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ABSTRACT

The key to improve the competitive ability of manufacturing companies is how to develop high quality product for their customers. So, the selection of product quality and technical parameters is very important for meeting with the customer requirements (CRs). However, the selection process is a complex and difficult task. The aim of this study is to propose a comprehensive decision model to help product designers. Firstly, the analytic network process (ANP) is used to compute the weights of CRs. Then, quality function deployment (QFD), an effective optimization technique, is applied to transform CRs into product design technical characteristics (TCs). Finally, the relationships between CRs and TCs are considered in QFD during the inference process. A numerical example is given to prove the validity of the proposed synthesis methodology through a study of engineering machinery engines.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the intensifying of world competition, increasing customer needs and technological innovation stimulate changes in design targets of product quality. Moreover, the development of economic globalization and the new economy gave consumers more choices and raised their needs for higher product quality[1]. A growing number of studies have demonstrated that the importance of designing new products must meet the needs of our clients. The successful products enjoy high reputation for their perfect design and high quality[2]. Thus, the excellent manufacturing enterprise must continuously provide their customers with high-quality products and complete corresponding technological support[3]. The aim of product quality is to meet the needs of our customers and accommodate business goals and technical constraints. Besides, product development staff must have quality in mind during new products development process, seeking least-cost means to ensure design quality of the desired TCs[4].

Although there are many researches and propositions about the product quality in product development, study of the substantial integration of the design methods are quite necessary and very important. Firstly, it is also most important that we can systematically identify user requirements. The requirements definition phase determines what of the product design[5]. Second, how to transform precisely customer demand importance and satisfaction into product design TCs is the key to success in the product design process[6].

In this paper, the judging principle and method of design quality is firstly discussed based on customers’ demand and TCs. The importance of CRs is confirmed by analytic network process (ANP), a multiple criteria decision-making method, which has been applied to many similar decision making[7]. Because Quality function deployment (QFD) has been successfully used as a powerful technique for performing the translation of customer needs into product design requirements, it was applied to guarantee the quality of products during the early stages of the design process[8].

The paper has been organized as follows: In Section 2, the literatures about ANP and QFD are reviewed, and the synthesis methodology applied in this paper is presented. In Section 3, the integration of ANP approach and QFD model is developed and
varied by a numerical example. Section 4 presents the conclusions to this study.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW OF ANP AND QFD

2.1 Literature Review Of ANP Method

The reason for choosing ANP as our approach for sorting CRs is due to its interdependency allowance. ANP was firstly developed by Saaty in 1996[9]. ANP is a general principle of relative measurement used to derive composite priority ratio scales from the impudence of each element. The elements can interact with the control criterias in many ways. ANP is a multi-criteria decision-making tool that can be used to accommodate interactions among multiple attributes.

From the side to compare with analytic hierarchy process (AHP), ANP method can overcome problems arising from possible dependency among and between different levels of attributes. A looser network structure of ANP was applied to make it possible for decision makers stating any problem without concern for priority order in a hierarchy. Over the past years, ANP has been used successfully by numerous authors for solving different types of problems in various fields. The ANP method was successfully applied to evaluate best available techniques in multi-factor multi-object decision-making process[10]. The selection of the best non-traditional machining (NTM) process for a machining application was required by using ANP method[11]. With the increasing of the demand of energy, a decision model based on analytic network process was used to assess strategic energy policies in Turkey[12].

This paper presents the application of ANP to sort the available importance of customers for product quality. The algorithm of ANP consists of mainly four stages for acquiring the weights of customers’ requirements.

Stage 1: Defining decision problem

Firstly, the decision problem is structured with control criteria, clusters and elements. Then, relations between each element have to be identified for specific products requires.

Stage 2: Structuring network structure model

The hierarchical structure of the ANP model is named as network control hierarchical model, which includes control hierarchy and network hierarchy, as is shown in Figure 1.

