains (see table :2), which is good because it is greater than the accepted percentage of (0.60), and means that if the questionnaire will be distributed to another sample, we will get similar reliable responses as displayed in the percentages below.

Table (2): Reliability Statistics Of The Measurement Tool

COBIT Domain	Tested Items	Conbach 's Alpha
Planning and Organizing	11	83.3%
Acquisition and Implementation	6	62.3
Delivery and Support	13	93.0 %
Monitoring and Evaluation	4	68.5 5
Total items	34 Items	

C. Test of Hypothesis

To test the hypothesis and analyze the results, *correlation* and *ANOVA* tests were performed. In order to answer the main research question “Does Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain have impact on Information criteria and IT resources?” of the study, we re-phrased the research questions into two questions: (1) “Does Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain have an impact on IT resources?” (2) “Do Control Objectives of Information and related Technology Domain have an impact on Information Criteria?” SPSS-17 has been used to analyze the data collected. We have summarized the results calculated into four tables;

Table 3, Table 4, Table 5 and Table 6. Table 3 and Table 4 are related to the first question while Table 5 and Table 6 are related to second question. Table (3) shows the correlation analysis (R & R square) between the four COBIT domains: Planning and Organization (PO_ITR), Acquisition and Implementation (AP_ITR), Delivery and Supporting (DS_ITR) and Monitoring and Evaluation (ME_ITR) with respect to people, information, application and infrastructure and their impact on Information Technology Resources (ITR).

Table 3: Correlation Analysis Of The COBIT Domains On ITR

COBIT Domain	People		Information	
	R	R2	R	R2
PO_ITR	0.93	0.86	0.86	0.74
AI_ITR	0.81	0.65	0.80	0.64
DS_ITR	0.92	0.84	0.90	0.81
ME_ITR	0.59	0.34	0.81	0.66

COBIT Domain	Application		Infrastructure	
	R	R2	R	R2
PO_ITR	0.92	0.85	0.58	0.33
AI_ITR	0.88	0.78	0.62	0.46
DS_ITR	0.96	0.92	0.75	0.57
ME_ITR	0.48	0.23	0.64	0.40

As shown in Table (3), there is a strong relationship between PO and people, information and application, but demonstrates a weak relationship between the PO and the infrastructure (R = 0.576, R2 = 0.332).The PO relationship with the variables is close to the relationship of the AI with respect to the same variables. The relationship between DS domain and the first three variables (People, Information, and Application) in the table is also strong whereas the relationship between AI and the infrastructure can be described as moderate(R = 0.618, R2 = 0.464). The relationship between ME and people, application and infrastructure is weak, yet there is a good relationship between M and the information. (R = 0.810, R2 = 0.657).

We could say that the reason behind the weak relationship between PO and infrastructure (R = 0.576, R2 = 0.332) can be related to employees' experiences and qualifications in dealing with the new ITR installed in the tested sample, and we could interpret such indication that banks in Jordan have satisfactory level of applied Infrastructure so that when they are conducting the Planning and Organizing Domain (PO) it's not urgent to upgrade and change the infrastructure appliances. The same explanation could be applied to explain the weak relationship between (AI and Infrastructure, which



means that when banks conduct acquisition and implementation Domain, they are well equipped and ready to effectively install and operate the new Information systems. In addition to that, the weak relationship between Monitoring and Evaluation (ME) and People and Application, could be further interpreted through the descriptive analysis of the study sample, (see table: 1) which shows that the study sample has sufficient levels of education, professional certificates and a satisfactory level of experience indicating that people and application are well prepared and strategically aligned to monitor and evaluate the new acquired information systems. These results are lined with ((Trautman and Altenbaumer, 2011), on the other hand; (Singh, H, 2010) has argued that many organizations that use COBIT do not implement the entire framework. Instead, they focus their efforts on only some of COBIT's control objectives. This could be due to the limited rationality of the IT managers, which affects their ability to assess the outcomes of control, and the diminishing returns from implementing controls, because of enforcement costs incurred to control shirking. Managers would thus find it useful if the various control objectives could be ranked, so that they could prioritize their efforts. The study has used network analysis to identify the most central control objectives in COBIT. Some literature has argued that the IT governance project was perceived as a project imposed by IT which created resistance in the business to adopt the model. (De Haes and Van Grembergen, 2005). In order to find which one of the variables has an effect on the relationship or to find out the direction of the relation, one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) has been performed as shown in Table (4). We omitted all the F values from the results and simply show the significance level since they are reflective. As shown in Table (4), the four COBIT domains: PO, AI, DS and ME have high impact on ITR with respect to people, information, application and infrastructure. This is noticed by looking at all the significance (Sig.) values that lie below the 0.05 p value (i.e. < 0.05); actually all values are 0.000 except just for application which is 0.002 that is almost zero. Table (4) is another proves that the four COBIT domains have high impact on ITR in addition to the results collected in Table (3) that shows the high correlation analysis of the COBIT domains on ITR.

