31st August 2011. Vol. 30 No.2

© 2005 - 2011 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645

www.jatit.org



CALCULATION OF SOME TOPOLOGICAL INDICES OF GRAPHS

¹MOHAMED ESSALIH, ²MOHAMED EL MARRAKI, ³GABR EL HAGRI

¹Telecommunication and Computer Sciences research laboratory, Faculty of Sciences University of Mohamed V PO Box 1014, Rabat-Morocco

^{2,3}Department of Computer, Sciences Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohamed V, PO Box 1014, Rabat Morocco

E-mail: <u>lessalih.mohamed@yahoo.fr</u>, <u>marraki@fsr.ac.ma</u>, <u>chima_gapr@yahoo.fr</u>

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give some theoretical results, for the index WienerW, degree distance DD and the hyper-Wiener index WW of a graphG, according to $d_G(k)$ (The number of pairs of vertices of G that are at distance), and the diameter of G. We accomplish this by firstly, giving another proof of the inequality for the planar graphs with *n*vertices: $W(En) \leq W(Cn) \leq W(Pn)[6]$, with E_n is a maximal planar graph C_n is a planar graph and P_n is a path planar graph. Secondly, we will apply the theoretical results for some graphs with diameter equals two, as Fan planar graph F_n , Wheel planar graph W_n , maximal planar graph E_n and the butterfly planar graph B_n , and some particularly graphs with diameter greater than two, as the cycle planar graph C_n and the Sunflower planar graph S_n .

Keywords: Graph, Index Hyper-Wiener; Index Wiener, Index Degree Distance, Index First Zagreb.

1. INTRODUCTION

A graph G is a triple consisting of a vertex set V(G), an edge set E(G), and a relation that associates with each edge two vertices (not necessarily distinct) called its endpoints. We denoted |V(G)| = n is the vertex number of G and we denoted |E(G)| = m is the edges number of G. We draw a graph on paper by placing each vertex at a point and representing each edge by a curve joining the locations of its endpoints (see Fig. 1). A path is a simple graph whose vertices can be ordered so that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are consecutive in the list. A graph G is connected if each pair of the vertices in G belongs to a path. The degree of vertex v in a graph G, written deg(v), is the number of edges incident to v, except that each loop(the edge uv withe u = v) at v counts twice, and we called distance between two distinct vertices of graph G, u and v, the smallest length of path between u and v in G[3]. The diameter of G, denoted by D(G), is defined as the maximum distance between any two vertices of *G*, that is:

$$D(G) = \max\{d(u, v) : \forall (u, v) \in V(G)^2\}, [2][1]$$

In the following we consider only the simple planar connected graphs.

The Benzenoid planar graph, is composed of exclusivelyof hexagonal rings that are face bounded by six-membered cycles in the plane. Any two rings have either one commonedge (and are then said to be adjacent) or have no common vertices[8].



Fig.1 : The benzenoid hydrocarbons planar graph

The example of Benzenoid graphs is shown in Fig .1. The Wiener and degree distance indices of this graph of n vertices, N hexagons and m edges is respectively:

31st August 2011. Vol. 30 No.2

© 2005 - 2011 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

		E-ISSN: 1817-3195
4		

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{3}(16N^3 + 132N^2 + 362N + 327)$$

And,

$$DD(G) = 5W(G) - 3(2N + 1)^2$$
 [7].