Stage 3: Calculating weighted super matrix

After the hierarchy structure model of ANP was built, super matrix was applied to find relative stabilized weights between each element. It is supposed that there are multiple elements among control hierarchy, named as $C_1$, $C_2$, ..., $C_N$, and each $C_i$ also includes the elements of $S_{c_1i}$, $S_{c_2i}$, ..., $S_{c_Ni}$, $i=1, 2, ..., N$. So, the control elements of super matrix $W$ will be formed by using the following formula:

![Hierarchy Structure Model Of ANP](image)
Next, the weighted super matrix can be calculated by using the method of latent root. This is done by using the following expression:

\[
W_j = \begin{bmatrix}
    w_{i1}^{(1)} & w_{i1}^{(2)} & \cdots & w_{i1}^{(j)} \\
    w_{i2}^{(1)} & w_{i2}^{(2)} & \cdots & w_{i2}^{(j)} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    w_{iN}^{(1)} & w_{iN}^{(2)} & \cdots & w_{iN}^{(j)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \( i \) is the impact of the matrix for the \( j \)-th hierarchical elements.

Stage 4: Getting decision weight

After the super and weighted super matrix were reduced and normalized, we can get precisely the decision weight of the user’s requirement.

2.2 Literature Review on Applications of QFD

QFD was firstly defined in 1972 at Mitsubishi’s Kobe shipyard in Japan[13]. Among the many tools, QFD is a well-known planning method commonly used for interpreting the customer requirements into product technical characteristics. The goal of QFD is to provide an effective tool for manufacturing enterprise assuring product quality and improving their customer satisfaction[14]. QFD focuses on delivering “voice of the customer” to product specification, and helps design engineers to adopt a more customer-driven perspective in the process of conceptual design. QFD is usually used for determining and assessing the relationships between CRs and TCs.

Since QFD is successful in designing high quality products resulting in customer satisfaction, it is widely used in different industries worldwide[15]. The process of QFD can be represented graphically by using quality of housing (HoQ) [16]. The basic constructs of HoQ is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: The Basic Constructs Of A HoQ](image-url)

The core of QFD is how to build the HoQ. The HoQ is traditionally used to identify primary CRs and corresponding TCs for a specific product.

2.3 The Proposed Model Based on ANP and QFD

In this paper, we develop a model for supporting product design based on ANP and QFD. In the first
phase, the principles of QFD are applied to establish clear relations between customer satisfaction and product quality standard. Then, ANP is proposed as it aims at determining importance of CRs more flexible and accurate than the traditional methods. In the last part of the study, the HoQ method is used for identifying CRs, converting these requirements into available design information, ranking the TCs for design needs of product quality. The proposed model based on ANP and QFD is shown in Figure 3.

Because ANP is successfully used for modeling more complex decision environments, in this chapter we develop it for determining the relative weights. ANP is a nonlinear structure that deals with the elements and sub-elements. Firstly, the decision problem should be clearly stated by the hierarchy structure. The accuracy of decision may be improved by collecting rich data from more experts. The hierarchy structure model of CRs was built by ANP in Figure 4.

3. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Here, we investigate an example from a series of engineering machinery engines by using the real world data. The numerical example presents the implementation of ANP method along with QFD model. The customer requirements considered in this problem are: low fuel consumption (CRs1), high power (CRs2), stable operation (CRs3), high safety (CRs4), convenient maintenance (CRs5), good environmental protection (CRs6) and reasonable price (CRs7). In order to develop a high quality product and then accurately determine the engineering design requirements, the weights of CRs must be confirmed objectively. So, a scoring system was added to weight the CRs based on ANP method. Basically, the importance from the customer’s perspective can be realized by using the ANP method.

The ANP was widely used in various fields as industry, agriculture and scientific technology.