Table 4: ANOVA of the COBIT domains on ITR

COBIT Domain	People	Information	Application	Infrastructure
	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
PO_IT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
AI_IT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
DS_IT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
ME_IT	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000

As indicated above, Table 5 and Table 6 data help in answering question 2. Table (5) shows the correlation analysis between the four COBIT domains: PO, AP, DS and ME with respect to effectiveness, efficiency confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability and their impact on information criteria (IC).

Table 5: Correlation Analysis of the COBIT domains on IC

COBIT Domain	Effectiveness		Efficiency		Confidentiality	
	R	R2	R	R2	R	R2
PO_IC	0.92	0.85	0.87	0.76	0.81	0.65
AI_IC	0.76	0.58	0.86	0.73	0.78	0.60
DS_IC	0.98	0.96	0.96	0.93	0.89	0.80
ME_IC	0.80	0.65	0.63	0.40	0.74	0.55

COBIT Domain	Integrity		Availability	
	R	R2	R	R2
PO_IC	0.841	0.706	0.871	0.759
AI_IC	0.788	0.620	0.734	0.539
DS_IC	0.933	0.870	0.939	0.881
ME_IC	0.876	0.767	0.743	0.552

COBIT Domain	Compliance		Reliability	
	R	R2	R	R2
PO_IC	0.919	0.845	0.868	0.754
AI_IC	0.904	0.818	0.874	0.764
DS_IC	0.955	0.912	0.907	0.822
ME_IC	0.792	0.628	0.846	0.715

In order to study the relationship between the COBIT domains with the variables: Effectiveness, Efficiency, Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability, Compliance and Reliability, a correlation analysis has been performed as shown in Table 5. The calculations indicated that there is a strong relationship between PO and all variables mentioned above ranged from 0.808 to 0.923. With respect to the second domain (AI) and the other seven variables, it was noticed that there is a strong relationship between (AI) and compliance, reliability and efficiency whereas the analysis showed an average relationship between (AI) and



the rest of the variables. We noticed a very strong relationship between the (DS) domain and the seven variables ranged from 0.894 to 0.978. Moreover, the analysis indicated that the (ME) domain has a very good relationship with effectiveness, integrity and reliability; whereas an average relationship is noticed between the (ME) domain and confidentiality, availability and compliance and a weak relationship between the (ME) domain and efficiency. Also, these results are supported by (Ribeiro and Gomes, 2008) who have argued that there is a need to create mechanisms to guarantee the management and control of IS in particular to IT Governance. The researchers found that COBIT is a suitable framework for the implementation of the ISO 9001 certification standard and for IT Governance in Public Educational Institutions in the IS and IT field. In addition to that; achieving the COBIT information criteria has important implications for financial statement assertions as well as broader implications for the efficiency and effectiveness of operations. Supporting to these results; (Nedilula, 2008) has found that the good IT governance in an organization results in more accurate and timely financial reporting.

looking at all the significance (Sig.) values that lie below the 0.05 p value (i.e. < 0.05); actually all values are zeros. Table 6 is another proves that the four COBIT domains have high impact on IC in addition to the results collected in Table 5 that shows the high correlation analysis of the COBIT domains on IC.

7. CONCLUSION

In this research, we empirically examined the impact of COBIT domains (PO, AI, DS, and ME) on IC with respect to people, information, application and infrastructure. We also empirically examined the impact of the same COBIT domains on ITR with respect to effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability. The results indicated that there is a strong relationship between the three domains: PO, AI, DS on ITR and people, information and application; where it is weak with infrastructure. The relationship, however, between ME domain and people, application and infrastructure is weak; though strong with information. Also, the four COBIT domains: PO, AI, DS and ME have high impact on ITR with respect to people, information, application and infrastructure. The results indicated also that there is a strong relationship of PO on IC and the variables: effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability. For the AI domain, it was found that there is a strong relationship between AI and compliance, reliability and efficiency where we found an average relationship and the remaining variables. We noticed a very strong relationship between the DS domain and the seven variables. Moreover, the analysis indicated that the ME domain has a very good relationship with effectiveness, integrity and reliability; whereas an average relationship is noticed between the ME domain and confidentiality, availability and compliance and a weak relationship between the ME domain and efficiency. The four COBIT domains have high impact on IC with respect to effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability.