Let $d_G(k)$ be the number of pairs of vertices of *G* that areat distance k, λ a real number, and :

$$W_{\lambda}(G) = \sum_{k \ge 1} d_G(k) k^{\lambda}$$

 $W_{\lambda}(G)$ is called the Wiener-type invariant of *G* associated to real number λ . Note that $d_G(0)$ and $d_G(1)$ represent the number of vertices and edges, respectively[4]. The oldestand most thoroughly examined use of a topological indexin chemistry was by Wiener in the study of paraffin boilingpoints, and the topological index was called Wiener index. The Wiener index of the graph *G* equals to the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices of the respective molecular graph, i.e:

$$W(G) = \sum_{(u,v) \subseteq V(G)} d(u,v)$$

(The case of $\lambda = 1$ of the $W_{\lambda}(G)$), and we defined the index Wiener of a vertex u in the graph G as :

$$w(u,G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(u,v)$$

The hyper-Wienerindex WW is one of the recently conceived distance-basedgraph invariants, structure descriptor used as а for predictingphysicochemical properties of organic compounds (oftenthose significant for pharmacology, agriculture, environment protection, etc.). The hyper-Wiener index was introduced byRandic and has been extensively studied, it is defined as:

$$WW(G) = \frac{1}{2}(W_1(G) + W_2(G))[4].$$

In connection withcertain investigations in mathematical chemistry, Dobryninand Kochetova introduced firstly in connection with certainchemical applications, and at the same time by Gutmanwho named it the Schultz index (degree distance), definedas:

$$DD(G) = \sum_{(u,v) \subseteq V(G)} (\deg(u) + \deg(v))d(u,v)$$

Thisname was eventually accepted by most authors. The degreedistance attracted much attention after it was discovered. Ithas been demonstrated that DD(G) and W(G) are closelymutually related for certain classes of molecular graphs[3]. The Zagreb indices have been introduced more than thirtyyears ago by Gutman and Trinajestic. They are defined as:

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in \{V(G)\}} \deg(u)^2[4][5].$$

2. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we give some theoretic results about W(G), WW(G) and DD(G).

Theorem 1.Let *G* be a connected finite undirected graphwithout loops or multiple edges, with n vertices, medges, and with $D(G) \ge 2$, we have :

$$W(G) = n(n-1) - m + d_G(3) + 2d_G(4) + \dots + (D-2)d_G(D)$$

Proofs:

$$W(G) = W_1(G) = d_G(1) + 2d_G(2) + 3d_G(3) + \dots + Dd_G(D)$$

we have :

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = d_G(1) + d_G(2) + \dots + d_G(D)$$
$$= m + d_G(2) + \dots + d_G(D)$$

$$d_G(2) = m - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \dots - d_G(D) \square$$

Corollary 1.Let *G* be a Graph with *n*vertices, *m*edges

and with D(G) = 2, then :

$$W(G) = n(n-1) - m$$

Proofs: We use the Theorem 1, with D(G) = 2.

Corollary 2.[6] Let C_n be a simple planar graph with n

vertices, then :

$$W(E_n) \le W(C_n) \le W(P_n)$$

with E_n is a maximal planar graph, and P_n is a path planar graph.

Proofs: We have $W(C_n) \le W(P_n)$ evident. We use the precedent theorem to proof $W(E_n) \le W(C_n)$ as follow: We have

 $W(G) = n(n-1) - m + d_G(3) + 2d_G(4) + \dots + (D-2)d_G(D)$, for D(G) = 2the mis the maximal then $W(C_n)$ is minimal for all other planar graph \Box .

<u>31st August 2011. Vol. 30 No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2011 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

The Precedent result is for the wiener index and crocheted the planar graphs, we give in the corollary 4 a result, similarly for an index degree distance and for the Trees.

Remark 1.[6] Let T_n be a Tree with *n* vertices, then

$$W(S_n) \le W(T_n) \le W(P_n)$$

with S_n is the star tree, and P_n is a path tree.