![Figure 3: The Proposed Model Based On ANP And QFD](image1)

![Figure 4: Hierarchy Structure Model Of Crs](image2)
After computing all the weights of the criteria with ANP, final weights of CRs are obtained. Based on ANP method, we can get the importance of CRs in the following:

$$W = [0.286, 0.125, 0.152, 0.074, 0.143, 0.117, 0.103]^T$$

Then, HoQ of linking CRs and TCs is shown in Figure 5. From Figure 5, the mapping relations are expressed as very strong relationship (9 point mark: ●), strong relationship (7 point mark: ◎), medium relationship (5 point mark: ○), medium relationship (3 point mark: ▲) Small relationship (3 point mark: △).

Next, the importance of product design TCs are calculated by using HoQ technique:

$$I_{TC1} = 0.286 \times 3 + 0.125 \times 7 + 0.152 \times 5 + 0.074 \times 5 + 0.143 \times 7 + 0.117 \times 3 + 0.103 \times 7 = 4.936;$$  
$$I_{TC2} = 0.286 \times 1 + 0.125 \times 1 + 0.152 \times 7 + 0.074 \times 5 + 0.143 \times 7 + 0.117 \times 1 + 0.103 \times 5 = 3.478;$$  
$$I_{TC3} = 0.286 \times 7 + 0.125 \times 5 + 0.152 \times 9 + 0.074 \times 5 + 0.143 \times 9 + 0.117 \times 3 + 0.103 \times 7 = 6.152;$$  
$$I_{TC4} = 0.286 \times 1 + 0.125 \times 3 + 0.152 \times 1 + 0.074 \times 1 + 0.143 \times 3 + 0.117 \times 1 + 0.103 \times 7 = 2.154;$$  
$$I_{TC5} = 0.286 \times 1 + 0.125 \times 3 + 0.152 \times 5 + 0.074 \times 9 + 0.143 \times 5 + 0.117 \times 5 + 0.103 \times 3 = 3.696;$$  
$$I_{TC6} = 0.286 \times 3 + 0.125 \times 1 + 0.152 \times 3 + 0.074 \times 5 + 0.143 \times 7 + 0.117 \times 3 + 0.103 \times 9 = 4.088;$$  
$$I_{TC7} = 0.286 \times 5 + 0.125 \times 7 + 0.152 \times 5 + 0.074 \times 3 + 0.143 \times 5 + 0.117 \times 7 + 0.103 \times 3 = 5.426;$$  
$$I_{TC8} = 0.286 \times 7 + 0.125 \times 5 + 0.152 \times 5 + 0.074 \times 3 + 0.143 \times 7 + 0.117 \times 5 + 0.103 \times 9 = 6.122.$$

Figure 5: The HoQ of linking CRs and TCs
Once the importance of TCs was completed from figure 5, we'll have a clearer picture of the results. These importance of design factors are given in Table 2.

**Table 2: Ranking of TCs to Importance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Technical characteristics</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC1</td>
<td>Performance applicability</td>
<td>13.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC2</td>
<td>Use reliability</td>
<td>9.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC3</td>
<td>Running smoothness</td>
<td>17.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>Working accuracy</td>
<td>5.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC5</td>
<td>Support ability</td>
<td>10.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC6</td>
<td>Maintainability</td>
<td>11.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC7</td>
<td>Adaptability</td>
<td>15.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC8</td>
<td>Cost economy</td>
<td>16.98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We can see from Table 2, running smoothness (TC3) and cost economy (TC8) are the key factors for ensuring high product quality. The product design direction can be made clear through the above information analysis, and the product design quality is well improved. On the other hand, the importance order of TCs can help designers manage the optimization product design process.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A new analytical model for guaranteeing the product quality was presented in this paper. The proposed model was applied to translate CRs into product design TCs based on ANP and QFD. ANP is well-known quantitative method that was used in conjunction with QFD. The ANP method has been used to prioritize the CRs by using relative weights. Then, HoQ has been applied for selecting the optimize product design standardization. The aim of this work was to propose a novel systematic methodology to deal with the CRs and TCs in new products development process. A numerical example was presented to illustrate the application of the proposed approach. More case studies for utilizing other ranking methods with QFD should be presented in the future.
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