Table 6: ANOVA of the COBIT domains on IC

COBIT Domain	Effectiveness	Efficiency	Confidentiality	Integrity
	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
PO_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
AI_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
DS_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
M_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

COBIT Domain	Availability	Compliance	Reliability
	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.
PO_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000
AI_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000
DS_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000
M_IC	0.000	0.000	0.000

The same procedure performed to produce Table 4 is repeated for Table 6. In order to find which one of the variables has an effect on the relationship or to find out the direction of the relation, ANOVA has been performed as shown in Table 6. Again, we omitted all the F values from the results and just show the significance level.

As shown in Table 6, the four COBIT domains: PO, AI, DS and ME have high impact Information Criteria (IC) with respect to effectiveness, efficiency, confidentiality, integrity, availability, compliance and reliability. This is noticed by

REFERENCES.

[1]Buckby, S and Best, P, (2007), Development of a board IT governance (ITG) review model. In: 2007 Accounting & Finance Association of Australia and New Zealand Conference (AFAANZ 2007), 1-3 Jul 2007, Gold Coast, Australia.



- [2]Colbert J. L, Bowen PL. A comparison of Internal Controls: COBIT, SAC, COSO, and SAS 55/78. *IS Audit Control J* 1996; 4:26–35.
- [3]COSO. Enterprise risk management—integrated framework." The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission". 2004. <http://www.coso.org>.
- [4]COSO. Internal control—an integrated framework. "The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission". 1992. <http://www.coso.org>.
- [5]Gerry H. Grant, Karen C. Miller, Fatima Alali, (2008), "The effect of IT controls on financial reporting" , *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 23 Iss: 8, pp.803 – 823.
- [6]Goeken. M. and Alter. S. (2009). "Towards Conceptual Meta modeling of IT Governance Frameworks Approach - Use – Benefits". Frankfurt School of Finance & Management. Proceedings of the 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences – 2009).
- [7]Grembergen. W. Van, De Haes. Steven and Jan Moons, (2005), *Linking Business Goals to IT Goals and COBIT Processes*.
- [8]Haes S.D and Grembergen.W.V. (2005). "IT Governance Structures, Processes and Relational Mechanisms: Achieving IT/Business Alignment in a Major Belgian Financial Group", University of Antwerp Management School. P.P 4.
- [9]Haes. S.D and Grembergen.W.V (2004). "IT Governance and Its Mechanisms. Information Systems Audit and Control Association.
- [10]Heier. H. et al. (2009). "Examining the Relationship between IT Governance Software, Processes, and Business Value: A Quantitative Research Approach Accenture". *Information Systems*, 9(52). <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/9-52>.
- [11]IT Governance Institute. "Control objectives, management guidelines, maturity models" in *CobiT 4.0*. Rolling Meadows, IL: IT Governance Institute; 2005.
- [12]Etzler,J (2007), *IT Governance According to COBIT*.
- [13]KPMG. S-O rules finalized. *Frontiers in finance for decision makers in financial services*; 2003. 10–15. November.
- [14]Lainhart IV JW.(2004)." An IT assurance framework for the future". *Ohio CPA J* 2001 19–23. January–March. Netegrity. Sarbanes–Oxley. Regulatory compliance handbook; 2004.
- [15]Ramos M. (2004). "Evaluate the control environment". *J Account* 2004; 197:75–8 May.
- [16]Ribeiro. J, Gomes. R. (2008). "IT Governance using COBIT implemented in a High Public Educational Institution – A Case Study". School of Technology and management. Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo. Portugal. <http://www.ipvc.pt>.
- [17]Singh, H. (2009). "A Practice Theory View of IS Governance," *Proceedings* >
- [18]Singh, Harminder, (2010). "Selecting IT Control Objectives and Measuring IT Control Capital". *ACIS 2010 Proceedings*. Paper 89.<http://aisel.aisnet.org/acis2010/89>.
- [19]Summerfield B. (2005)." EU selects CobiT as an auditing standard". <http://www.certmag.com>.
- [20]Trautman Lawrence J. and Altenbaumer .Kara, (2011)," The board's' responsibility for information technology governance.
- [21]Tuttle B and Vandervelde Scott D. (2007). "An empirical examination of COBIT as an internal control framework for information technology". University of South Carolina, Moore School of Business.