Theorem 2 Let *G* be a connected finite undirected graph

without loops or multiple edges, with n vertices, *m*edges,

and with $D(G) \ge 2$, we have :

$$WW(G) = \frac{1}{2} (3n(n-1) - 4m + (3^2 - 3)d_G(3) + \dots + (D^2 + D - 6)d_G(D))$$

Proofs: $WW(G) = \frac{1}{2}(W_1(G) + W_2(G))$ we have $W(G) = W_1(G)$, then we will needed to :

$$W_2(G) = \sum_{k \ge 1} d_G(k)k^2$$
$$= d_G(1)1^2 + d_G(2)2^2 + \dots + d_G(D)D^2$$

we apply the previous proof, with

 $d_G(2) = m - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \dots - d_G(D)$ and we put t = 2n(n-1) - 3m, we get to:

$$\begin{split} W_2(G) &= m + d_G(2)2^2 + d_G(3)3^2 + \cdots \\ &+ d_G(D)D^2 \\ &= t + (3^2 - 4)d_G(3) + \cdots + (D^2 - 4)d_G(D) \Box \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.Let *G* be a Graph with *n*vertices, *m*edges and with D(G) = 2, then :

$$WW(G) = \frac{3}{2}n(n-1) - 2m$$

Proofs: we use the theorem 2, with D(G) = 2

Theorem 3.Let *G* be a connected finite undirected graph

without loops or multiple edges, with n vertices, *m*edges,

and with
$$D(G) \ge 2$$
, we have :

$$DD(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} w(u, G) \deg(u)$$

Proofs:

$$DD(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} (\deg(u) + \deg(v))d(u,v)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (\deg(u) + \deg(v) d(u,v))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) d(u,v)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{u\in V(G)}\sum_{v\in V(G)}\deg(u)\,d(u,v)$$

We have :

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) d(u, v)$$

$$= \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(u) d(u, v)$$

Then;

$$DD(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(u) d(u, v)$$
$$= \sum_{u \in V(G)} w(u, G) \deg(u) \Box$$

Corollary 4.Let *G* be a Graph with *n*vertices, *m*edges and with D(G) = 2, then :

$$DD(G) = 4(n-1)m - M_1(G)$$

JATIT

<u>31st August 2011. Vol. 30 No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2011 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
-----------------	---------------	-------------------

Proofs: we use theorem 3 we have :

$$DD(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} w(u, G) deg(u)$$
$$w(u, G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(u, v)$$
we have $D(G) = 2$ then:

$$w(u,G) = \sum_{\substack{v \in V(G) \\ d(u,v)=1}} d(u,v) + \sum_{\substack{v \in V(G) \\ d(u,v)=2}} d(u,v)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{v \in V(G) \\ d(u,v)=1}} d(u,v) = \deg(u)$$

$$n = \sum_{\substack{v \in V(G) \\ d(u,v) = 1}} d(u,v) + n_2 + 1$$

with n_2 is the number of vertex v with d(u, v) = 2 then :

 $w(u,G) = \deg(u) + 2((n-1) - \deg(u))$

$$DD(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} (\deg(u) + 2((n-1) - \deg(u))) \deg(u)$$

= 2(n-1) $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(u) - \sum_{u \in V(G)} \deg(u) \deg(u)$
= 4(n-1)m - M₁(G)

Theorem 4.[7] If T_n is a tree on n vertices, then:

$$DD(T_n) = 4W(T_n) - n(n-1)$$

Corollary 5.Let T_n be a Tree with *n*vertices, then:

$$DD(S_n) \le DD(T_n) \le DD(P_n)$$

with S_n is the star tree, and P_n is a path tree.

Proofs: We use the Remark 1 and the Theorem 4.

3. APPLICATION

1. Application about graphs of diameter two

In this section we will apply the Corollaries of the

precedent section for some Graphs with diameter equalstwo, as Fan planar graph F_n , Wheel planar

graph W_n (see the Fig. 2), maximal planar graph E_n and the butterfly planar graph B_n (see the Fig. 3). We will start the calculation of their the first Zagreb index.

Lemma 1. F_n is a Fan planar graph, W_n is a Wheelplanar graph, E_n is a maximal planner graph and B_n is a butterfly planar graph with the number of vertices *n* and the number of edges m we have:

G_n	m	$M_1(G_n)$	п
F_n	2n - 3	$n^2 + 7n - 18$	$n \ge 3$
W_n	2n - 2	$n^2 + 7n - 8$	$n \ge 3$
E_n	3n - 6	$2n^2 + 12n$	$n \ge 4$
		- 44	
B _n	2 <i>n</i> – 4	$\frac{4}{3}n^2 + \frac{4}{3} - 10$	$n \ge 6$





Wheel graphFan graphFig.2. The Wheel planar graph W_n and Fan
planar graph F_n

Theorem 5. F_n is a Fan planar graph (see the Fig. 2)with the number of vertices *n* and the number of edges*m*we have :

$W(F_n)$	$n^2 - 3n + 3$	$n \ge 3$
$DD(F_n)$	$7n^2 - 27n$	$n \ge 3$
	+ 30	
$WW(F_n)$	$\frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{11}{2}n + 6$	$n \ge 3$

Proof: We will just apply the precedent corollaries, and

using the Lemma 1.

Theorem 6. W_n is a Wheel planar graph (see the Fig.2) with the number of vertices *n* and the number of edges *m*we have :

Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology

31st August 2011. Vol. 30 No.2

© 2005 - 2011 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved.

	ISSN: 1992-8645		www.	jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195
Γ	$W(W_n)$	$n^2 - 3n + 2$	$n \ge 5$	2.	Application about some particular graphs
	$DD(W_n)$	$7n^2 - 23n$	$n \ge 4$		with
		+ 16			diameter greater than two

 $n \ge 3$

Proof: We will just apply the precedent corollaries, and

 $\frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{7}{2}n$

using the Lemma 1.

 $WW(W_n)$



Fig.3. The Maximal planar graph E_n and Butterfly planar graph B_n

Theorem 7. E_n is a Maximal planar graph (see the Fig.3) with the number of vertices nand the number ofedge ms we have :

$W(E_n)$	$n^2 - 4n + 6$	$n \ge 3$
$DD(E_n)$	$10n^2 - 48n$	$n \ge 4$
	+ 68	
$WW(E_n)$	$\frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{15}{2}n + 12$	$n \ge 4$

Proof: We will just apply the precedent corollaries, and using the Lemma 1.

Theorem 8. B_n is a Butterfly planar graph (see theFig. 3) of the number of vertices nand the number of edges mwe have :

$W(B_n)$	$n^2 - 3n + 4$	$n \ge 3$
$DD(B_n)$	$\frac{20}{3}n^2 - \frac{76}{3}n$ + 26	$n \ge 6$
$WW(B_n)$	$\frac{3}{2}n^2 - \frac{19}{2}n + 16$	$n \ge 6$

Proof: We will just apply the precedent corollaries, and

using the Lemma 1.

In the section we will see the graphs Gof $D(G) \ge 2$, as the Sunflower planar graph S_n and the cycle planargraph C_n , We start with the Sunflower.



Fig.4.The Sunflower planar graphS_n and the Cycle planar graph C_n

The sunflower planar graph S_n is a graph withalways has a odd number verticesnand a number of edges m = 2(n - 1). The central vertex v_0 has adegree $deg(v_0) = \frac{n-1}{2}$, the odd index vertices $v_1, v_3, ..., v_{n-1}$ have a degree deg $(v_{2i+1}) =$ 5 and the even index vertices v_2, v_4, \dots, v_n have a degreedeg(v_{2i}) = 2.

Lemma 2. Sn is a Sunflower planar graph $n \ge 11$, with the number of vertices n and the number of edges

35

if i is even and I \neq

$$m(m = 2(n - 1))$$
 we have :

0

W(
$$v_{\rm f}$$
, $S_{\rm n}$) = $\frac{3}{2}n - \frac{3}{2}$ if i=0
 $\frac{5}{2}n - \frac{23}{2}$ if i is odd and I $\neq 0$
And,

$d_{S_n}(1)$	2n - 2
$d_{S_n}(2)$	$\frac{1}{8}n^2 + n - \frac{9}{8}$
$d_{S_n}(3)$	$\frac{1}{4}n^2 - 2n + \frac{7}{4}$
$d_{S_n}(4)$	$\frac{1}{8}n^2 - \frac{3}{2}n + \frac{11}{8}$

Proof: evident.

Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology

<u>31st August 2011. Vol. 30 No.2</u>

© 2005 - 2011 JATIT & LLS. All rights reserved

ISSN: 1992-8645	www.jatit.org	E-ISSN: 1817-3195

Theorem 9. S_n is a Sunflower planar graph $n \ge 11$, with the number of vertices *n* and the number of edges*m*we have :

$W(S_n)$	$\frac{3}{2}n^2 - 8n + \frac{13}{2}$
$DD(S_n)$	$\frac{21}{2}n^2 - \frac{115}{2}n + 47$
$WW(S_n)$	$\frac{25}{8}n^2 - \frac{176}{8}n + \frac{151}{8}$

Proof: We have just applied the Theorems 1, 2 and 3,

and using the Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. C_n is a Cycle planar graph $n \ge 2$, with the number of vertices *n* and the number of edges m (m = n) we have :

And,

n, if n is even and
$$1 \le i < \frac{n}{2}$$

 $d_{C_n}(i) = \frac{n}{2}$, if n is even and $i = \frac{n}{2}$
n, if n is even and $1 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}$
Proof: avident

Proof: evident.

Theorem 10. C_n is a Cycle planar graph $n \ge 2$, with the number of vertices *n* and the number of edges *m*, we have :

$W(\mathcal{C}_n)$	$\frac{1}{8}n^3$	If n even
	$\frac{1}{8}n^3 - \frac{1}{8}n$	If n odd
$DD(C_n)$	$\frac{1}{2}n^3$	If n even
	$\frac{1}{2}n^3 - \frac{1}{2}n$	If n odd
$WW(C_n)$	$\frac{n^2(n+2)(n+1)}{48}$	If n even
	$\frac{n(n+3)(n^2-1)}{48}$	If n odd

Proof: We have just applied the Theorems 1, 2 and 3, and using the Lemma 3.

4. CONCLUSION

We have mentioned here some theoretical results about the Wiener index W, degree distance index DD and The hyper-Wiener index WW of a simple planar connected graphs, relating to the $d_G(k)$, and the diameter of G. We have finished our work by giving some examples of graphs with deferments diameter, as the Fan planar graph F_n , Wheel planar graph W_n , maximal planar graph E_n , the butterfly planar graph B_n , the cycle planar graph C_n and the Sunflower planar graph S_n .

REFERENCES

- [1] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory , Second Eddition, Prentice Hall (2002).
- [2] J. Xu, Theory and Application of Graphs , Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003.
- [3] S. Chen and Z. Guo, A Lower Bound on the Degree Distance in a Tree, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 5, no.13(2010), 649-652.
- [4] A.R. Asharafi, A. Hamzeh and S. Hossein-
- zadeh, Calculation of some topological indices of splices and links of graphs, J. Appl.Math.& Informatics Vol. 9(2011), No. 1 2, pp. 327-335Website: http://www.kcam.biz,327-335.
- [5] M.H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A.R. Achrafi, The first and secondZagreb indices of some graph operations, Discrete Applied Mathematics157(2009)804-811.
- [6] M. El Marraki and A. Modabish, Wiener index of planar maps, Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology (JATIT), Vol.18, 2010, no1,7-10.
- [7] S.P. Eu, B.Y. Yang, Y.N. Yeh Generalized Wiener Indices in Hexagonal Chains, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 106(2006), pp. 423-435.
- [8] A.A. Dobrynin Explicit Relation between the Wiener Index and theSchultz Index of CatacondensedBenzenoid Graphs, CroaticaChemica Acta Ccacaa 72(4)(1999)869-874.